

Accepted refereed manuscript of:

Faye M, Dacheux L, Weidmann M, Diop SA, Loucoubar C, Bourhy H, Sall AA & Faye O (2017) Development and validation of sensitive real-time RT-PCR assay for broad detection of rabies virus, *Journal of Virological Methods*, 243, pp. 120-130.

DOI: [10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.12.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.12.019)

© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Development and validation of sensitive real-time RT-PCR assay for broad detection of rabies virus

Author: Martin Faye Laurent Dacheux Manfred Weidmann  
Sylvie Audrey Diop Cheikh Loucoubar Hervé Bourhy  
Amadou Alpha Sall Ousmane Faye



PII: S0166-0934(16)30558-4  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.12.019>  
Reference: VIRMET 13191

To appear in: *Journal of Virological Methods*

Received date: 12-10-2016  
Revised date: 26-12-2016  
Accepted date: 27-12-2016

Please cite this article as: Faye, M., Dacheux, L., Weidmann, M., Diop, S.A., Loucoubar, C., Bourhy, H., Sall, A.A., Faye, O., Development and validation of sensitive real-time RT-PCR assay for broad detection of rabies virus, *Journal of Virological Methods* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.12.019>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 Title: Development and validation of sensitive real-time RT-PCR assay for  
2 broad detection of rabies virus

3  
4 Martin Faye<sup>1</sup>, Laurent Dacheux<sup>2</sup>, Manfred Weidmann<sup>3</sup>, Sylvie Audrey Diop<sup>4</sup>, Cheikh  
5 Loucoubar<sup>5</sup>, Hervé Bourhy<sup>2</sup>, Amadou Alpha Sall<sup>1</sup> & Ousmane Faye<sup>1\*</sup>

- 6  
7 1. Virology Pole, Institut Pasteur of Dakar, 220 Dakar, Senegal  
8 2. Institut Pasteur, Lyssavirus Dynamics and Host Adaptation Unit, National Reference Centre for Rabies,  
9 WHO Collaborating Center for Reference and Research on Rabies, Paris, France  
10 3. Institute of Aquaculture University of Stirling, FK9 4LA Stirling Scotland, UK  
11 4. Service of infectious diseases, hospital FANN, Dakar, Senegal  
12 5. G4 Biostatistics, Bioinformatics and Modelization, Institute Pasteur of Dakar, 220 Dakar, Senegal

13  
14 \*Corresponding author: Dr Ousmane FAYE  
15 Virology Pole,  
16 Institut Pasteur de Dakar, BP 220 Dakar, SENEGAL  
17 Phone: +221.338399223  
18 Fax: +221.338399210  
19 Email: ofaye@pasteur.sn  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 **Abstract**

28 Rabies virus (RABV) remains one of the most important global zoonotic pathogens. RABV  
29 causes rabies, an acute encephalomyelitis associated with a high rate of mortality in humans  
30 and animals and affecting different parts of the world, particularly in Asia and Africa.  
31 Confirmation of rabies diagnosis relies on laboratory diagnosis, in which molecular  
32 techniques such as detection of viral RNA by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction  
33 (RT-PCR) are increasingly being used.

34 In this study, two real-time quantitative RT-PCR assays were developed for large-spectrum  
35 detection of RABV, with a focus on African isolates. The primer and probe sets were targeted  
36 highly conserved regions of the nucleoprotein (N) and polymerase (L) genes.

37 The results indicated the absence of non-specific amplification and cross-reaction with a  
38 range of other viruses belonging to the same taxonomic family, *i.e Rhabdoviridae*, as well as  
39 negative brain tissues from various host species. Analytical sensitivity ranged between 100 to  
40 10 standard RNA copies detected per reaction for N-gene and L-gene assays, respectively.  
41 Effective detection and high sensitivity of these assays on African isolates showed that they  
42 can be successfully applied in general research and used in diagnostic process and epizootic  
43 surveillance in Africa using a double-check strategy.

44

45 **Keywords: Rabies virus (RABV), real-time RT-qPCR assays, molecular techniques,**  
46 **broad detection, Africa**

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

## 59 1. Introduction

60

61 Rabies is a lethal and neglected zoonotic disease with significant public health impact in  
62 many parts of the world, especially in developing countries (1, 2). Rabies can affect  
63 almost all mammals, including humans (3). Indeed, rabies causes at least 59,000 human  
64 deaths annually worldwide, with 36.4% of them occurring in Africa alone (1, 4).

65 Human exposures occur mainly through dog bites and children are the most affected by the  
66 disease, with 4 out of every 10 deaths occurring in children under the age of 15 (3, 5).  
67 However, in some industrialized countries, rabies virus transmission was also reported  
68 through transplantation of organs from donors whose rabies infection had not been  
69 recognized. These cases of rabies virus transmission by tissues transplantation were mostly  
70 due to a long incubation period in the donor (6, 7, 8).

71 Rabies is an incurable disease and clinical presentation in humans can be sometimes difficult  
72 to distinguish from encephalitis symptoms caused by other viral infections (9). In this context,  
73 laboratory diagnosis is essential to confirm the diagnosis (10). Moreover, the diagnosis of  
74 rabies is often confirmed late in the course of the disease or *postmortem* because prognosis  
75 depends on history of exposure and clinical findings, and timely and reliable diagnosis may be  
76 very important for the prevention of rabies in the relatives of the patient and the healthcare  
77 workers.

78 This fatal encephalitis is caused by virus members of the *Lyssavirus* genus (order  
79 Mononegavirales, family *Rhabdoviridae*) including *Rabies virus* (RABV). RABV is a  
80 neurotropic enveloped pathogen encompassing a negative single-stranded RNA that is around  
81 12 kb (kilobases) in size. As for all lyssavirus members, the RABV genome encodes five  
82 proteins with the nucleoprotein (N), the phosphoprotein (P), the matrix protein (M), the  
83 glycoprotein (G) and the polymerase (L) separated by four non-coding intergenic regions  
84 (IGRS) of different lengths and surrounded by two untranslated regions (UTR) (5). In  
85 addition, RABV presents a wide range of animal host reservoir and a large genetic diversity,  
86 with particularly four phylogenetic groups circulating in Africa with Africa 1 and 4 lineages,  
87 and with Africa 2 and 3 clades (11, 12). Thus, diagnostic tools which are able to broadly  
88 detect RABV are required.

89 Currently, the “gold standard” technique for confirming *postmortem* cases is the direct  
90 fluorescent antibody test (FAT) (13, 14). For *intra-vitam* diagnosis, this method can detect  
91 viral nucleocapsid antigens in tissues section of skin biopsies. However, viral antigens are  
92 often only detectable at the final phase of the disease and not always by the FAT. Also, this

93 technique presents limits in the case of *ante-mortem* non-neural sample material or  
94 decomposed tissues. Furthermore, repeated sampling of skin biopsies is not practical for  
95 improvement of sensitivity of the FAT (10, 14, 15). To overcome the limits of FAT, several  
96 conventional and hemi-nested reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assays (RT-  
97 PCR) targeting the N or L gene, have been developed (16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22) and widely  
98 applied for *the intra-vitam* diagnosis of human rabies routine diagnosis. Indeed, the N gene is  
99 the most conserved region among the RABV genome which is the reason for its frequent use  
100 as target for rabies virus diagnostic assays (23). Also, the L gene harbours highly conserved  
101 regions which have targeted for molecular detection (22, 24). Despite a higher sensitivity,  
102 hemi-nested RT-PCR presents some disadvantages in terms of workload, risk of  
103 contamination, and time. Thus, several real time molecular tests targeting the N or L gene  
104 have been developed to complement conventional diagnosis of rabies and rabies-related  
105 viruses (10, 21, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30). However, none of them have been validated against  
106 African strains from a large diversity of geographical origins, except a recent study (31).  
107 In this paper, we describe the validation of two sensitive and specific real-time RT-PCR  
108 assays with TaqMan probes targeting conserved regions of the N and L genes, which were  
109 developed for broad detection of African RABV strains. The detection capacity on clinical  
110 specimens and sensitivity of the assays suggest their suitable application in human as well as  
111 veterinary diagnostic fields. The assays could complement and add value to existing methods.

112

## 113 **2. Materials and methods**

114

### 115 **2. 1. Primers and probes design**

116 Initially, in order to design an assay capable of detecting African RABV isolates, full length  
117 genome sequences of two Africa 2 isolates available on GenBank database, corresponding to  
118 DRV-NG11 (GenBank Ac. No. KC196743) and CAR\_11/001h (GenBank Ac. No.  
119 KF977826), were used. Multiple alignments were carried out using clustalW algorithm  
120 implemented in the Mega 6.0 software (32). We selected conserved regions of the N gene and  
121 the L gene for development of two detection systems. Both primers and TaqMan probes were  
122 designed using Primer3web® software (version 4.0.0, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical  
123 Research). To avoid non-specific cross-reactions with others lyssaviruses, primers and probe  
124 were validated by BLAST analysis on NCBI. To ascertain their broad spectrum of detection,

125 all primers and probes were analyzed *in silico* with sequences of a large panel of isolates from  
126 different parts of Africa. Primers and probes were synthesized by TIBMol-Biol (Berlin,  
127 Germany).

128

## 129 **2. 2. Samples and viral RNA extraction**

130 A total of 16 RABV isolates from experimentally infected mouse brain tissues preserved in  
131 the archive of the national reference center for rabies virus (NRC-Rabies) at Institut Pasteur of  
132 Dakar, Senegal (NRC-Rabies IPD) and previously confirmed as rabies positive by FAT, was  
133 used in this study. In addition, 61 other RABV isolates from FAT-confirmed primary brain  
134 samples or experimentally infected mouse brain samples, provided by the NRC-Rabies at  
135 Institut Pasteur, Paris, France (NRC-Rabies IPP) (14), were also included. Furthermore, in  
136 order to assess the specificity of the two RABV detection assays, a panel of 20 primary brain  
137 specimens provided by NRC-Rabies IPP from a range of important animals for rabies virus  
138 transmission, and previously confirmed to be negative by FAT, was also tested. In addition,  
139 15 other major representatives of rhabdoviruses other than RABV and from the archive of  
140 NRC-Rabies IPD were also tested. Finally, 19 clinical specimens including skin biopsy, saliva  
141 and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples received at NRC-Rabies IPP and collected from human  
142 patients suspected of rabies infection were evaluated with both of these molecular tools. All  
143 extractions from isolates provided by NRC-Rabies IPD were performed using the QIAamp®  
144 Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.  
145 The RNA was suspended in a final volume of 60 µl elution buffer and kept at -80°C until  
146 testing. For samples and isolates provided by NRC-Rabies IPP, extraction and storage were  
147 performed as previously described (31).

148

## 149 **2. 3. Real-time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) assays**

150 A similar RT-qPCR protocol was used for the detection of both N and L genes. All samples  
151 were tested in duplicate and RT-qPCR was performed using the Quantitect Probe RT-PCR kit  
152 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) in a 20 µl reaction mixture containing 2x QuantiTect probe RT-  
153 PCR master mix, 0.25 µl of QuantiTect RT mix, 400 nM PCR primers and 200 nM TaqMan  
154 probes and 5 µl of 1:10 diluted RNA in RNase-free water. Positive controls containing master  
155 mix with standard RNA of each system and negative controls consisting of master mix with  
156 sterile RNase-free water were included in each run. The reaction was carried out on a 7500  
157 Fast Real Time system cycler or on a 7500 Real Time system cycler (Applied Biosystems,

158 Foster City, US) using the following temperature profile: 1 cycle of reverse transcription at  
159 50°C for 10 min, 1 cycle of denaturation at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C  
160 for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The RT-qPCR reactions were analyzed using the 7500 software  
161 (v2.0.1).

162

## 163 **2. 4. Specificity testing**

164 The specificity, representing the probability that the assay gives a negative result without  
165 presence of the targeted nucleotide region, was calculated by the formula  $Sp = a / (a + c)$ ;  
166 where **a** is the number of true negatives samples and **c** is the number of false positives. The  
167 specificity was determined using a panel of FAT negative samples and a panel of non-RABV  
168 rhabdoviruses.

169

## 170 **2. 5. Analytical sensitivity assessment**

171

### 172 **2. 5. 1. Standard curves generation**

173 Plasmids were generated by inserting the amplified RT-qPCR amplicons into pCRII (Life  
174 Technologies, GmbH, Darmstadt) and standard RNAs were synthesized with T7 RNA-  
175 polymerase by TIB MolBiol (Berlin, Germany) according to manufacturer's  
176 recommendations.

177 Serial 10-fold dilutions of standard RNAs, from  $10^8$  to 1 molecule, were prepared in RNase-  
178 free water. Corresponding mean C<sub>q</sub> (quantification cycle) values obtained per triplicates per  
179 standard dilution were then plotted proportionally to the logarithm of the input copy numbers  
180 to generate a quantitative standard.

181

### 182 **2. 5. 2. Analytical testing**

183 The quantitative standards were used to evaluate the diagnostic assays performances such as  
184 limit of detection (LOD), the coefficient of correlation ( $R^2$ ) and the amplification efficiency  
185 (E) values. The LOD value represents the concentration where at least 50% of replicates of  
186 each dilution were detected. A linear model regression test was performed using R (version  
187 3.0.2, *The R Foundation for Statistical Computing*) to determine the value of  $R^2$ , indicating  
188 the goodness of regression. The E value was calculated with the formula  $E = 10^{(-1/\text{slope})} - 1$ .

189 Relative RABV genome copies in tested samples was calculated through the formula  $q =$



190  $10^{(Cq-b)/a}$ ; where **a** is the slope, **b** is the intercept from a standard curve of each specific  
191 target gene and **Cq** is the quantification cycle number. Furthermore, the Pearson correlation  
192 efficient where a coefficient of 1 represents a good correlation was also calculated.

193

### 194 **2. 5. 3. Determination of sensitivity**

195 The sensitivity is defined as the probability that an assay give positive results in the presence  
196 of specific target. This was calculated by the formula  $Se = d / (d+b)$ ; where **b** represents the  
197 number of false negatives and **d** is the number of true positives. Positive and negative  
198 predictive values were also calculated by formulas  $PPV = d / (d+c)$  and  $NPV = a / (b+a)$ ,  
199 where **a** is the number of true negatives samples and **c** is the number of false positives.

200 Moreover, a **ROC** («Receiver Operating Characteristic») analysis was performed, using the  
201 statistical software XLSAT (Version 2015.5.01.23654, *Copyright Addinsoft 1995-2015*) to  
202 identify the threshold value below which the test should be considered positive. Accuracy of  
203 assays was measured by the area under the ROC curve (**AUC**) with 95% confidential interval  
204 (CI) of AUC. An area higher than 0.9 represents an excellent test and a value of 0.5, a  
205 worthless test (33, 34).

206 Finally, the comparability between Cq values given by these two quantitative assays was  
207 assessed using a Bland-Altman analysis where the agreement between these two  
208 measurements was quantified by using a graphical approach. Statistical limits of agreement  
209 are calculated by using the mean and the standard deviation of the differences between assays  
210 with 95% CI (35).

211

### 212 **2. 5. 4. Repeatability and inter-laboratory concordance**

213 The inter-assay and intra-assay repeatability were determined by coefficients of variation  
214 (**CVs**) of Cq value. Indeed, RNA of the RABV isolate SA217695SEN was extracted and  
215 amplified 10 times in the same run, for the assessment of intra-assay repeatability and in 10  
216 different runs by the same operator, in the same laboratory to evaluate inter-assay  
217 repeatability. Inter-laboratory concordance was evaluated using a range of anonymous  
218 samples which have been initially received, extracted and analyzed at the NRC-R IPP in the  
219 framework of its participation in 2015 in an inter-laboratory trial organized by the European  
220 Union reference laboratory for rabies, which is located in Nancy, France (36). The test panel  
221 tested with the two newly developed RT-qPCR consisted of nine anonymous samples, either  
222 uninfected or infected with various lyssavirus species and which have been previously

223 extracted by NRC-R IPP and storage at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until use. Details of this trial have been  
224 provided elsewhere (36).

225 Results obtained with both of these tools were compared to previous RT-qPCR results of the  
226 NRC-R IPP in terms of Cohen's kappa coefficient ( $k$ ), which is measure of the agreement  
227 between two raters. Statistical analysis was performed using XLSAT where the statistical  
228 significance was set to  $p < 0.05$ .

229

### 230 **2. 5. 5. Comparison with conventional hemi-nested RT-PCR**

231 Suckling newborn-mices were inoculated experimentally by the RABV isolate  
232 SA217695SEN and a virus titer of  $6.7 \cdot 10^7 \text{ ID}_{50}/\text{mL}$  was obtained according to the method of  
233 Reed and Muench (1938) (37). Ten-fold serial dilutions of this virus stock were used to  
234 compare the sensitivity of the two RT-qPCR methods with that of a conventional hemi-nested  
235 RT-PCR (RT-hnPCR) in the conditions which have been previously described (22).

236

### 237 **2. 5. 6. Clinical sensitivity testing**

238 Testing of a collection of nineteen RABV-positive or negative specimens including CSF,  
239 saliva and biopsy skin, was also carried out in duplicate to confirm the reliability of the new  
240 L-gene RT-qPCR.

241

242

## 243 **3. Results**

244

### 245 **3. 1. Primers analysis**

246 BLAST analysis of designed primers and probes showed high homology with target regions  
247 of RABV sequences available from GenBank database. *In silico* analysis also revealed limited  
248 number of mismatches for primers and probes with sequences from other parts of Africa  
249 belonging to lineage Africa 1 and clade Africa 2 (Supplementary **Table A.1**) (Supplementary  
250 **Figures A.1 and A.2**). The TaqMan probes were labeled 5' FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein) / 3'  
251 BlackBerry Quencher (BBQ) and details are listed in **Table 1**.

252

### 253 **3. 2. Specificity**

254 In order to ensure the specificity of the new systems and to evaluate the occurrence of non-  
255 specific cross-reactivity, 16 isolates previously characterized RABV field samples and 15  
256 different rhabdoviruses other than RABV species were tested in duplicate with both of the  
257 assays (**Table 2**). Fluorescent detection was obtained only with RABV isolates whereas non-  
258 targeted viruses were not detected. These results suggested that the designed primer pairs and  
259 probes exhibited no cross-reactivity with other species of lyssavirus (with Mokola and Lagos  
260 bat lyssaviruses) or other rhabdoviruses (**Table 2**). The amplicons size for some RABV  
261 isolates detected with each system was verified by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and the  
262 amplified fragments were sequenced using the respective forward and reverse primers of the  
263 corresponding systems. A BLAST analysis of obtained sequences showed high identity rate  
264 with the target region of the RABV isolate CAR\_11/001h (GenBank Ac. No. KF977826)  
265 belonging to the Africa 2 phylogenetic clade. Then, these primers and probes were highly  
266 selective for RABV detection. In addition, we analyzed a panel of 20 FAT-negative primary  
267 brain samples from different vertebrate hosts important in RABV transmission. All were  
268 negative with both assays and confirmed that specificity was complete (Supplementary **Table**  
269 **A.2**).

270

### 271 3. 3. Analytical sensitivity

272 Diagnostic test performances of the new assays were assessed using several parameters.  
273 Analytical curves generated showed a LOD of 100 and 10 copies per reaction obtained for N-  
274 gene assay and L-gene assay, respectively with RABV isolate SA217695SEN (**Figure 1**).

275 Regression line slope values were determined for N gene and L gene assays, with -3.08 and -  
276 3.1, respectively, which are close to the optimal slope value of -3.3. Significant respective  
277 linear regression p-values of 1.833e-09 and 6.977e-12 for N gene and L gene assays,  
278 respectively, and coefficients of correlation ( $R^2$ ) values higher than 0.99 indicated the  
279 goodness of the regression lines. Furthermore, high efficiency values (**E**) were obtained with  
280 both of these assays. A Pearson correlation test between the two regression curves revealed a  
281 coefficient of 0.99 ( $p < 0.0001$ ) suggesting that correlation between the Cq values obtained by  
282 both RT-qPCR methods was excellent (**Figure 1**).

283 To ascertain the broad detection capacity of established assays, various RABV isolates from  
284 different parts of the world, were tested. Considering detection of African RABV isolates, the  
285 L-gene system was able to detect all isolates tested while the N-gene assay detected only 90%  
286 of strains giving no-fluorescence signal with four isolates belonging to Africa-1 lineage,

287 including isolates from Somalia (93002SOM and 93006SOM), Tanzania (96013TAN) and  
288 Morocco (87012MAR) (**Table 3a**). Furthermore, the L-gene system also detected 100% of  
289 tested isolates from other countries of the world. Considering these isolates, the N-gene assay  
290 had a limited detection scale (55%) with no fluorescence signal with 9 isolates from Poland  
291 (96140POL), Germany (92001GER), Saudi Arabia (87001ARS), Turkey (94009TUR), Laos  
292 (99008LAO), Afghanistan (02052AFG), Russia (91041RUS) and one skunk isolate from  
293 USA (91004USA) (**Table 3b**) (38).

294 Results of all previous tests summarized in **Table 4** were used for sensitivity determination  
295 and ROC analysis as previously described (39). A sensitivity of 100% and 78.70% (CI 95%;  
296 68.40-89.00%) was found for the RABV L-gene assay and RABV N-gene assay, respectively,  
297 when compared to the reference technique. In addition, a PPV of 100% for each assay and  
298 NPVs of 100% and 72.91% (CI 95%; 56.76-82.79%) for L gene assay and N gene assays  
299 were obtained respectively (Fischer's exact test  $p < 0.0001$ ). All previously FAT- negative  
300 samples provided an undetermined mean Cq value after 40 cycles. Based on the results of the  
301 ROC analysis, we determined a Cq of 39.70 as the cut-off value below which reactions are  
302 positives for the L-gene assay and the N-gene assay, considering a 95% confidence interval.  
303 A Cq value above these cut-off values or an undetectable Cq was considered negative. An  
304 AUC of 1 was determined for each assay revealing that the tests have an excellent accuracy  
305 (**Figure 2**). Furthermore, Bland-Altman plot analysis also reported a non-significant bias  
306 (mean difference) of  $1.920 \pm 6.875$  (95% limits of agreement: -11.56 to 15.40), demonstrating  
307 that these two methods are approximatively equivalent (**Figure 3**).

308 Intra-run and inter-run CVs of 1.67 % and 2.20 %, respectively, were found for the RABV N-  
309 gene assay while the RABV L-gene assay showed intra-run and inter-run CVs of 1.08 % and  
310 2.16 %, respectively; indicating that assays are robust and highly repeatable (**Table 4**). In  
311 addition, the  $k$  of  $1 \pm 0.31$  (95% CI; 0.38-1.61) indicates that assays are accurate, detecting  
312 only RABV strains and giving 100% concordance to previous results obtained by the NRC-R  
313 IPP using a RT-qPCR (31) with the same samples ( $p = 0.001$ ), and concordant with the results  
314 expected for this inter-laboratory trial with RABV isolates (**Table 5**).

315 Simultaneously, both RT-qPCR assays and conventional hemi-nested RT-PCR were  
316 performed as previously described (22) on 13 ten-fold dilutions of the RABV isolate  
317 SA217695SEN with a titer of  $6.7 \cdot 10^7$  ID<sub>50</sub>/mL. The RABV L-gene assay detected until  
318 0.00067 ID<sub>50</sub>/mL, corresponding to 28 genome copies using the L-gene quantification  
319 equation. However, conventional hemi-nested RT-PCR and RABV N-gene assay presented a

320 detection limit of 6.7 ID<sub>50</sub>/mL, corresponding to 75 copies of genome using N-gene  
321 quantification equation (**Table 6**).

322 The RABV L-gene RT-qPCR assay was selected as the most sensitive technique for detection  
323 of RABV and was thus applied for the screening of human clinical samples from patients  
324 suspected of rabies infection. The clinical detection of RABV RNA was analyzed in duplicate  
325 using a panel of human samples from the archive of the NRC-R IPP and which were  
326 previously tested using a RT-qPCR assay (31). Compared to the latter technique considered as  
327 the reference test, all 4 previous RABV-positive samples were correctly detected with RABV-  
328 L TaqMan probe assay, whereas any cross-reactivity was detected with all 15 RABV-negative  
329 specimens. Thus, the proposed RABV-L gene assay gave a 100% concordance to the previous  
330 diagnosis results obtained with the RT-qPCR assay performed by NRC-R IPP (31),  
331 demonstrating its effectiveness to detected RABV in clinical specimens with high sensitivity,  
332 and its suited use as diagnostic tool in human RABV cases (**Table 7**).

333

334

#### 335 **4. Discussion**

336 Despite rapid and sensitive RABV methods developed in recent years (27, 28, 30, 31, 42),  
337 only a few of them have been validated for the detection of African RABV strains with a large  
338 diversity of origin (21, 30, 31). Development of rapid and suitable molecular diagnostic tools  
339 for large-scale detection RABV isolates is important for routine diagnostic testing and  
340 epidemiological surveillance, particularly in Africa where rabies remains endemic. In this  
341 study, we developed two TaqMan real-time assays for broad detection of African RABV  
342 isolates in samples and for *intra-vitam* diagnosis in humans and *post-mortem* diagnosis in  
343 animals. These assays are based on conserved regions on N and L RABV genes.  
344 Oligonucleotide sequences for each assay were designed for wide detectability of African  
345 RABV isolates (**Table 1**, Supplementary **Figures A.1** and **A.2**) (22). Regarding the specificity  
346 assessment and their selectivity to detect RABV on a large range of samples, the new primers  
347 pairs and probes showed a high specific rate and no cross detection of other tested  
348 rhabdoviruses and none of the 6 other lyssaviruses species tested. These results were also  
349 confirmed by sequencing a selected number of amplicons obtained from positive results.

350 Moreover, evaluation of the analytical sensitivity proved that assays can detect a low amount  
351 of RABV RNA with estimated LODs ranging from 100 to 10 copies of RNA target per  
352 reaction, which indicates that our assays are highly sensitives, similar to previously reported

353 assays for viral RNA detected by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR (21, 31, 40, 41, 42). In addition,  
354 the high coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) and very good efficiencies ( $E > 100\%$ ) demonstrate  
355 that they can both be successfully applied to quantitative analysis of viral loads in tested  
356 samples infected with RABV.

357 However, with the high genetic diversity of RABV, development of a single sensitive real  
358 time assay covering all widely known phylogroups remains a challenge (43, 27). During the  
359 validation of the N-gene and L-gene based RT-qPCR assays, the broad detection spectrum  
360 was assessed through a large panel of RABV isolates representing different continents, with a  
361 focus on African isolates. Indeed, African strains were detected by both assays with a high  
362 coverage rate, mainly with the L gene-based assay. Thus our assays offer good performances  
363 on detection of African isolates and could be a useful complement for a recent published  
364 method (31) which presents some limits in detection of RABV isolates from Senegal  
365 belonging to the Africa 2 clade. Evaluation of the broad detection performance of our assays  
366 on isolates from other regions of the world displayed an excellent coverage level of the  
367 RABV L-gene RT-qPCR, whereas the RABV N-gene assay was limited with important non-  
368 African RABV strains. The reduced sensitivity of the N-gene assay despite good *in silico*  
369 profiles and performance demonstrated by the assays could be explained with the presence of  
370 secondary structure formation of the target area which may make it less accessible for the N-  
371 gene oligonucleotides during the RT-step (44, 45). All the RABV isolates tested (61 isolates  
372 belonging to the phylogenetic lineage cosmopolitan and clades Africa 2 and 3) were  
373 successfully detected, mainly with the L gene-based assay which provides a test with a large  
374 spectrum of RABV detection.

375 Although in the comparative analysis, the L gene-based assay offered better performances  
376 than the N-gene assay regarding sensitivity and predictive values, it seems reasonable to  
377 perform a double-check strategy on tested samples, using both assays in order to increase  
378 reliability during use in routine rabies identification or epidemiological surveys as previously  
379 reported for others lyssaviruses (46, 31). Moreover, high AUC value found in ROC analysis  
380 predicted that both assays are useful for accurate detection of RABV in positive samples.  
381 Furthermore, the assays showed a good agreement between measurements as shown by the  
382 Bland-Altman analysis results, confirming their ability to be used efficiently for RABV  
383 detection. Additionally, inter-assay and intra-assay variability below 3% and strong inter-  
384 laboratory concordance results ensure the repeatability and the RABV specificity of the two  
385 assay systems. Our assays, particularly the L-gene based assay, could be used accurately in  
386 different geographical contexts for RABV detection.

387 We used ten-fold dilutions of the RABV isolate SA217695SEN with a titer of  $6.7 \cdot 10^7$   
388  $\text{ID}_{50}/\text{mL}$  and obtained with L-gene assay lower LOD value than with the hemi-nested RT-  
389 PCR (22), although it has to be confirmed with a larger panel of isolates. The L-gene based  
390 assay was able to detect RABV with as low as  $6.7 \cdot 10^4 \text{ID}_{50}/\text{mL}$  and could be successfully  
391 applied in RABV diagnostic process, particularly on decomposed material or samples with  
392 low viral load (15, 47). Furthermore, compared to the hemi-nested RT-PCR, the established  
393 RT-qPCRs offer some important advantages in reduction of workload and run time, while  
394 providing a higher sensitivity compared to the conventional RT-PCR.

395 Based on its better performances, the L gene-based assay was chosen for determination of the  
396 detection capacity with clinical specimens in comparison with a previously existing RT-qPCR  
397 (31) considered as the reference technique. We evaluated the usefulness of the L-gene based  
398 assay for the *intra-vitam* diagnosis of rabies in human using a collection of positive and  
399 negative specimens including skin biopsy, saliva and cerebro-spinal fluid. Compared to the  
400 RT-qPCR assay from the NRC-R IPP (31) considered as the reference test, our L-gene based  
401 assay provided a strong concordance and the sensitivity was also high. This technique could  
402 be useful in clinical diagnostic as reliable quantitative tools for *ante-mortem* rabies diagnosis  
403 in humans (22, 31).

404 Finally our assays, particularly the L-gene based assay, could be a complement for existing  
405 methods for rabies diagnosis (21, 31, 46, 48, 49) with a high specificity, sensitivity and  
406 repeatability and more suitable for broad detection of African RABV strains.

407

#### 408 **Conflict of interest statement**

409

410 We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

411

#### 412 **Acknowledgements**

413

414 This study was supported by the International Institute Pasteur Network. We would like to  
415 thank the team of Unit of Arboviruses and viral hemorrhagic fevers of Institut Pasteur  
416 DAKAR, SENEGAL.

417

#### 418 **References**

419

420

421

422

423

424

1. Hampson K, Coudeville L, Lembo T, Sambo M, Kieffer A, Attlan M, et al. Estimating the global burden of endemic canine rabies. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015;9(4):e0003709.doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003709.
2. Anderson, A., Shwiff, S.A., 2013. The cost of canine rabies on four continents. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12168>.
3. Dietzschold B, Schnell M, Koprowski H. Pathogenesis of rabies. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*. 2005; 292:45–56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27485-5\\_3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27485-5_3).

- 425 4. World Health Organization, 2013. Expert Consultation on Rabies, Second report. World Health Organ.  
426 Tech. Rep. Ser. 982. pp. 1–150.
- 427 5. Delmas O, Holmes EC, Talbi C, Larrous F, Dacheux L, Bouchier C, et al. Genomic diversity and  
428 evolution of the lyssaviruses. *PLoS One*. 2008 Apr 30;3(4):e2057.
- 429 6. Ross RS, Wolters B, Hoffmann B, Geue L, Viazov S, Grüner N, Roggendorf M, Müller T. Instructive  
430 even after a decade: Complete results of initial virological diagnostics and re-evaluation of molecular  
431 data in the German rabies virus "outbreak" caused by transplantations. *Int J Med Microbiol*. 2015 Oct;  
432 305(7):636-43. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2015.08.013.
- 433 7. Vora NM, Orciari LA, Niezgodá M, Selvaggi G, Stosor V, Lyon GM 3rd, Wallace RM, Gabel J, Stanek  
434 DR, Jenkins P, Shiferaw M, Yager P, Jackson F, Hanlon CA, Damon I, Blanton JD, Recuenco S,  
435 Franka R. Clinical management and humoral immune responses to rabies post-exposure prophylaxis  
436 among three patients who received solid organs from a donor with rabies. *Transpl Infect Dis*. 2015 Jun;  
437 17(3):389-95. doi: 10.1111/tid.12393.
- 438 8. Vora NM, Basavaraju SV, Feldman KA, Paddock CD, Orciari L, Gitterman S, Griese S, Wallace RM,  
439 Said M, Blau DM, Selvaggi G, Velasco-Villa A, Ritter J, Yager P, Kresch A, Niezgodá M, Blanton J,  
440 Stosor V, Falta EM, Lyon GM 3rd, Zembower T, Kuzmina N, Rohatgi PK, Recuenco S, Zaki S, Damon  
441 I, Franka R, Kuehnert MJ; Transplant-Associated Rabies Virus Transmission Investigation Team.  
442 Raccoon rabies virus variant transmission through solid organ transplantation. *JAMA*. 2013 Jul  
443 24;310(4):398-407. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.7986.
- 444 9. Jackson AC, Warrell MJ, Rupprecht CE, Ertl HC, Dietzschold B, O'Reilly M, et al. Management of  
445 rabies in humans. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2003; 36:60–3. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/344905>.
- 446 10. Dacheux L, Wacharapluesadee S, Hemachudha T, Meslin FX, Buchy P, Reynes JM, et al. More  
447 accurate insight into the incidence of human rabies in developing countries through validated laboratory  
448 techniques. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2010;4(11):e765.
- 449 11. Bourhy, H., Reynes, J. M., Dunham, E. J., Dacheux, L., Larrous, F., Huong, V. T., Xu, G., Yan, J.,  
450 Miranda, M. E. & Holmes, E. C. (2008). The origin and phylogeography of dog rabies virus. *J Gen  
451 Virol* 89, 2673–2681.
- 452 12. Talbi C, Holmes EC, de Benedictis P, Faye O, Nakouné E, Gamatié D, Diarra A, Elmamy BO, Sow A,  
453 Adjogoua EV, Sangare O, Dundon WG, Capua I, Sall AA, Bourhy H. Evolutionary history and  
454 dynamics of dog rabies virus in western and central Africa. *J Gen Virol*. 2009 Apr; 90(Pt 4):783-91.  
455 doi: 10.1099/vir.0.007765-0.
- 456 13. WHO. Expert Consultation on Rabies—Second report. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health  
457 Organisation; 2013. 139 p
- 458 14. OIE. Rabies. 2013. In: Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2016. Paris:  
459 World Organisation for Animal Health. Available from: [http://www.oie.int/en/international-  
460 standardsetting/terrestrial-manual/access-online/](http://www.oie.int/en/international-standardsetting/terrestrial-manual/access-online/)
- 461 15. Fooks, A.R., Johnson, N., Freuling, C.M., Wakeley, P.R., Banyard, A.C., McElhinney, L.M.,  
462 Marston, D.A., Dastjerdi, A., Wright, E., Weiss, R.A., Müller, T., 2009. Emerging technologies  
463 for the detection of rabies virus: challenges and hopes in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. *PLoS Negl. Trop.  
464 Dis*. 3, e530.
- 465 16. Heaton P. R, Johnstone P, McElhinney L. M, Cowley R, O'Sullivan E, and Whitby J. E. "Heminested  
466 PCR assay for detection of six genotypes of rabies and rabies-related viruses," *Journal of Clinical  
467 Microbiology*, vol.35, no.11, pp.2762–2766, 1997.
- 468 17. Araújo DB, Langoni H, Almeida MF, Megid J. Heminested reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain  
469 reaction (hnRT-PCR) as a tool for rabies virus detection in stored and decomposed samples. *BMC Res  
470 Notes*. 2008 Jun 4; 1:17. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-1-17.
- 471 18. Panning M, Baumgarte S., Pfefferle S, Maier T, Martens A, and Drosten C, "Comparative analysis of  
472 rabies virus reverse transcription-PCR and virus isolation using samples from a patient infected with  
473 rabies virus," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol.48, no.8, pp.2960–2962, 2010.



- 474 19. De Benedictis P, de Battisti C, Dacheux L, et al., "Lyssavirus detection and typing using  
475 pyrosequencing," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 49, no.5, pp.1932–1938, 2011.
- 476 20. Wacharapluesadee S, Phumesin P, Supavonwong P, Khawplod P, Intarut N, Hemachudha T.  
477 Comparative detection of rabies RNA by NASBA, real-time PCR and conventional PCR. *J Virol*  
478 *Methods*. 2011 Aug; 175(2):278-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2011.05.007. Epub 2011 May 11.
- 479 21. Coertse, J., Weyer, J., Nel, L.H., Markotter, W., 2010. Improved PCR methods for detection of  
480 African rabies and rabies-related lyssaviruses. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48 (11), 3949–3955.
- 481 22. Dacheux L, Reynes JM, Buchy P, Sivuth O, Diop BM, Rousset D, Rathat C, Jolly N, Dufourcq JB,  
482 Nareth C, Diop S, Iehlé C, Rajerison R, Sadorge C, Bourhy H. A reliable diagnosis of human rabies  
483 based on analysis of skin biopsy specimens. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008 Dec 1; 47(11):1410-7. doi:  
484 10.1086/592969.
- 485 23. Kissi B1, Tordo N, Bourhy H. Genetic polymorphism in the rabies virus nucleoprotein gene. *Virology*.  
486 1995 Jun 1;209(2):526-37.
- 487 24. Poch, O., Blumberg, B.M., Bouguelert, L., Tordo, N., 1990. Sequence comparison of five  
488 polymerases (L proteins) of unsegmented negative-strand RNA viruses: theoretical assignment of  
489 functional domains. *J. Gen. Virol.* 71, 1153–1162.
- 490 25. Hayman, D.T., Banyard, A.C., Wakeley, P.R., Harkess, G., Marston, D., Wood, J.L.,  
491 Cunningham, A.A., Fooks, A.R., 2011. A universal real-time assay for the detection of Lyssaviruses.  
492 *J. Virol. Methods* 177 (1), 87–93.
- 493 26. Fischer M, Freuling CM, Müller T, Wegelt A, Kooi EA, Rasmussen TB, Voller K, Marston DA, Fooks  
494 AR, Beer M, Hoffmann B. Molecular double-check strategy for the identification and characterization  
495 of European Lyssaviruses. *J Virol Methods*. 2014 Jul; 203:23-32. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2014.03.014.
- 496 27. Hoffmann, B., Freuling, C.M., Wakeley, P.R., Rasmussen, T.B., Leech, S., Fooks, A.R., Beer,  
497 M., Müller, T., 2010. Improved safety for molecular diagnosis of classical rabies viruses by use  
498 of a TaqMan real-time reverse transcription-PCR "double check" strategy. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48  
499 (11), 3970–3978.
- 500 28. Wacharapluesadee S, Tepsumethanon V, Supavonwong P, Kaewpom T, Intarut N, Hemachudha T.,  
501 Detection of rabies viral RNA by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR using non-neural specimens from dogs  
502 infected with rabies virus. *J Virol Methods*. 2012 Sep; 184(1-2):109-12. doi:  
503 10.1016/j.jviromet.2012.05.013.
- 504 29. Hayman DT, Johnson N, Horton DL, Hedge J, Wakeley PR, Banyard AC, Zhang S, Alhassan A, Fooks  
505 AR. Evolutionary history of rabies in Ghana. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2011 Apr 5; 5(4):e1001. doi:  
506 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001001.
- 507 30. Muleya W, Namangala B, Mweene A, Zulu L, Fandamu P, Banda D, Kimura T, Sawa H, Ishii A.  
508 Molecular epidemiology and a loop-mediated isothermal amplification method for diagnosis of  
509 infection with rabies virus in Zambia. *Virus Res*.2012Jan; 163(1):160-8. doi:  
510 10.1016/j.virusres.2011.09.010.
- 511 31. Dacheux L, Larrous F, Lavenir R, Lepelletier A, Faouzi A, Troupin C, Nourlil J, Buchy P, Bourhy H.  
512 Dual Combined Real-Time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction Assay for the Diagnosis  
513 of Lyssavirus Infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016 Jul 5;10(7):e0004812.
- 514 32. Kumar, S., Nei, M., Dudley, J., Tamura, K., 2008. MEGA: a biologist-centric software for  
515 evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 9, 299–306.
- 516 33. Swets, J., 1988. Measuring the accuracy of diagnostic systems. *Science* 240,1285–1293.
- 517 34. Karimollah Hajian-Tilaki. ROC curve analysis for medical diagnostic test evaluation. *Caspian J Intern*  
518 *Med* 2013; 4 (2): 627-635.
- 519 35. Davide Giavarina. Understanding Bland Altman analysis. *Biochem Med (Zagreb)*. 2015 Jun; 25(2):  
520 141–151. doi: 10.11613/BM.2015.015.

- 521 36. European Union Reference Laboratory for Rabies (2015) Inter-laboratory test for rabies diagnosis.  
522 Proficiency test report Diag-06-2015-V1-EN.
- 523 37. Reed and Muench. A simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints. The American Journal of  
524 Hygiene. Vol 27. May 1938.
- 525 38. Troupin C, Dacheux L, Tanguy M, Sabeta C, Blanc H, Bouchier C, Vignuzzi M, Holmes EC, Bourhy  
526 H. Large scale phylogenetic analysis reveals the complex evolutionary trajectories of rabies virus  
527 among different carnivore hosts. Submitted.
- 528 39. OIE:Terrestrial Manual 2013. CHAPTER 1.1.5. PRINCIPLES AND METHODS OF VALIDATION  
529 OF DIAGNOSTIC ASSAYS FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES. May 2013.
- 530 40. Farhadi; Saeede Salehi; Setare Adibzadeh; Farzaneh Aboualzadeh; Parniyan Alavi; Negin Nikouyan;  
531 Mohammad Ali Okhovat; Reza Ranjbaran; Gholam Reza Rafiei Dehbidi; Arash Shakibzadeh;  
532 Development of an In-House TaqMan Real Time RT-PCR Assay to Quantify Hepatitis C Virus RNA in  
533 Serum and Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells in Patients With Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection.  
534 Hepat Mon. 2015 August; 15(8): e28895. DOI: 10.5812/hepatmon.28895.
- 535 41. Choudhary N, Wei G, Govindarajulu A, Roy A, Li W, Picton DD, Nakhla MK, Levy L, Brlansky RH:  
536 Detection of Citrus leprosis virus C using specific primers and TaqMan probe in one-step real-time  
537 reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assays. J Virol Methods. 2015 Nov; 224:105-9. doi:  
538 10.1016/j.jviromet. 2015.08.022.
- 539 42. Deubelbeiss A, Zahno ML, Zanoni M, Bruegger D, Zanoni R. Real-Time RT-PCR for the Detection of  
540 Lyssavirus Species. J Vet Med. 2014; 2014:476091. doi: 10.1155/2014/476091.
- 541 43. World Health Organisation, 2013. Expert Consultation on Rabies, Second report. World Health Organ.  
542 Tech. Rep. Ser. 982. pp. 1–150.
- 543 44. Kuo KW, Leung MF, Leung WC. Intrinsic secondary structure of human TNFR-I mRNA influences the  
544 determination of gene expression by RTPCR. Mol Cell Biochem 1997;177:1–6.
- 545 45. Myers, T.W., Gelfand, D. H., Reverse transcription and DNA amplification by a *Thermus thermophilus*  
546 DNA polymerase. *Biochemistry* 1991, 30, 182–192.
- 547 46. Fischer M, Freuling CM, Müller T, Wegelt A, Kooi EA, Rasmussen TB, Voller K, Marston DA, Fooks  
548 AR, Beer M, Hoffmann B. Molecular double-check strategy for the identification and characterization  
549 of European Lyssaviruses. J Virol Methods. 2014 Jul; 203:23-32. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2014.03.014.
- 550 47. McElhinney LM, Marston DA, Brookes SM, Fooks AR. Effects of carcase decomposition on rabies  
551 virus infectivity and detection. J Virol Methods. 2014 Oct; 207:110-3. doi:  
552 10.1016/j.jviromet.2014.06.024.
- 553 48. Suin V, Nazé F, Francart A, Lamoral S, De Craeye S, Kalai M, Van Gucht S: A two-step lyssavirus  
554 real-time polymerase chain reaction using degenerate primers with superior sensitivity to the  
555 fluorescent antigen test. Biomed Res Int. 2014; 2014:256175. doi: 10.1155/2014/256175.
- 556 49. Black EM, Lowings JP, Smith J, Heaton PR, McElhinney LM. A rapid RT-PCR method to differentiate  
557 six established genotypes of rabies and rabies-related viruses using TaqMan™ technology. Journal of  
558 Virological Methods 105 (2002) 25 – 35.

559


560

561

562


563

564




565  
 566 **Figure 1:** Standard curves of the TaqMan RT-qPCR assays using RABV-nucleoprotein gene-  
 567 specific probe (N) and RABV-polymerase gene-specific probe (L). The slope equation, the  
 568 correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) and the efficiency (E) of each linear regression curve are shown in  
 569 the figure. These standard curves were established using the RABV isolate SA217695SEN,  
 570 and results are indicated as RNA copy number detected per reaction.  
 571

572  
 573  
 574




575  
 576 **Figure 2:** ROC curves of the developed assays using XLSTAT. The AUC value of 1  
 577 indicate that the assays have excellent accuracy for RABV genome when samples are  
 578 positives.  
 579



580  
 581 **Figure 3:** Bland-Altman graphic of differences between N-gene and L-gene assays  
 582 plotted against average Cq values of the two measurements. The bias of  $1.920 \pm 6.875$  (CI  
 583 95%; -11.56 - 15.40) units is represented by the gap between X axis (zero differences) and the  
 584 line of equality (blue) parallel to the X axis.

585  
 586



587  
 588 **Figure 4:** Broad detection spectrum of news RABV assays. The countries where tested strains  
 589 originated are colored in yellow, showing a significant detection scale of established RABV  
 590 N-gene and L-gene diagnostic assays.

591  
 592

**Table 1: Oligonucleotide sequences of primers and probes designed in this study**

Name	Type	Length	Sense	Sequence 5'-3'	Gene	Position <sup>a</sup>	GC (%)	T <sub>m</sub> (°C) <sup>b</sup>	Product Size (nt)
RABVRPN1	primer	20	Sense	GCTCTGGGCTGGTGTCTGTTTC	Nucleoprotein	707-726	65.00	58.87	
RABVPN	probe	23	Sense	6FAM-CGAGCCARGGCAGGAGACTGCGG--BBQ	Nucleoprotein	819-841	73.91	67.74	193
RABVFPN2	primer	20	Antisense	TACGGGGACTTCCCGCTCAG	Nucleoprotein	880-899	65.00	59.14	
RABVRPL1	primer	20	sense	GGTTTCCGGDGCYGTDCCTC	Polymerase	9472-9491	65.00	58.94	
RABVPL	probe	27	sense	6FAM-CCCGTCAYATAGGGTCRGCTCARGGGC--BBQ	Polymerase	9561-9587	66.66	67.36	208
RABVFPL2	primer	20	Antisense	CCTAGGGGAGACYTTGCCRT	Polymerase	9660-9679	65.00	59.02	

**FAM**, fluorescein amidite; **BBQ**, blackberry quencher;

**a**, corresponding nucleotide positions of RABV strain CAR\_11/001h (GenBank Ac. No. KF977826)

**b**, melting temperature (°C)

**nt**, nucleotides

**Table 2: Description and results of rhabdoviruses isolates used in this study for Specificity assessment**

Isolates	Virus	Genus	References	Place of isolation	year of isolation	Species	Rabies virus N gene		Rabies virus L gene	
							Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD	Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD
SA221203SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2011	<i>Mellivora capensis</i> (honey badger)	23.40	0.078	18.05	0.312
SA217694SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2011	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	18.40	0.432	19.99	0.114
SA217695SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2011	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	16.81	0.015	19.24	0.099
SA217750SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2011	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	17.31	0.191	21.89	0.467
SA218152SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2011	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	23.16	0.142	21.74	0.099
SH155966SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2001	<i>Homo sapiens</i> (human)	18.20	0.015	18.73	0.184
SH177846SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2005	<i>Homo sapiens</i> (human)	30.23	0.156	35.26	0.000
SA194858SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2008	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	17.18	0.008	20.37	0.219
SA204014SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2010	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	25.16	0.121	14.86	0.128
SA206776SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2010	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	25.15	0.015	15.00	0.036
SA252888SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2013	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	26.75	0.099	20.26	0.425
SA252913SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2013	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	23.52	0.114	16.39	0.057
SA262037SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2013	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	25.15	0.170	24.16	0.396
SA262503SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2014	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	23.22	0.057	21.01	0.071
SA262518SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2014	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	22.77	0.078	21.21	0.538
SA267115SEN	Rabies (RABV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	2014	<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> (dog)	22.46	0.368	22.62	0.142
DakAnB1094	Kolongo (KOLV)	unassigned	JX276998	Central African Republic	1970	<i>Euplectes afra</i> (bird)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
AnY1307	Mokola (MOKV)	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	NC_006429	Cameroon	1973	<i>Crocidura spp.</i> (shrews)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
AnB373d	Sandjimba (SjAV)	unassigned	JX277024	Central African Republic	1970	<i>Acrocephalus schoenobaenus</i> (bird)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
AnB4289	Nasoule (NASV)	unassigned	JX277012	Central African Republic	1973	<i>Andropadus virens</i> (bird)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
AnD42443	Lagos Bat (LBV) 3	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	NC020807	Senegal	1985	<i>Eidolon helvum</i> (fruit bat)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
AnB672	Lagos Bat (LBV) 2	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Central African Republic	1974	<i>Micropteropus pusillus</i> (fruit bat)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
An K 6909	Lagos Bat (LBV) 4	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	from CRORA database	Guinea	1985	<i>Nycteris gambiensis</i> (Gambian Slit-faced Bat)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
LBVNIG1956	Lagos Bat (LBV) 6	<i>Lyssavirus</i>	EF547431	Nigeria	1956	<i>Eidolon helvum</i> (fruit bat)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
DakHD763	Le Dantec (LDV)	<i>Ledantevirus</i>	AY854650	Senegal	1965	<i>Homo sapiens</i> (human)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
DakAnD5314	Keuraliba (KEUV)	<i>Ledantevirus</i>	JX276996	Senegal	1968	<i>Tatera kempfi</i> (gerbil)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
ArD89384	Chandipura (CHNV)	<i>Vesiculovirus</i>	from CRORA database	Senegal	1992	<i>Phlebotomus sp.</i> (sandflies)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
SudAr1275	Obodhiang (OBOV)	<i>Ephemerovirus</i>	HM856902	Sudan	1963	<i>Mansonia uniformis</i> (mosquitoes)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
ArY31-65	Nkolbisson (NKOV)	<i>Ledantevirus</i>	JX277015	Cameroon	1965	<i>Eretmapodites leucopus</i> (mosquitoes)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
DakAnB439	Garba (GARV)	unassigned	KM204982	Central African Republic	1970	<i>Corythornis cristata</i> (bird)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
SAAr1995	Mossuril (MOSV)	<i>Hapavirus</i>	KM204993	Mozambique	1959	<i>Culex sitiens</i> (mosquitoes)	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg

SD: standard deviation

Neg: negative; no cross-reactivity

CRORA: WHO Reference Centre for Arboviruses and Hemorrhagic Fevers; Institut Pasteur, BP 220, Dakar, Senegal

a: Mean Cq value from duplicates

ACCEPTED

**Table 3a: Results of evaluation of Spectrum of detection in Africa**

Isolate	Origin	Species	Year	reference	Phylogenetic clade - subclade	N gene assay		L gene assay	
						Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD	Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD
93012MAU	Mauritania	dog	1993	KX148237	Africa-2	27.35	0.685	31.39	0.049
93011MAU	Mauritania	dog	1993	KX148236	Africa-2	16.83	1.180	26.30	0.615
91031MAU	Mauritania	dog	1991	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-2	16.21	1.660	25.22	0.289
90024GUI	Guinea	dog	1990	KX148244	Africa-2	17.28	0.000	23.32	0.028
92038CI	Ivory Coast	dog	1992	KX148233	Africa-2	21.56	0.098	23.93	0.148
90003CI	Ivory Coast	dog	1990	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-2	17.82	0.042	22.24	0.113
90026CI	Ivory Coast	dog	1990	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-2	16.32	0.487	26.03	0.926
01007CI	Ivory Coast	dog	2001	KX148235	Africa-2	14.85	0.289	22.06	1.385
92037CI	Ivory Coast	dog	1992	KX148232	Africa-2	23.23	0.120	24.46	1.046
95047HAV	Burkina Faso	dog	1995	KX148230	Africa-2	15.88	0.070	16.30	0.572
86036HAV	Burkina Faso	dog	1986	KX148234	Africa-2	11.78	0.127	17.65	0.615
90012NIG	Niger	dog	1990	KX148229	Africa-2	19.045	0.289	20.18	0.014
90010NIG	Niger	dog	1990	KX148231	Africa-2	14.235	0.388	17.35	0.247
86070NGA	Nigeria	dog	1986	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-2	26.4	0.028	23.31	1.520
86003BRE	Nigeria	dog	1986	KX148201	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	26.15	0.226	22.41	0.763
95002 CAM	Cameroon	dog	1994	KX148242	Africa-2	15.41	0.197	16.75	0.028
88003CAM	Cameroon	dog	1987	KX148243	Africa-2	17.93	0.028	19.14	0.077
88006CAM	Cameroon	dog	1987	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-2	18.38	1.350	21.46	0.622
96009TCH	Chad	dog	1996	KX148241	Africa-2	13.96	0.063	18.06	0.275
92018TCH	Chad	dog	1992	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-2	24.36	0.296	23.78	0.544
97138TCH	Chad	dog	1997	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-2	15.07	0.707	20.9	0.098
90021TCH	Chad	dog	1990	KX148240	Africa-2	15.49	0.021	17.70	0.968
86097BEN	Benin	Cat	1986	KX148107	Africa-2	15.10	0.021	17.23	0.056
94289RWA	Rwanda	dog	1994	KX148205	Cosmopolitan - AF1b	28.74	0.021	22.23	0.134
92028CAR	Central African Republic	dog	1992	NRC-Rabies IPP	Cosmopolitan - AF1b	26.42	0.197	28.1	0.395
92029CAR	Central African Republic	dog	1992	KX148208	Cosmopolitan - AF1b	23.68	0.544	21.72	1.046
86031MOZ	Mozambique	dog	1986	KX148203	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	26.73	0.346	16.29	0.268
87021AFS	South Africa	Human	1981	KX148103	Cosmopolitan - AF1b	27.69	0.346	21.12	0.197
92030NAM	Namibia	dog	1992	KX148204	Cosmopolitan - AF1b	22.91	0.806	14.66	0.339
86092EGY	Egypt	Human	1979	KX148101	Cosmopolitan - AF4	25.90	0.021	26.36	0.063
95049GAB	Gabon	dog	1995	KX148202	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	30.14	0.417	25.02	0.155
93002SOM	Somalia	dog	1993	KX148198	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	Neg	Neg	25.47	0.311
96013TAN	Tanzania	dog	1996	KX148206	Cosmopolitan - AF1b	Neg	Neg	19.75	2.008
93006SOM	Somalia	Jackal	1993	KX148199	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	Neg	Neg	22.40	0.120
87012MAR	Morocco	dog	1987	NRC-Rabies IPP	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	Neg	Neg	33.39	0.141
88008ETH	Ethiopia	dog	1988	KX148200	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	28.11	0.240	23.99	0.113
04031MAR	Morocco	dog	2004	KX148195	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	26.13	0.007	20.09	0.113
90016MAR	Morocco	dog	1990	KX148196	Cosmopolitan - AF1a	27.78	0.219	22.62	0.240
98002MAD	Madagascar	Human	1998	KX148210	Cosmopolitan - AF1c	24.77	0.162	28.52	0.155
15005AFS	South Africa	Mongoose	2014	NRC-Rabies IPP	Africa-3	23.78	0.601	31.34	0.049
15002AFS	South Africa	Mongoose	2014	KX148221	Africa-3	25.24	0.014	21.06	0.728

Neg: negative or no fluorescence signal

Cq : quantitative Cycle number

a: Mean Cq value from duplicates

SD: standard deviation

Determination of clades and subclades were done according to Troupin C, *et al.*, submitted (38)

NRC-Rabies IPP: National Reference Center for rabies virus database at Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

**Table 3b: Results of evaluation of Spectrum of detection in other parts of the world**

Isolates	Origin	Species	Year	Reference	Phylogenetic clade - subclade	N gene assay		L gene assay	
						Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD	Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD
91047FRA	France	Fox	1991	KX148127	Cosmopolitan - WE	27.11	0.162	22.73	0.155
96002FRA	France	Fox	1996	KX148126	Cosmopolitan - WE	27.38	0.077	20.41	1.301
96140POL	Poland	Raccoon dog	1993	KX148120	Cosmopolitan - CE	Neg	Neg	16.31	0.106
92001GER	Germany	Fox	1991	KX148135	Cosmopolitan - WE	Neg	Neg	22.68	0.289
93039EST	Estonia	Raccoon dog	1991	KX148149	Cosmopolitan - NEE	35.35	0.353	22.33	0.049
86054YOU	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Wolf	1986	KX148145	Cosmopolitan - EE	37.08	0.353	25.54	0.007
87001ARS	Saudi Arabia	Fox	1987	NRC-Rabies IPP	Cosmopolitan - ME1a	Neg	Neg	33.08	0.183
94009TUR	Turkey	Dog	1993	KX148165	Cosmopolitan - ME2	Neg	Neg	26.22	0.000
94272PHI	Philippines	Dog	1994	KX148259	Asian - SEA4	31.25	0.084	32.22	0.127
99009BUR	Burma	Dog	1999	NRC-Rabies IPP	ND	19.15	0.007	33.4	0.183
99008CBG	Cambodia	Dog	1999	KX148252	Asian - SEA3	32.05	0.007	36.51	0.014
99010LAO	Laos	Dog	1999	KX148255	Asian - SEA3	Neg	Neg	29.60	0.459
02043CHI	China	Dog	ND	NRC-Rabies IPP	Asian - SEA2a	36.55	0.070	36.11	1.096
02045CHI	China	Dog	ND	NRC-Rabies IPP	Asian - SEA2a	32.28	0.905	35.91	0.100
91014MEX	Mexico	Dog	1991	KX148110	Cosmopolitan - AM2a	Neg	Neg	21.32	0.353
86001BRE	Brazil	Dog	1986	KX148216	Cosmopolitan - AM3a	19.04	0.007	27.42	0.063
91001USA	USA	Skunk	1982	KX148213	Cosmopolitan - AM1	35.61	0.339	20.81	0.141
91004USA	USA	Skunk	1991	KX148224	Arctic-related - A	Neg	Neg	23.64	0.480
02052AFG	Afghanistan	Dog	2002	KX148225	Arctic-related - AL1b	Neg	Neg	22.40	0.028
91041RUS	Russia	Fox	1991	NRC-Rabies IPP	Cosmopolitan - CA1	Neg	Neg	23.18	1.011

Neg: negative or no fluorescence signal

Cq : quantitative Cycle number

a: Mean Cq value from duplicates

SD: standard deviation

Determination of clades and subclades were done according to Troupin C, *et al.*, submitted (reference 38)

NRC-Rabies IPP: National Reference Center for rabies virus database at Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

ND: not determined

ACCEPT



**Table 4: Results of repeatability test and summary of results used for determination of Analytical specificity and sensitivity**

	N GENE ASSAY		L GENE ASSAY	
Intra-run CV	1.67 %		1.08 %	
Inter-run CV	2.20 %		2.16 %	
	Positive samples	Negative samples	Positive samples	Negative samples
Positive	64	0	77	0
Negative	13	35	0	35
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>35</b>
Specificity	100%		100%	
Sensitivity	78.70% (CI 95%; 68.40-89.00%)		100%	
Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	100%		100%	
Negative Predictive Value (NPV)	72.91% (CI 95%; 56.76-82.79%)		100%	

%; percentage

All samples were previously tested by the reference technique, FAT

CV: coefficient of variation

Accepted Manuscript

**Table 5: Description of isolates used and results of inter-laboratory concordance**

Identification	Isolate	virus	Species	Origin	Year	Interlaboratory trial Expected results <sup>a</sup>	NRC-R IPP Combo RT-qPCR	NRC-R IPD N-gene assay	NRC-R IPD L-gene assay
1	CVS 27 13-14	RABV (rabies virus)	<i>Fixed strain</i>	/	/	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
2	GS7 18-13	RABV (rabies virus)	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	France	1986	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
3	GREECE 03-15	RABV (rabies virus)	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	Greece	2012	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
4	BBLV 02-15	BBLV (Bokeloh bat lyssavirus)	<i>Myotis nattereri</i>	France	2012	Positive	Positive	Negative	Negative
5	DUVV 02-12	DUVV (Duvhage virus)	<i>Homo sapiens sapiens</i>	South Africa	1971	Positive	Positive	Negative	Negative
6	EBLV-1a 08-14	EBLV-1 (European Lagos bat virus 1)	<i>Eptesicus serotinus</i>	France	2002	Positive	Positive	Negative	Negative
7	EBLV-2 01-15	EBLV-2 (European Lagos bat virus 2)	<i>Myotis daubentonii</i>	United kingdom	2004	Positive	Positive	Negative	Negative
8	Negative 17-13	/	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	France	2012	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
9	Negative 17-13	/	<i>Vulpes vulpes</i>	France	2012	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative

a: Expected results by European Union Reference Laboratory for Rabies during interlaboratory trial 2015 (36).

Assays detected only RABV strains and gave results 100% concordant with previous results obtained with the combo RT-qPCR (31) from NRC-R IPP, used as reference technique. A Cohen's kappa coefficient (k) of of  $1 \pm 0.31$  (95% CI; 0.38-1.61) ( $p = 0.001$ ) were obtained.

Assays were also concordant with expected results of interlaboratory trial on the same samples.

Accepted Manuscript

**Table 6: Detection limit of RABV by new established qRT-PCR assays and conventional hemi-nested RT-PCR**

TITERS ID <sub>50</sub> /mL	L GENE qRT-PCR		N GENE qRT-PCR		Hemi-nested PCR
	Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD	Mean Cq value <sup>a</sup>	SD	Electrophoresis gel results
6.7E+07	11.00	0.141	15.61	0.820	(+++)
6.7E+06	12.74	0.212	18.08	0.049	(+++)
6.7E+05	15.63	0.346	21.23	0.007	(+++)
6.7E+04	19.57	1.378	24.61	0.60	(++)
6.7E+03	24.58	0.516	28.55	0.381	(++)
6.7E+02	28.51	0.636	31.79	0.622	(++)
6.7E+01	31.74	0.919	36.82	0.021	(+)
6.7	33.51	0.487	39.16	0.487	(+)
0.67	36.63	0.084	Neg	Neg	Neg
0.067	37.44	0.084	Neg	Neg	Neg
0.0067	38.07	0.035	Neg	Neg	Neg
0.00067	38.83	0.254	Neg	Neg	Neg
0.000067	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg

Neg: negative or no fluorescence signal

Cq : quantitative Cycle number

a: Mean Cq value from duplicates

(+++): highest band intensity

(++): average band intensity

(+): lowest band intensity

Ten fold-serial dilutions of RABV isolate SA217695SEN with an initial virus titer of  $6.7 \times 10^7$  ID<sub>50</sub>/mL were tested with new assays. The reference technique were the RT-hnPCR previously described (22).

Accepted M.

**Table 7: Clinical sensibility assessment on *intra-vitam* human specimens**

Patient Code	Origin	Hospital service	Sample	Technique	NRC-R IPP combo RT-qPCR	NRC-R IPD L-gene assay	Final diagnosis
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Cerebro-spinal fluid	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	RABV-positive patient
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Skin biopsy	qRT-PCR	Positive	Positive	
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Saliva day1	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Saliva day2	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Saliva day3	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Saliva day4	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Saliva day6	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0004	France	CHU Mondor	Saliva day8	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0007	Italia	CHU Milan	Skin biopsy	qRT-PCR	Positive	Positive	RABV-positive patient
H14-0007	Italia	CHU Milan	Cerebro-spinal fluid day1	qRT-PCR	Positive	Positive	
H14-0007	Italia	CHU Milan	Cerebro-spinal fluid day2	qRT-PCR	Positive	Positive	
H14-0008	France	CH Garches	Skin biopsy	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	RABV-negative patient
H14-0008	France	CH Garches	Saliva	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0009	France	CH Bastia	Skin biopsy	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	RABV-negative patient
H14-0009	France	CH Bastia	Cerebro-spinal fluid	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0010	France	CHU Cayenne	Skin biopsy	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	RABV-negative patient
H14-0010	France	CHU Cayenne	Saliva	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	
H14-0011	France	CHU Cayenne	Biospy skin	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	RABV-negative patient
H14-0011	France	CHU Cayenne	Saliva	qRT-PCR	Negative	Negative	

RABV: rabies virus

NRC-R IPD L-gene assay were 100% concordant to the previous diagnosis results obtained with the combo RT-qPCR (31) from NRC-R IPP, used as reference technique.


625

626

627

## Graphical Abstract

628



629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

593 **Highlights**

594 Two reliable real-time quantitative RT-PCR assays were developed for RABV detection

595 Primer and probe sets were targeted to highly conserved regions in nucleoprotein and  
596 polymerase

597 Sensitivity ranged between 100 to 10 standard RNA copies per reaction for N-gene  
598 and L-gene assays, respectively.

599 These assays can be successfully applied on African isolates for research and  
600 diagnostic

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624