Accepted refereed manuscript of:

Thomas N & Emond R (2017) Living alone but eating together: exploring lunch clubs as a dining out experience, *Appetite*, 119, pp. 34-40.

DOI: <u>10.1016/j.appet.2017.03.003</u>

© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

# Accepted Manuscript

Living alone but eating together: Exploring lunch clubs as a dining out experience

Nadine Thomas, Ruth Emond

PII: S0195-6663(16)30568-2

DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2017.03.003

Reference: APPET 3364

To appear in: Appetite

Received Date: 14 October 2016

Revised Date: 28 February 2017

Accepted Date: 3 March 2017

Please cite this article as: Thomas N. & Emond R., Living alone but eating together: Exploring lunch clubs as a dining out experience, *Appetite* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2017.03.003.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



# Living alone but eating together: exploring lunch clubs as a dining out experience

Nadine Thomas & Dr Ruth Emond

Faculty of Applied Social Science, University of Stirling, Scotland, FK9 4LA

Correspondence to nadine.thomas@stir.ac.uk

## 1 Living alone but eating together: exploring lunch clubs as a dining out experience $\Box$

- 2 Nadine Thomas & Ruth Emond  $\Box$
- 3 University of Stirling, Scotland, UK □

4 Key messages

- 5 Lunch clubs can be sources of dining out experiences
- Dining in alone is not necessarily experienced as lonely by older people; rather
  associated with a sense of control over menu and food practices
  - However, choice and control is limited by the availability of community care

## 9 Abstract $\Box$

8

Dining out is most often associated with pleasure and gratification, principally since it 10 presents opportunities for sociability. However, access to dining out experiences is 11 12 influenced by multiple factors, including age. Little is known about the dining out habits 13 of older people. In particular, the food practices of those living alone in the community is 14 under-researched compared to those in hospital or residential care. This study explores 15 the perceptions and preferences of ten older people towards domestic and communal 16 meals in South East Scotland. Qualitative data were generated from 5-day food diaries 17 and in-depth interviews with individuals who lived alone and attended a community-18 based senior citizen's lunch club. Data were coded and thematically analysed using a 19 symbolic interactionist perspective. A number of key themes were identified, including 20 the meaning of mealtimes. It was found that most participants ate the majority of their 21 meals at home alone. Despite this, dining alone was not necessarily experienced as 22 'lonely'. Participants reported that dining out at the lunch club was a pleasurable 23 experience given the social Dinteraction and the separation of consumption from food 24 work. Moreover, due to restricted mobility and limited access to transport, the lunch club 25 was viewed by participants as one of  $\Box$  the few places that they could go to dine out.  $\Box$ 

26

#### **27** Introduction $\square$

Mealtimes are not natural, inevitable or universal events and may therefore be highly indicative of social order (Murcott, 1997; Germov & Williams, 2004). What we eat, when we eat and where we eat are not determined at birth but are socially constructed and therefore fluid (Lane et al., $\Box$ 2014). Activities involving food are often marked by elements of ritual and routine (Logan et al., 2013). When such activities are observed and analysed, they can highlight important mechanisms by which we relate to ourselves, and to other people (Warde & Hetherington 1994).  $\Box$ 

Yet research into the patterning of mealtimes has so far focused on the archetypal 'family' of a heterosexual couple and children (Charles & Kerr, 1988; Ochs & Shohet, 2006; Philpin et al., □2014), and cohabitating couples (Burke et al., 1999; Marshall & Anderson 2002), despite a□rapid expansion of one-person households in the UK since 2004 (Knipe, 2015). Given such socio-demographic shifts, developing an understanding of domestic mealtime routines and □dining out experiences of people living in one-person households can be considered of increasing importance. □

42 Relatively little is known about the domestic organisation and mealtime experiences of 43 older people living alone in the community. Living alone in older age is linked with a 44 reduced motivation to cook and to eat regular meals (Davis, 1985). Older men living 45 alone consume fewer fruit and vegetables compared with older women (Hughes et al. 46 2004). Those who live alone over the age of 60 who report feelings of loneliness are also 47 more likely to forget to eat, and experience a lack of appetite (Wylie, 2000). Older age 48 represents an important stage of the life course from which to analyse processes of 49 change, including food practices (Hockey & James, 2002). Widowhood in older age may 50 prompt changes in domestic habits as individuals' employ social resources to cope with 51 the psychical challenges of food tasks (Vesnaver et al., 2012). Arguably, the attitudes of 52 older people represent a much needed contribution to the development of theories of food 53 consumption and dining out.  $\Box$ 

The purpose of this study was to explore the food practices of one-person households of older people, living alone in their own homes. Moreover, the project aimed to 56 investigate the ways such practices were meaningful; in particular how they related to 57 community care at a conceptual, as well as practical, level. The aims were articulated in 58 the following questions: i) what are the everyday food practices of older people living 59 alone in South East Scotland? (ii) what can their experiences of food practices tell 60 us about identity, relationships with others and society? And (iii) how does the current 61 system of community care in Scotland respond to the meanings of mealtimes held by 62 older people? This paper begins with a synthesis of literature on food behaviours of older people and an overview of community food initiatives in Scotland. Following an outline 63 64 of the research methods, the results are presented in parallel with a discussion, in order 65 to contextualise findings. Implications for future research as well as policy and practice 66 are identified in the conclusion.  $\Box$ 

67

#### 68 Background

69 Growth in the number of households in Scotland is largely attributed to greater numbers 70 of people, in particular, older people, living alone (National Records of Scotland, 2016). 71 This trend is likely to continue, with a projected 85% increase in the size of the 72 population aged 75 and above between 2016 and 2037 (ibid). How food is obtained and 73 prepared is critical to the food security of this population, defined as having access to 74 sufficient, safe, nutritious food to maintain a healthy and active life at all times (World 75 Health Organisation, 1996). However, at present the Scottish Government recognises that 76 there is 'no collated data for food provision of older people living in their own  $\Box$  homes in 77 Scotland' (in Jones et al., 2009: 38). A number of factors influence food availability for 78 older people living at home. Although not all older people find it difficult to procure and 79 prepare food, certain factors such as lacking cooking skills, difficulties in accessing 80 shops, not owning a car, disability, and low household income are associated with an 81 increased risk of malnutrition (Community Food and Health Scotland, 2014; Turrini et 82 al., 2010; Wilson, 2009).

A range of initiatives exist across Scotland with the objective of improving the nutritional
status of older people living at home, including lunch clubs, food cooperatives, transport

85 provision, meal delivery services and cooking classes (Community Food and Health 86 Scotland, 2014). Community initiatives have been shown to be in a unique position to 87 provide a personalised, health-promoting service to older people living at home (Dwyer 88 & Irene, 2011). Keller et al. (2007) demonstrate the benefits of grocery shopping and 89 home-delivery services such as 'meals-on-wheels', and argue that adequate funding, 90 appropriate eligibility criteria, and proper co-ordination of these services are critical to 91 ensuring the food security of older people. Without such measures, food security may 92 actually be undermined by policies enabling people to live independently for longer 93 (Mattsson Sydner & Fjellström, 2007). Similarly, Wilson (2009) describes how many 94 older people living in the community rely on services to ensure an appropriate quantity 95 and variety of foodstuffs. In light of this critical contribution, it appears that local 96 authorities are expected to identify need in the community and respond by funding the 97 community initiative that best meets that need in Scotland.  $\Box$ 

98 Longitudinal data indicates that loneliness increases in in older age due to reduced 99 friendship networks, bereavement and declining health (Collins, 2014). It is difficult to 100 precisely identify the number of older people who are lonely but estimates suggest that 101 around 10% of those over 65 describe themselves as feeling mostly or always lonely 102 (Victor et al., 2008; Luanaigh & Lawlow, 2008). In Scotland, this equates to 83,000 103 adults, with many more fitting into the category of 'at risk' of loneliness. If the 104 prevalence of loneliness amongst older adults persists, this figure will increase to a 105 population of 100,000 by 2031 (Scottish Executive,  $\Box$  2007). Lunch clubs for older people 106 offer a platform for social interaction with other diners, cooks and those providing service 107 or transport (Dwyer and Hardill, 2011). The Community Food and Health Scotland 108 defines lunch clubs as 'the opportunity to have a meal, often an affordably priced, outside 109 of the home and ... to meet with others in a social setting' (2011: 02). Lunch clubs for 110 older people may be an important aspect of combating loneliness in the community, yet 111 data on effectiveness of day care interventions is patchy (Jones et al., 2009).

Research suggests that ageing prompts positive and negative consequences on health and wellbeing through changes in food habits. A loss of control over food activities is hypothesised to threaten identity and cause anxious self-reflection and reduced self115 esteem (Locher et al., 2005; Rose & Howard, 2014). Having to take up new food 116 related activities, as well as having to discontinue former activities, may prompt 117 instability in a sense of self (Gustafsson & Sidenvall, 2002; Atta-Konadu et al., 2011). On 118 the other hand, others have highlighted the capacity of older people to adapt and enjoy 119 new forms of food practices: for example, spending time on other leisure activities than 120 meal preparation (Lane et al., 2014) being cooked for (Wilson, 1997) and dining together 121 (Keller et al., 2007). This contradictory relationship between altered food practices and 122 wellbeing reinstates that there is no singular trajectory of how older people adapt to 123 changes over the life course.  $\Box$ 

124 Given its symbolic nature, anthropologists have long been intrigued by the distribution of 125 and practices around food. Mealtimes have been interpreted as an indication of social 126 affinity (Douglas and Nicod, 1974), gender roles (Douglas, 2014), and the reproduction 127 of family (DeVault, 1991). An understanding of what constitutes a 'proper' meal Murcott 128 (1982), whilst often stereotyped, nonetheless has useful currency for comparison 129 (Warde and Martens, 2000). Charles and Kerr (1988) delineate between the sociability of 130 mealtimes in comparison with snacking, which is an individual activity. This raises the 131 issue that an individual's involvement with food extends beyond prototypical household 132 routine (Murcott, 1997). 'Food practices' is a term used to categorize any task, action or 133 life experience involving food (Plastow et al., 2015). Such a term encompasses the 134 acquisition, preparation, serving, consuming and disposal of food (Jastran et al., 2009).  $\Box$ 

135 Dining out or eating out is a contested term, most often pitted against ideals of family and 136 household food provision (Wood, 1995). A shared understanding of dining out draws on 137 concepts of non-domestic space, freedom from food work, commerciality, relative rarity 138 and the purposiveness to consume a meal (Warde and Martens, 2000). Theoretical 139 modelling of dining out separates commercial and communal modes of provision. The 140 former characterised by financial transactions (e.g. restaurants and other catering settings) 141 and the latter by more informal system of reciprocity, (e.g. dinner parties and other 142 entertaining events). Survey data suggests that approximately one third of the average 143 weekly food and drink budgets is spent on dining out per British household (DEFRA, 144 2015). The frequency of dining out appears to vary significantly with age, with older 145 women eating out less often than younger women (Lyon et al., 2011). Dining out 146 therefore represents a small, but nonetheless important, component of everyday food 147 practices of older people in the UK. Previous analyses of  $\Box$  dining out have relied on a 148 commercial: communal dichotomy (Bourdieu, 1984; Bell & Valentine, 1997; Warde, 149 1997; Warde and Martens, 2000). Whilst these provide interesting insights into the 150 patterning and social significance of dining out, they fail to capture the growing number 151 of alternative spaces, in which meals are shared between non-family members involving 152 less formal economic transactions. Part commercial, part communal, lunch clubs are 153 arguably a burgeoning mode of dining out which have hitherto received little attention.  $\Box$ 

154

#### 155 *Methods* $\square$

A qualitative approach was selected as the most appropriate design to interpret individuals' understanding of the world. A range of methods were chosen to collect data, including interviews and documentary evidence, to capture meanings mediated through language and action. This approach was intended to provide a number of data sources from which variation within and between data sources could be used to identify emerging patterns in the data (Boyatzis, 1998).

162 Sample  $\square$ 

163 Several lunch clubs specifically for older people were identified using an online third-164 sector database, the first of whom contacted consented to participate. Ethical protocols 165 were devised in accordance with the University of Stirling Ethics committee and 166 consenting organisation. Research participants were recruited in-person following a short 167 presentation about the project  $\Box$  by the researcher. Information leaflets were given out in 168 order that members could consider their involvement in the project, and notes of interest 169 were gathered a week later. Prior to interview, a consent form was used to agree principles of confidentiality, anonymity and to withdraw their involvement between 170 171 researcher and participant.  $\Box$ 

172 Selection criteria were used to implement a sampling frame, guided by the research

173 questions and conceptual framework (Blaikie, 2009). The term 'older people' was 174 acknowledged as a socially constructed category within a constructivist epistemological 175 paradigm; nevertheless, individuals over the age of 65 were classified as older adults in 176 keeping the National Records of Scotland (2016). The criteria for participants in this 177 study were being over the age of 65, attending a lunch club and living alone. 178 Opportunistic sampling of 20 lunch club members resulted in a final a sample of ten: five 179 men and five women. All but one interested participant met the criteria for inclusion. This 180 latter case of an individual of the age of 60 was included since disconfirming and 181 'exceptional' cases can enrich samples by locating extremes and Contingencies (Miles et 182 al., 2013). Participants ranged between 60 and 88 years old, and had been referred to the 183 lunch club by social workers, family or friends or had self- referred.

## 184 Data collection $\Box$

185 Three methods were used to collect data: food diaries, a semi-structured interview and a 186 card sort exercise. Two members of the lunch club reviewed the participant materials and 187 interview guide prior to use. Thereafter the researcher visited the lunch club weekly to 188 conduct face-to- face semi-structured interviews to enable issues arising from the diary 189 data to be explored in greater depth. In the week leading up to interview, participants were also asked to completea 5-day food diary, analogous to Marshall and Anderson 190 191 (2002) study of the food practices of younger adults. Food diaries were structured for 192 participants' to record what was eaten, where lit was eaten, at what time, with whom and 193 whether anyone else was involved with preparation.  $\Box$ 

194 Interviews were active, conversational and followed a loose three-part topic guide,

informed by Plastow et al. (2015). Specifically they consisted of:

- 196
- a) Introductory questions about the interviewees' family life, living circumstancesand engagement with the lunch club.
- b) Participant reflections on the food diary. Participants responded to the open
  questions of "Tell me about your food diary" and, "Is there anything that
  surprised you?"

202 c) Interviewer reflections on diary and interview content. The interviewer drew on
 203 issues raised by the interviewee and food diary to probe specific food behaviours,
 204 their typicality and meanings associated with these behaviours.

205 Verbal prompts were used to locate conversations about food in the home and provide a

sensory background for recalling mealtime experiences at home (Taylor, 2005). At the end of the interview, participants were asked to rank statements based on Social Care

208 Institute for Excellence guidance on food and eating in reference to the question, 'how

important are these to you as part of an everyday meal?' (see Table  $\Box 1$ ).

210 Table 1: SCIE statements based on 'Dignity in Care' guidelines (SCIE 2013)

My dietary needs are met	The food is local and seasonable
A carer, family member or friend is present	The food is freshly cooked
I am involved in food preparation	I have time – I am not rushed
I am asked what my preference is	The food is accessible
The food looks appetising	I have privacy

## 211

Interviews lasted between 40 and 60 minutes and were audio recorded with participants' permission. Consent was considered an ongoing process (Corrigan, 2003); as such participants were reminded of the aims of the project and provided the opportunity for questions and feedback throughout their involvement. □

216 Analysis  $\Box$ 

Symbolic interactionism was employed as theoretical perspective with which to interpret meanings from interview transcripts, specifically the meanings individuals attached to mealtimes. This approach assumes that social life is symbolic, and is reproduced through social interaction (Blumer, 1980). Data from food diaries and interviews were transcribed following data collection, with clear delineations between categories developed by the participant and those developed by the researcher. Interview transcripts were initially reviewed to identify data-driven codes, that is, recognisable moments in the data (Boyatzis, 1998). This inductive process led to the creation of a code-book. Thematic analysis was subsequently used to search for important categories and relationships that could group codes together on Microsoft Excel. Analysis took place concurrently with data collection, allowing for the applicability of codes to be appraised as an integral part of the research process.

229 Food diaries and card sort exercises were used primarily as a stimulus for discussion. 230 Food diaries were used to build a picture of mealtimes in the households of participants, 231 as with Marshall & Anderson (2002). Data on the number of eating occasions in the 232 house, with or without company, and who prepared the meal available in the diary, was 233 counted. In addition, priority rankings of participants were tabulated and used analysed 234 alongside interview data using the constant comparison method to identify similarity or 235 difference. The tabulated outcomes of food diaries and ranking exercises represent a 236 basic form of content analysis (Joffe & Yardley, 2004). These numerical descriptors were 237 considered of analytical value only in context of the thematic analysis.

238

## 239 Findings and discussion

Mealtimes are at once pragmatic and symbolic. Examining the everyday food practices of older people highlights important processes surrounding social interaction and identity construction (Caplan, 1997; McIntosh et al., 2010; Plastow et al., 2015). This study, which aimed to document the food practices of older people living at home alone, identified a number of themes relating to these issues. For the purposes of this paper, focus is given to the meanings attributed by participants to the food practices around domestic eating and eating out.

Table 2 summarises the differences between experiences of dining in and dining out articulated by participants. Dining in was characterized by most as everyday meals, eaten at home. These meals were described as requiring food related work, and were 250 predominantly eaten alone. Participants considered food preference and eating time as 251 key priorities for enjoyable dining in experiences. By contrast, food preference was not 252 considered an important aspect of dining out. Instead, sociability, freedom from food 253 labour and the rarity of eating events outside the home were stated as key sources of 254 enjoyment when dining out. The lunch club was perceived as one of the few places 255 participants could go in order to eat out due to limited mobility and I transport options. 256 These distinctions are explained with reference to interview data and discussed under 257 four themes: the norm of dining in, eating alone as a positive experience, dining out as a 258 'treat', and what makes a good meal.  $\Box$ 

Table 2: Conceptual differences between dining in and dining out according toparticipants

_		
	Dining in	Dining out
1	Everyday	Rare
2	Solitude enjoyed	Company enjoyed
3	Requiring food work	Freedom from food work
4	Food preference important	Food preference not important

261

## 262 1. The norm of dining in $\Box$

263 Food diary and interview data highlighted that most food consumption amongst 264 participants occurred at home. Some participants demonstrated idiosyncratic, ritualised 265 domestic food practices, for example, eating the same things at the same time each day. 266 One participant described eating a cheesecake slice at 3.30pm every day; another, two 267 digestive biscuits at 7.30pm daily, and another prepared cooked a breakfast of potato 268 scone, egg, beef sausage, hash brown, spaghetti and a half cup of milk each day. 269 Routinized food practices were especially evident amongst those whose mealtime 270 schedules were not maintained by professional carers.  $\Box$ 

Often such domestic food practices held particular meanings to participants as they
related to notions of family, including childhood and marriage: □

- 273 Researcher: I notice that you have Wensleydale cheese and biscuits every day
  274 before □bed, can you tell me a little bit about that? □
- Ellen: My father was always going around farms and places; he always came
  back with □Wensleydale cheese that he picked up from some farm or other.
  With the result I have □a taste for Wensleydale cheese. □

However, the meaning of domestic mealtimes to participants varied with other factors,
including the day of the week or the social context. Many noted specific, alternative
'dining in' routines for weekends, such as having a pint of beer, a late breakfast or a
'Sunday' roast. In addition, having visitors at mealtimes created a more formal dining
experience at home both in □ terms of the menu as well as the practices surrounding the
consumption of the meal: □

284 I'm very proper when I have visitors. You know, but when I've got visitors
285 I've got □everything right on the table (Gina) □

The incidence of dining out, outwith the lunch club, reported by participants ranged from rarely to not at all. Most participants explained their infrequent dining out habits in reference to restricted mobility or chronic illness. In light of these, access to commercial dining venues was considered limited: □

When you have a disability, it makes it difficult to get out. [The lunch club] is
about □the only place you can come (Humphrey) □

Therefore, dining in, specifically, dining in alone, constituted the majority of mealtime experiences for participants; yet the meaning of meals eaten at home varied according to particular temporal or social factors. This suggests that, whilst the extent of eating out and irregular eating amongst young people is increasing in the UK (Tyrrell et al., 2016), thistrend does not have uniform application across age groups. Instead participant accounts of domestic eating habits closely resemble a 'proper meal' indigenous to 298 Britain, as conceptualised by Murcott (1997). That is, domestic meals, of which those 299 eaten in the evening are variations on the theme of 'meat and two veg'. Routine 300 appeared to mark the passage of time in a way that was predictable and reflected 301 participants' life course. Experiences of leisure at weekends often involved the use food 302 as a way of keeping Sunday special (Hardyment, 1995). This norm appears to persist in 303 spite of changes to labour engagement and family composition within the participant 304 group. Characterised by fewer rules and greater flexibility, the food practices associated 305 with weekends were similar to those reported by individuals on holiday (Williams, 306 □1997). □

## 307 2. Eating alone as a positive experience $\Box$

308 Whilst the content and practices of domestic meals varied between participants, all 309 reported that meals were normally eaten alone. Individuals receiving paid care at home 310 expressed that it was unusual for carers to stay with them at mealtimes. Often to stay 311 would mean that carers' exceeded their 30-minute allocated time slot, as has been 312 documented previously by Watkinson-Powell et al. (2014). Despite acknowledging the 313 support of family members with food practices (food shopping and preparation), 314 participants described the physical presence of family members at mealtimes as less 315 frequent.

Interestingly, participants largely valued the solitude of dining in alone. In food diaries dining in alone was linked with feelings of 'contentment' 'content tiredness', 'happiness', 'thoughtfulness' and 'peacefulness'. Watching TV and reading the newspaper were the two most common activities taking place at mealtimes. One individual recorded stamp collecting regularly over breakfast. Participants explained these diversions as a form of company or way to relax. In some ways, this suggested autonomy over the eating environment:

I love it because I can do what I like (laughs) and I can watch TV, I can
watch whatever programme I like. Except when my wee grandbairns come.
Except when they're up and they say 'I want to watch this and that' and I
have to let them (Gina) □

The freshness and variety of foods was generally regarded as a higher priority than having a family, friend or carer present at mealtimes at home. In this way, eating alone was a practical challenge rather than an emotional one:  $\Box$ 

We are in the habit of eating on our own. It's irrelevant whether someone is
present or not. We would never eat if we had to have someone present!
(Helen)

333 Therefore, whilst dining in was, for the main part, experienced alone it was not described 334 by participants as a lonely event. By contrast, dining in alone was perceived in practical 335 terms and, at times, symbolic of independence, competence and control. Food practices 336 reveal elements of ritual whereby patterns, identities and values are reinforced or resisted 337 through food choices (Guptill et al., 2013). Previous analyses of eating alone emphasise 338 the symbolic meaning of loss associated with eating alone (Andersson and Sidenvall, 339 2001; Lane et al., 2013). On the contrary, this study finds that participants were mindful 340 of their personal food preferences, and likely to eat according to these in a one-person 341 household, as with Vesnaver et al (2015). However, whether there are any gender-342 specific responses to social and psychological changes due to ageing in food practices is 343 an area that requires more research (Plastow et al., 2015).

344 3. Dining out as a 'treat'

345 An emergent theme from interview transcripts was the effort required in everyday food 346 work. For some female participants their engagement in food work had recently reduced:

- 347This is how cooking sort of changed because once the husband died, I did use348to make meals for him. At least there were two of us eating and I would try349cooking. I wasn't too bad at it. But once he died, I just couldn't be bothered350preparing a whole load of vegetables and things for myself (Ellen)
- 351 For some male participants, food-related tasks presented a novel workload:
- 352 [My wife] did most of the work. This is all new to me cooking, housework,
  353 shopping (David)

354 There was a widespread belief that cooking was synonymous with 'bothering'. Only one 355 participant stated that she missed being involved with food preparation. Research 356 indicates that men and women living alone often perceive preparation of food as a need 357 rather than a pleasure  $\Box$  (Turrini et al., 2010). An attitude that 'domestic work is 358 oppressive' is prevalent in the UK survey data, particularly amongst female respondents 359 (Warde and Martens, 2000). 'Not bothering' might be interpreted as a rational and 360 acceptable response to challenges encountered food preparation. Mattsson Sydner et al. 361 (2007) view simplified cooking as an adaptive strategy used in older age when 362 individuals have more time to eat but less motivation. However in this study it was 363 difficult to discern whether 'not bothering' emerged from financial, emotional or 364 practical concerns. One way to theorize 'not bothering' is to look at issues of complex 365 morality, norms and values that could underpin impressions of practicality in food-related 366 work (Bugge & Almas, 2006).  $\Box$ 

On the other hand, dining out was regarded as an activity free from labour and as having
a luxurious quality. Four participants stressed that an attractive feature of the lunch club
was having a meal put down in front of them. In one case, the opportunity to dine out
was an expression of love between one participant and their family members:

- 371 It was my birthday here on Wednesday so [my daughters] are taking me to a
  372 carvery on Saturday for my lunch. So that's my treat. I'm going on Saturday
  373 (Madeline)
- Therefore, dining out in the lunch club and other locations were perceived with
  'specialness', □ arguably in part due to their break from everyday food labour. □
- 376 4. What makes a good meal

377 Participants viewed food choice as the highest priority for eliciting satisfaction at 378 mealtimes. All ten participants ranked 'I am asked what my preference is' as the most 379 important SCIE guideline conducive to pleasurable mealtimes. However, during 380 interviews, participants did not elaborate on the content of meals eaten out. No 381 participant stated that the quality of the meal or particular foodstuffs was a motivating

factor for, or valued aspect of, dining out at the □lunch club. Instead, the sociability of
dining out was prioritized over and above the material content of meals: □

The main thing as far as I'm concerned is the company. That's the reason I
come □ basically (Daniel) □

386 Although having preferred food choice was considered critical to enjoyable everyday 387 meals, this material aspect appeared to matter little when dining out. Indeed, the actual 388 food consumed at mealtimes was valued less in the context of a more gratifying, social 389 context at the lunch club. This suggests that the modes of gratification from dining in and 390 dining out differ. Warde and Martens (2000) show that dining out is often associated with 391 pleasure and gratification, by offering economic exchange, experimentation and relaxed 392 interaction. A sense of accomplishment, derived from performing roles in a dining out 393 experience, is posited to overshadow all other sources of gratification. Findings from 394 this study would appear to support Warde and Marten's hypothesis, by evidencing that 395 social interaction often confers dining out with special characteristics. Thus, even in the 396 absence of food choice (for example, at the lunch club), the experience remains a 397 gratifying one.

398

#### 399 Conclusion

400 The aim of this small-scale study was to explore the mealtime experiences of older 401 people living alone, who attend at lunch club in South East Scotland. In so doing it 402 uncovers that the meaning of mealtimes, according to older people living alone, appears 403 to shift when eaten alone and eaten in company. Amongst this group, gratification from 404 dining out is more closely associated with the social context than the material (food) 405 context of mealtimes. On the other hand, gratification from dining in is more closely 406 associated with the material (food) context, [] for example, meeting preferences for food choice and eating times. These conclusions chime with Warde and Martens (2000) 407 408 hypothesis that dining out is a 'social accomplishment'. It is worth noting that, in this 409 study, pleasurable experiences from dining out at the lunch club were heightened due to

410 their rarity i.e. the lunch club was perceived one of the few places participants □ could go. 411 Lunch clubs therefore offer older people a dining out experience; one that is part 412 commercial, part communal in character. This specific mode of dining out, its socio-413 spatial □ nature and the variation it encompasses, has received little attention until this 414 point. Population- ageing raises the research agenda for further investigating the 415 situational factors at work in this □ form of food consumption outside the home by 416 community-dwelling individuals. □

417 Furthermore, this study highlights that dining in alone is often a means of realising 418 individuality and independence in older age. Mealtimes here symbolised living alone and 419 the practicalities of this, rather than lonely living to participants in one-person 420 households. For policy-makers this implies action to ensure that choice and control over 421 food practices at home is achievable. Current policy favours care provision in the community for as long as possible. However, this study suggests that in practice there 422 423 may be insufficient resources for individuals to realise their perceived mealtime 424 preferences at home. More research is needed in other local authorities in Scotland to 425 understand how widespread this disparity is. For carers, family members, health 426 practitioners and older people role it implies initiating conversations about food to 427 uncover the personal biography of food preference and everyday practices. Such 428 conversations have been shown to provide a deeper understanding of food choice, which 429 may subsequently be drawn upon to improve mealtimes experiences in and outside the 430 home.  $\Box$ 

#### 431 *Strengths*

This study offers novel insights into the food practices of older people living alone. As the number of older people living at home alone is projected to increase over the next 20 years to an unprecedented level (National Records of Scotland, 2016), research investigating the priorities of, and potential problems faced by, individuals in this population regarding their food practices is of considerable importance. It further advances the use of food diaries as a research tool, to collect data on the rituals and routines surrounding food. Developing the contribution of Andersson and Marshall (2000), the use of food diaries as a stimulus for discussion here allowed for the social and
emotional aspect of mealtimes to be captured. Moreover this study contextualises food
practices literature within a policy setting in Scotland, to raise discussion on the
consequences of shifts to community care models on the everyday food and eating.

#### 443 Limitations

444 Whilst not seeking generalizability, the sample size and geographical focus of this study 445 reduce the diversity of viewpoints and everyday practices at large in the wider population 446 of older people living alone at home in Scotland. Participants involved in the study were 447 all connected with the lunch club, whose members often demonstrate a propensity to join in with other social events or activities (Wilson, 2009). Variability in personal 448 449 disposition, types of social networks, level of disability, income, and other factors may 450 therefore have been limited. Consequently, the applicability of findings across older 451 people living at home in different locations in Scotland, with differential access to social 452 and other resources, is constrained. However, the study sample varied regarding gender, 453 age, health status, and type and level of support received at home. Moreover, the findings 454 may extend to people of other ages living alone.

455 Diary and interview data here were sensitive to bias. Specifically data collected was 456 subject to the constraints of self-report, thus potentially mediated based on what 457 participants believe the researcher wanted to hear (Rapley, 2007). Furthermore, as a result of the interview schedule design, most data available concerned food consumption. 458 459 Food practices conceptually covers the acquisition, preparation, serving, consuming and 460 disposal of food (Jastran et al., 2009). Future research should broaden the focus to 461 include food disposal, in order to provide a more detailed illustration of the priorization 462 and preparation of food in the homes of older people who live alone.

463

Finally, due to the theoretical perspective employed in the study of symbolic interactionism, it is challenging to measure the extent to which individuals had control over circumstances, particularly, how control, or lack thereof, interacted with preferences. Symbolic interpretivism is one way of making sense of food practices.

- 468 Therefore, this study points to avenues for other theoretical perspectives, including 469 critical analysis to use the same, or similar data, to interpret power imbalances at work. 470
- 471 References

476.

472 Andersson, J. C., Gustafsson, K., Fjellström, C., Sidenvall, B., Nydahl, M. 2001. Meals 473 and energy intake among elderly women-an analysis of qualitative and quantitative 474 dietary assessment methods. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics 14 (6) pp 467-475

- 476 Atta-Konadu, E., Keller, H.H. & Daly, K., 2011. The food-related role shift experiences 477 of spousal male care partners and their wives with dementia. Journal of Aging Studies, 478 25(3), pp.305 - 315.
- Bell, D. and Valentine, G. 1997. Consuming Geographies: We are where we eat. 479 480 Psychology Press.

481 Blaikie, N., 2009. Designing Social Research, Polity Press.

- 482 Blumer, H., 1980. Mead and Blumer: The convergent methodological perspectives of 483 social behaviorism and symbolic interactionism. American Sociological Review, 45(3), 484 pp. 409-419.
- 485
- 486 Bourdieu, P., 1984. Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste, Harvard 487 University Press.
- Boyatzis, R., 1998. Transforming Qualitative Information, SAGE Publications. 488
- 489 Bryman, A. & Burgess, R., 1994. Analyzing Qualitative Data: first edition, Routledge.
- 490 Bugge, A.B. & Almas, R., 2006. Domestic dinner: Representations and practices of a
- 491 proper meal among young suburban mothers. Journal of Consumer Culture, 6(2), pp.203
- 492 - 228.

- 493 Burke, V., Giangiulio, N., Gillam, H. F., Beilin, L. J., Houghton, S. & Milligan, R. A.,
- 494 1999. Health promotion in couples adapting to a shared lifestyle. *Health Education*495 *Research*, 14(2), pp.269–288.
- 496 Caplan, P., 1997. Approaches to the study of food, health and identity in Caplan, P (eds),
- 497 *Food, Health and Identity.* Taylor & Francis, pp. 1 32.
- 498 Charles, N. & Kerr, M., 1988. Women, Food, and Families, Manchester University Press.
- Collins, E., 2014. Preventing loneliness and social isolation in older people. *IRISS insights*, Insight 25.
- 501 Community Food and Health Scotland, C., 2014. Older People Eat Well Literature
- 502Review,Availableat:https://www.communityfoodandhealth.org.uk/wp-503content/uploads/2014/08/Older-people-eat-well.pdf
- 504 Corrigan, O., 2003. Empty ethics: the problem with informed consent. Sociology of
  505 *Health and Illness*, 25(3), pp.768–792.
- Davis, M. A., Randall, E., Forthofer, R. N., Lee, E. S., & Margen, S. (1985). Living
  arrangements and dietary patterns of older adults in the United States. *Journal of Gerontology*, 40(4), 434–442.
- 509 DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs). 2015. Family Food
  510 2014. Available at https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/family-food-2014
- 511 DeVault, M., 1991. Family discourse and everyday practice: gender and class at the 512 dinner table. *Syracuse Scholar*, 11(2), p.1 – 12.
- 513 Douglas, M., 2014. Food in the Social Order. Routledge.□
- 514 Douglas, M. & Nicod, M., 1974. Taking the biscuit: the structure of British meals. New
- 515 *Society*, 30(637), pp.744 747.
- 516 Dwyer, P. & Irene, H., 2011. Promoting social inclusion? The impact of village services
  517 on the lives of older people living in rural England. *Ageing and Society*, 31(2), pp.243 –

518 264.

- 519 Germov, J. & Williams, L., 2004. Introducing the social appetite: towards sociology of
- food and nutrition in Germov, J. & Williams, L. (eds) A Sociology of Food & Nutrition: *the Social Appetite*, pp.3–26.
- 522 Guptill, A.E., Copelton, D.A. & Lucal, B., 2013. Food and Society: principles and
- 523 *paradoxes: second edition*, Polity Press
- 524 Gustafsson, K. & Sidenvall, B., 2002. Food-related health perceptions and food habits
- among older women. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 39(2), pp.164 173.
- 526 Hardyment, C., 1995. Slice of Life: the British way of eating since 1945, BBC Books.
- 527 Hockey, J. & James, A., 2002. Social Identities Across the Lifecourse, Palgrave
  528 Macmillan UK.
- 529 Hughes, G. Bennett, J. M. & Hetherington, M., 2004. Old and alone: barriers to healthy
- eating in older men living on their own. *Appetite*, 43(3), pp. 269 276.
- Jastran, M., Bisogni, C. A., Sobal, J., Blake, C. & Devine, C. M., 2009. Eating routines:
- 532 Embedded, value based, modifiable, and reflective. *Appetite*, 52(1), pp.127 136.
- 533 Joffe, H. & Yardley, L. 2004. Content and Thematic Analysis in Marks D. F and Yardley.
- 534 L (eds.) Research Methods for Clinical and Health Psychology. SAGE Research
- 535 Methods, pp.56 68
- 536 Jones, J., Duffy, M., Coull., Y and Wilkinson, H., 2009. Older People Living in the
- 537 Community: nutritional needs, barriers and interventions a literature review, Available
- 538 at: http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2009/12/07102032/0.
- 539 Keller, H. H., Dwyer, J. J., Edwards, V., Senson, C., Gayle Edward, H., 2007. Food
- 540 security in older adults: community service provider perceptions of their roles. *Canadian*
- 541 *Journal on Ageing/ La Revue canadienne du Vieilissement*, 26(4), pp.317 328.
- 542 Knipe, E., 2015. Families and Households 2015. Office for National Statistics: Statistical

543 *Bulletin*, pp.1 – 18.

- Lane, K., Poland, F., Fleming, S. & Lambert, N., 2014. Older women's reduced contact
- with food in the Changes Around Food Experience (CAFE) study: choices, adaptations
  and dynamism. *Ageing and Society*, 34(4), pp.645 669.
- Locher, J.L., Yoels, W. C., Maurer, D., van Ells, J., 2005. Comfort foods: an exploratory
  journey into the social and emotional significance of food. *Food & Foodways*, 13(4),
  pp.273 297.
- 550 Logan, V., McDowall, M., Booth, J., Heron, K., McIntyre, P., Baylis, M., 2014. Food for
- 551 Thought: enhancing dietary preferences for the person with advanced dementia. The
- 552 Queen's Nursing Institute Scotland. Available at: https://www.qnis.org.uk/wp-
- 553 content/uploads/2016/11/Food-for-Thought-Report.pdf
- Luanaigh, C. & Lawlow, B., 2008. Loneliness and the health of older people. *International Journal of Geriatric Medicine*, 23(12), pp.1213 1221.
- 556 Lyon, P., Mattsson Sydner, Y., Fjellström, C., Janhonen-Abruquah, H., Schröder, M. &
- 557 Colquhoun, A. 2011. Continuity in the kitchen: How younger and older women compare
- 558 in their food practices and use of cooking skills. *International Journal of Consumer*
- 559 Studies, 35(5), pp. 529-537
- 560
- 561 Marshall, D.W. & Anderson, a S., 2002. Proper meals in transition: young married
- 562 couples on the nature of eating together. *Appetite*, 39(3), pp.193–206.
- Mattson Sydner, Y. & Fjellström, C,. 2007. Illuminating the (non-)meaning of food:
  organization, power and responsibilities in public elderly care a Swedish perspective. *Journal of Foodservice*, 18(3), pp.119-129.
- 566
- 567 Mattsson Sydner, Y.M., Fjellström, C., Lumbers, M., Sidenvall, B. & Raats, M., 2007.
- 568 Food Habits and Foodwork. *Food, Culture & Society*, 10(3), pp.367 387.
- 569 McIntosh, I. Punch, S., Dorrer, N. & Emond, R., 2010. "You don't have to be watched to

- 570 make your toast": surveillance and food practices within residential care for young 571 people. *Surveillance and Society*, 3(4), pp.287 – 300.
- 572 Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M. & Saldana, J., 2013. Qualitative Data Analysis: a Methods
- 573 *Sourcebook*, SAGE Publications.
- 574 Murcott, A., 1982. On the social significance of the "cooked dinner" in South Wales.
  575 *Social Science Information*, 21(4), pp.677 698.
- 576 Murcott, A., 1997. "The nation's diet": an overview of early results. *British Food* 577 *Journal*, 99(3), pp.89 – 96.
- 578 National Records of Scotland., 2016. Key Glossary of Terms. □Available at:
  579 https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/glossary-of-terms
- 580 Ochs, E. & Shohet, M., 2006. The cultural structuring of mealtime socialization. *New*581 *Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, 111, pp.35 49.□
- Philpin, S., Merrell, J., Warring, J., & Hobby, D., 2014. Memories, identity and
  homeliness: the social construction of mealtimes in residential care homes in South
  Wales. *Ageing and Society*, 34(5), pp.752–789.
- 585 Plastow, N., Atwal, A. & Gilhooly, M., 2015. Food Activities and Identity Maintenance
- Among Community-Living Older Adults: A Grounded Theory Study. *American Journal Occupational Therapy*, 69(6), pp. 1 10
- 588 Rapley, T. 2007. *Doing Conversation, Discourse and Document Analysis.* SAGE:
  589 Research Publications
- Rose, C. & Howard, R., 2014. Living with coeliac disease: a grounded theory study. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 27(1), pp.30 40.
- 592 SCIE (Social Care Institute for Excellence). 2013. *Dignity in Care*, Available at: 593 http://www.scie.org.uk/publications/guides/guide15/.
- 594 Scottish Executive, 2007. All Our Futures: Planning for a Scotland with an ageing

- 595 population-theevidencebase.Availableat:596http://www.gov.scot/Topics/People/Equality/18501/Experience
- 597 Taylor, M. C., 2005. Interviewing in Holloway, I. (eds) Qualitative Research in
- 598 *Healthcare*, Maidenhead: Open University Press, pp.37 55.
- <sup>599</sup> Turrini, A., D'Addezio, L., Maccati, F., Davy, B. M., Arber, S., Davidson, K., Grunert,
- 600 K., Schuhmacher, B., Pfau, C., Kozlowska, K., Szczecińska., Medeiros de Morais, C.,
- Afonso, C., Bofill, S., Lacasta, Y., Nydahl, M., Ekblad, J., Raats, M. M., Lumbers, M.
- 602 2010. The informal networks in food procurement by older people—a cross European
- 603 comparison. *Ageing International*, 35(4), pp 253-275.
- 604
- Tyrrell, R., Townshend, T. G., Adamson, A. J. & Lake, A. A. 2016. 'I'm not trusted in the
- 606 kitchen': food environments and food behaviours of young people attending school and
- 607 college. Journal of Public Health 38(2), pp 289 299.
- 608 Vesnaver, E., Keller, H. H., Sutherland, O., Maitland, S. B., Locher, J. L., 2016. Alone at
- 609 the Table: Food Behavior and the Loss of Commensality in Widowhood. *The Journals of*
- 610 *Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 71(6), pp.1056 611 1069.
- 612 Vesnaver, E., Keller, H. H., Payette, H., Shatenstein, B., 2012. Dietary resilience as
- 613 described by older community-dwelling adults from the NuAge study 'If there is a will 614 there is a way!' *Appetite*, 58(2), pp.730–738.
- 615 Victor, C., Scambler, S. & Bond, J., 2008. The Social World of Older People:
- 616 Understanding Loneliness and Social Isolation in Later Life, McGraw-Hill International.
- 617 Warde, A. & Hetherington, K., 1994. English households and routine food practices: a
- 618 research note. *Sociological Review*, 42(4), pp.758 778.
- 619 Warde, A. 1997. Consumption, Food and Taste, SAGE.
- 620 Warde, A. & Martens, L., 2000. Eating Out: Social differentiation, Consumption and
- 621 *Pleasure*, Cambridge University Press.

- 622 Watkinson-Powell, A., Barnes, S. & Lovatt, M., 2014. Food provision for older people
- 623 receiving home care from the perspectives of home-care workers. *Health & Social Care*
- 624 *in the Community*, 22(5), pp.553 560.
- 625 Williams, J., 1997. Food on holiday. In Caplan, P. (eds) Food, health and identity.
- 626 Routledge: London, pp. 151 171.
- 627 Wilson, D.L., 2009. Preventing malnutrition in later life: the role of community food
- 628 projects. *Age Concern, Help the Aged*, pp.1 36.
- 629 Wood, R., 1995. The Sociology of the Meal, Edinburgh University Press.
- 630 World Health Organisation., 1996. Food Security, Available at:
  631 http://www.who.int/trade/glossary/story028/en/.
- Wylie, C., 2000. Health and social factors affecting the food choices and nutritional
  intake of elderly people with restricted mobility. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* 13 (5) pp 363 371.