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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with an eigenvalue $\mu \neq-1,0$ of multiplicity $k<n-2$. It is known that $k \leq n+\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}$, equivalently $k \leq \frac{1}{2} t(t-1)$, where $t=n-k>2$. The only known examples with $k=\frac{1}{2} t\left(t-1\right.$ ) are $3 K_{2}$ (with $n=6, \mu=1, k=3$ ) and the maximal exceptional graph $G_{36}$ (with $n=36, \mu=-2, k=28$ ). We show that no other example can be constructed from a strongly regular graph in the same way as $G_{36}$ is constructed from the line graph $L\left(K_{9}\right)$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with an eigenvalue $\mu \neq-1,0$ of multiplicity $k<n-2$. It was shown in [1] that $k \leq n+\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}$, equivalently $k \leq \frac{1}{2} t(t-1)$, where $t=n-k>2$. The only known examples with $k=\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)>1$ are $3 K_{2}$, with spectrum $-1^{(3)}, 1^{(3)}$, and the unique maximal exceptional graph of order 36 , with spectrum $21,5^{(7)},-2^{(28)}$. The latter graph is described in [3, Chapter 6] and [4, Example 5.2.6(a)]; it is denoted here by $G_{36}$. After a decade, it remains a problem to determine all the graphs with $k=\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)$. The restricted question, of similar standing, is whether further examples can be constructed from a strongly regular graph in the same way that $G_{36}$ is constructed from the line graph $L\left(K_{9}\right)$. Here we answer this question in the negative.

To describe the construction we recall some notation and terminology from [4]. For a subset $X$ of the vertex set $V(G)$, we write $\bar{X}$ for $V(G) \backslash X$, $G-X$ for the subgraph of $G$ induced by $\bar{X}$, and $G_{X}$ for the graph obtained from $G$ by switching with respect to $X$. We say that $X$ is a star set for $\mu$ if $|X|=k$ and $\mu$ is not an eigenvalue of $G-X$. Our main result is the following.
Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a graph of order $\frac{1}{2} t(t+1)(t>2)$ with an eigenvalue $\mu \notin\{-1,0\}$ of multiplicity $\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)$. Suppose that $G$ has a star set $X$ for $\mu$ such that (i) $X \dot{\cup} \bar{X}$ is an equitable partition of $G$, (ii) $G_{X}$ is a strongly regular graph. Then $t=8, \mu=-2$ and $G=G_{36}$.

Note that, in the situation of Theorem 1.1, $X \dot{\cup} \bar{X}$ is also an equitable partition of $G_{X}$. To construct $G_{36}$, we take $G_{X}=L\left(K_{9}\right)$ and choose $X$ so that $X$ induces $L\left(K_{8}\right)$ and $\bar{X}$ induces $K_{8}$.

## 2 Prerequisites

If $X$ is a star set for $\mu$ in $G$, then $G-X$ is said to be a star complement for $\mu$ in $G$. Star sets and star complements exist for any eigenvalue of any graph, and their basic properties are described in [4, Chapter 5]. In particular, we shall require the following result.

Theorem 1.1 [4, Theorem 5.1.7] Let $X$ be a set of $k$ vertices in the graph $G$ and suppose that $G$ has adjacency matrix $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{X} & B^{T} \\ B & C\end{array}\right)$, where $A_{X}$ is the adjacency matrix of the subgraph induced by $X$. Then $X$ is a star set for $\mu$ in $G$ if and only if $\mu$ is not an eigenvalue of $C$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu I-A_{X}=B^{T}(\mu I-C)^{-1} B . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $X$ is a star set, and let $H=G-X$. In Theorem 1.1, $k$ is the multiplicity of $\mu$, and $C$ is the adjacency matrix of $H$. Also, the columns of $B$ are the characteristic vectors of the $H$-neighbourhoods

$$
\Delta_{H}(u)=\{v \in V(H): u \sim v\}(u \in X)
$$

where we write ' $u \sim v$ ' to mean that vertices $u, v$ are adjacent in $G$. Equation (1) shows that any graph is determined by an eigenvalue $\mu$, a star complement $H=G-X$ and the $H$-neighbourhoods of vertices in $X$. When $G-X$ is complete, we obtain the following by equating diagonal entries in Equation (1).

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $X$ is a star set for $\mu$ in the graph G. Let $H=G-X, u \in X$. If $H=K_{t}(t>2)$ and $\left|\Delta_{H}(u)\right|=a$ then

$$
a^{2}-(t-\mu-1) a+\mu(\mu+1)(t-\mu-1)=0
$$

In the general case, we let $|V(H)|=t>2$ and define a bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{t}$ by

$$
\langle\langle\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\rangle\rangle=\mathbf{x}^{\top}(\mu I-C)^{-1} \mathbf{y} \quad\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{t}\right)
$$

We let $V(G)=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and write $S=(B \mid C-\mu I)$, with columns $\mathbf{s}_{u}(u=$ $1, \ldots, n)$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{t}$ denote the space of homogeneous quadratic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{t}$. We define $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n} \in \mathcal{Q}_{t}$ by

$$
F_{u}(\mathbf{x})=\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{u}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{2} \quad\left(\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{t}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.3. [1, Lemma 2.2] If $t>2$ and $\mu \neq-1$ or 0 , the functions $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are linearly independent.

Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Q}_{t}=\binom{t}{2}+t$, we deduce that $n \leq\binom{ t}{2}+t$, equivalently $k \leq\binom{ t}{2}$. The following result enables us to dispose of the regular graphs for which this bound is attained.

Theorem 2.4. [1, Theorem 3.1] Let $G$ be an r-regular graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\mu$ as an eigenvalue of multiplicity $k$. If $\mu \notin\{-1,0, r\}$ and $t=n-k>2$ then $k \leq\binom{ t}{2}-1$.
Corollary 2.5. If $G$ is a regular graph of order $\frac{1}{2} t(t+1)(t>2)$ with an eigenvalue $\mu \notin\{-1,0\}$ of multiplicity $\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)$ then $t=3, \mu=1$ and $G=3 K_{2}$.
Proof. If $G$ is $r$-regular then $\mu=r$ by Theorem 2.4 , and so $G$ has $\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)$ components, each with $\mu$ as a simple eigenvalue (cf. [4, Corollary 1.3.8]). It follows that $t \geq \frac{1}{2} t(t-1)$, and hence that $t=3, G=3 K_{2}, \mu=1$.

Next, using Equation (1), we see that

$$
\mu I-A=S^{\top}(\mu I-C)^{-1} S,
$$

and so, for all vertices $u, v$ of $G$,

$$
\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{u}, \mathbf{s}_{v}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mu & \text { if } u=v  \tag{2}\\
-1 & \text { if } u \sim v \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

It follows that if $\mu \notin\{-1,0\}$ then the $H$-neighbourhoods $\Delta_{H}(u)(u \in X)$ are distinct and non-empty. When $k=\binom{t}{2}$, our objective will be to show that, under suitable conditions, the $H$-neighbourhoods form a tight 4-design, that is, a design which satisfies the following conditions with $s=2$.
Theorem 2.6. [2, Theorem 1.52] Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a collection of a-subsets of the $t$-set $V$, where $2 s \leq a \leq t-s$. Then any two of the following conditions imply the third.
(a) $(V, \mathcal{B})$ is a $2 s$-design;
(b) there are precisely s values for the numbers $\left|B \cap B^{\prime}\right|$, where $B, B^{\prime}$ are distinct sets in $\mathcal{B}$;
(c) $|\mathcal{B}|=\binom{t}{s}$.

Finally we can exploit the fact that tight 4-designs are extremely rare:
Theorem 2.7. [2, Theorem 1.54] Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a tight $4-(t, a, l)$ design with $4 \leq a<t-2$. Then either $\mathcal{D}$ or its complement $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ is the unique $4-(23,7,1)$ design.

## 3 Proof of the main result

We retain the notation of the previous sections. Additionally we suppose that $k=\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)(t>2)$, and that the star set $X$ for $\mu \neq-1,0$ is such that (i) $X \dot{\cup} \bar{X}$ is an equitable partition of $G$, (ii) $G_{X}$ is strongly regular with parameters $(n, r, e, f)(0<r<n-1)$. We show first that $t \neq 3$ by inspecting the strongly regular graphs of order 6 . If $G_{X}=2 K_{3}$ or $\overline{2 K_{3}}$ then there is no suitable bipartition $X \dot{\cup} \bar{X}$. If $G_{X}=3 K_{2}$ then $G-X=3 K_{1}$ and $G=C_{6}$, while if $G=\overline{3 K_{2}}$ then $G-X=K_{3}$ and $G=\overline{C_{6}}$. In both cases $G$ has no eigenvalue of multiplicity 3 . Hence $t>3$ and $k>\frac{1}{2} n$. It follows that $\mu$ is an integer, for otherwise $\mu$ has an algebraic conjugate which is a second eigenvalue of multiplicity $k$.

The partition $X \dot{\cup} \bar{X}$ determines divisors of $G$ and $G_{X}$, and we denote the corresponding divisor matrices by

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p & a \\
b & q
\end{array}\right), \quad D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p & t-a \\
k-b & q
\end{array}\right)
$$

respectively. Note that $\left|\Delta_{H}(u)\right|=a$ for all $u \in X$, and that $1<a<t-1$. In what follows, we write $\mathbf{j}$ for an all- 1 vector (with length determined by context), and $A^{*}$ for the adjacency matrix of $G_{X}$. Additionally, $\mathcal{E}$ denotes an eigenspace of $G$ and $\mathcal{E}^{*}$ denotes an eigenspace of $G_{X}$.

Lemma 3.1. There exist integers $\lambda, \rho$ such that $G_{X}$ has spectrum $r, \lambda^{(t)}, \mu^{(k-1)}$ and $G$ has spectrum $\rho, \lambda^{(t-1)}, \mu^{(k)}$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ spanned by the characteristic vectors of $X$ and $\bar{X}$, and let $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{V}^{\perp}$. Note that for any eigenvalue $\nu,\binom{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{E}(\nu)$ if and only if $\binom{\mathbf{x}}{-\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{E}^{*}(\nu)$. The graph $G$ has linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2} \in \mathcal{V}$ with corresponding eigenvalues those of $D$, while $G_{X}$ has linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{*}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{*} \in \mathcal{V}$ with corresponding eigenvalues those of $D^{*}$. Moreover, if $\rho$ is the largest eigenvalue of $G$ then we may take $A \mathbf{x}_{1}=\rho \mathbf{x}_{1}, A^{*} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{*}=r \mathbf{x}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{*}=\mathbf{j}$ (cf. [4, Theorem 3.9.9]). Since $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are subspaces of $\mathbf{x}_{1}^{\perp}$, we have $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{E}(\mu) \cap \mathcal{W}) \geq k-1$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}^{*}(\mu) \leq k-1$ by Lemma 2.3, and so we deduce that $\mathcal{E}^{*}(\mu) \subseteq \mathcal{W}, \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{E}(\mu) \cap \mathcal{W})=k-1$, and $A \mathbf{x}_{2}=\mu \mathbf{x}_{2}$. Let $A^{*} \mathbf{x}_{2}^{*}=\lambda \mathbf{x}_{2}^{*}$. Then $\mu \neq \lambda=p+q-r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_{X}$ has spectrum $r, \lambda^{(t)}, \mu^{(k-1)}$ (cf. [4, Section 3.6]). Note that $\lambda \neq r$ for otherwise $G_{X}=(t+1) K_{r+1}$ and $\mu=-1$, contrary to assumption. We deduce that $G$ has spectrum $\rho, \lambda^{(t-1)}, \mu^{(k)}$. Finally, $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $\rho=p+q-\mu$.

When $G=G_{36}$ and $G_{X}=L\left(K_{9}\right)$, we have $\mu=-2, t=8, k=28$, $r=14, \rho=21, \lambda=5, p=12, q=7, a=6, b=21$.
Lemma 3.2. The matrix $\mu^{2} I+A$ is invertible.
Proof. Since $\mu^{2} \notin\{-\rho,-\mu\}$, it suffices to show that $\mu^{2} \neq-\lambda$. Now the multiplicities of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ in the strongly regular graph $G_{X}$ are given by

$$
m(\lambda)=\frac{r(r-\mu)(\mu+1)}{(r+\lambda \mu)(\mu-\lambda)}, \quad m(\mu)=\frac{r(r-\lambda)(\lambda+1)}{(r+\lambda \mu)(\lambda-\mu)},
$$

formulae which follow from [4, Theorems 3.6.4 and 3.6.5]. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\lambda=-\mu^{2}$. Then $\mu>0$. Since $m(\lambda)=t$ and $m(\mu)=$ $k-1=\frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t-2)$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(t+1)(t-2)}{2 t}=\frac{m(\mu)}{m\left(-\mu^{2}\right)}=\frac{\left(r+\mu^{2}\right)(\mu-1)}{r-\mu} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\theta=(r-\mu) / t$. Then

$$
0=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*}\right)=\mu+\theta t+t\left(-\mu^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t-2) \mu,
$$

whence $\theta=\mu^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(t-1) \mu$. Substituting $\mu+\mu^{2} t-\frac{1}{2} \mu t(t-1)$ for $r$ in Equation (3), and dividing by $\mu t(t+1)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-2 \mu)(t-2 \mu-1)=2(1-\mu) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, the left hand side of (4) is non-negative, while the right hand side is non-positive. We conclude that $\mu=1$ and $t \in\{2,3\}$, a contradiction.

We are now in a position to prove the following.
Lemma 3.3. If $4 \leq a \leq t-2$, the $H$-neighbourhoods $\Delta_{H}(u)(u \in X)$ form a tight 4-design.
Proof. By Lemma 2.3, the functions $\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{u}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{2}(u \in X)$ form a basis for $\mathcal{Q}_{t}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\langle\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle\rangle=\sum_{u=1}^{n} \gamma_{u}\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{u}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{2}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write $\mathbf{c}=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)^{\top}$. From Equation (2) we have

$$
\mu=\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{i}, \mathbf{s}_{i}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\mu^{2} \gamma_{i}+\sum_{u \sim i} \gamma_{u} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)
$$

whence $\left(\mu^{2} I+A\right) \mathbf{c}=\mu \mathbf{j}$. In view of Lemma 3.2, we have $\mathbf{c}=\left(\mu^{2} I+A\right)^{-1} \mu \mathbf{j}$. In the notation of Lemma 3.1, we have $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{V}$, while $\mathcal{V}$ is $A$-invariant since the eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{2}^{*}$ form a basis for $\mathcal{V}$. It follows that there exist $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{c}=\binom{\xi \mathbf{j}}{\eta \mathbf{j}}$.

We extend notation in a natural way, so that for example $\Delta_{H}^{*}(u)$ denotes the set of vertices in $\bar{X}$ adjacent to $u$ in $G_{X}$. For $i, j \in X$, let $r_{i j}=$ $\left|\Delta_{X}(i) \cap \Delta_{X}(j)\right|, s_{i j}=\left|\Delta_{H}(i) \cap \Delta_{H}(j)\right|$ and $t_{i j}=\left|\Delta_{H}^{*}(i) \cap \Delta_{H}^{*}(j)\right|$. Note that $r_{i j}=\left|\Delta_{X}^{*}(i) \cap \Delta_{X}^{*}(j)\right|$ and $t_{i j}=t-2 a+s_{i j}$. Since $r_{i j}+t_{i j}$ is the number of common neighbours of $i$ and $j$ in $G_{X}$, we have

$$
r_{i j}+s_{i j}= \begin{cases}2 a-t+e & \text { if } i \sim j  \tag{6}\\ 2 a-t+f \text { if } i \nsim j\end{cases}
$$

Since $\langle\langle\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\rangle\rangle=\frac{1}{4}(\langle\langle\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\rangle\rangle-\langle\langle\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\rangle\rangle)$, Equation (5) yields

$$
\langle\langle\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\rangle\rangle=\sum_{u=1}^{n} \gamma_{u}\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{u}, \mathbf{x}\right\rangle\right\rangle\left\langle\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{u}, \mathbf{y}\right\rangle\right\rangle .
$$

Setting $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{s}_{i}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{s}_{j}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1=\xi\left(r_{i j}-2 \mu\right)+\eta s_{i j} \text { if } i \sim j, \quad 0=\xi r_{i j}+\eta s_{i j} \text { if } i \nsim j \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (6) and (7) we obtain two sets of simultaneous equations in $r_{i j}$ and $s_{i j}$ :

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
r_{i j}+s_{i j}=2 a-t+e \\
\xi r_{i j}+\eta s_{i j}=2 \mu \xi-1
\end{array}\right\} \text { if } i \sim j, \quad \begin{array}{l}
r_{i j}+s_{i j}=2 a-t+f \\
\xi r_{i j}+\eta s_{i j}=0
\end{array}\right\} \text { if } i \nsim j
$$

Now $\xi \neq \eta$ for otherwise $\mathbf{j}$ is an eigenvector of $A$, and $G$ is regular, contradicting Corollary 2.5. Therefore each set of simultaneous equations has a unique solution; in particular, there exist integers $e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|\Delta_{H}(i) \cap \Delta_{H}(j)\right|= \begin{cases}e^{\prime} & \text { if } i \sim j, \\ f^{\prime} & \text { if } i \nsim j .\end{cases}
$$

We have $e^{\prime} \neq f^{\prime}$, for otherwise $|X| \leq t[2$, Theorem 1.51]. Thus if $4 \leq a \leq$ $t-2$ then by Theorem 2.7 the $H$-neigbourhoods $\Delta_{H}(u)(u \in X)$ form a tight 4-design.

In view of Theorem 2.7, it remains to consider four cases: (a) $t=23$ and $a \in\{7,16\}$, (b) $a=3$, (c) $a=2$, (d) $a=t-2$.
Case (a). In this case we have $n=276,|X|=253,|\bar{X}|=23$ and either $a=7$ or $a=16$. If $a=7$ then $D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}r-16 & 16 \\ 176 & r-176\end{array}\right)$, whence $176 \leq r \leq 198$. If $a=16$ then $D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}r-7 & 7 \\ 77 & r-77\end{array}\right)$, whence $77 \leq r \leq 99$. For these values of $r$, there is no strongly regular graph of order 276 and degree $r$; see for example Brouwer's list of feasible parameters at http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/graphs/srg/srgtab.html.
Case (b): $a=3$. Let $\mathcal{D}=\left\{\Delta_{H}(u): u \in X\right\}$. If $t \geq 7$ then $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ is a tight 4 -design and Theorem 2.7 is contradicted. If $t<7$ then $t \in\{5,6\}$ and the multiplicity of $\mu$ in $G_{X}$ is 9,14 respectively. If $t=5$ then either $G_{X}=L\left(K_{6}\right)$ with $\mu=-2$ or $G_{X}=\overline{L\left(K_{6}\right)}$ with $\mu=1$. In the former case, $D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}6 & 2 \\ 4 & 4\end{array}\right)$, and so $H=K_{5}$; but the graph obtained from $K_{5}$ by adding a vertex of degree 3 does not have -2 as an eigenvalue (see Lemma 2.2). In the latter case, $D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & 2 \\ 4 & 2\end{array}\right)$, and so $H$ is a 5 -cycle; but then not all 3 -subsets of $\bar{X}$ can be $H$-neighbourhoods in $G$ [4, Example 5.2.3]. Now suppose that $t=6$. Then $k=15$ and we have $b=k a / t=45 / 6$, a contradiction.
Case (c): $a=2$. Here the $H$-neighbourhoods in $G$ are all the 2 -subsets of $\bar{X}$, and their intersection numbers are necessarily 0 and 1 . We have

$$
D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r-t+2 & t-2 \\
\frac{1}{2}(t-1)(t-2) & r-\frac{1}{2}(t-1)(t-2)
\end{array}\right)
$$

whence $\lambda=r-\frac{1}{2}(t-2)(t+1)$. Now

$$
0=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*}\right)=r+t\left(r-\frac{1}{2}(t-2)(t+1)\right)+\frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t-2) \mu,
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=t-\frac{2 r}{t-2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A 2-subset of $\bar{X}$ intersects precisely $2 t-4$ other 2 -subsets of $\bar{X}$. Hence if $\left(e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)=(1,0)$ then each vertex in $X$ has $2 t-4$ neighbours in $X$, and so $2 t-4=r-t+2$. Thus $r=3(t-2)$, and we see from Equation (8) that $\mu=t-6$. Now $r \geq \frac{1}{2}(t-1)(t-2)$ and so $t \leq 7$. Since $\mu \neq-1$ or 0 , we have $t=4$ or $t=7$. If $t=4$ then

$$
D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 2 \\
3 & 3
\end{array}\right)=D
$$

whence $G$ is 6 -regular, a contradiction. If $t=7$ then $r=15, \mu=1$ and $\bar{X}$ is an independent set. Equating diagonal entries in Equation (1), we find that $a=1$, a contradiction.

If $\left(e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)=(0,1)$ then each vertex in $X$ has $2 t-4$ non-neighbours in $X$, and so $2 t-4=\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)-1-(r-t+2)$. We find that $r=\frac{1}{2}(t-1)(t-2)$, $\mu=1$ and $\bar{X}$ is independent, leading to the same contradiction as above.
Case (d): $a=t-2$. In this case we have $D^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}r-2 & 2 \\ t-1 & r-t+1\end{array}\right)$, whence $\lambda=r-t-1$. Now

$$
0=\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{*}\right)=r+t(r-t-1)+\frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t-2) \mu
$$

and so

$$
\mu=\frac{2(t-r)}{t-2}
$$

For distinct $u, v \in X$, let

$$
\left|\Delta_{H}^{*}(u) \cap \Delta_{H}^{*}(v)\right|=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
e^{*} \text { if } u \sim v \\
f^{*} \text { if } u \nsim v
\end{array}\right.
$$

so that $\left\{e^{*}, f^{*}\right\}=\{0,1\}$.
If $\left(e^{*}, f^{*}\right)=(0,1)$ then each vertex of $X$ has $2 t-4$ non-neighbours in $X$, and so $r-2=\binom{t}{2}-(2 t-4)-1$, whence $r=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2}-5 t+10\right)$ and $\mu=5-t$. Since $r-t+1 \leq t-1$, we have $(t-2)(t-7) \leq 0$, whence $t \in\{4,5,6,7\}$. If $t=4$ then $r=3, \mu=1$ and $G$ is 3 -regular, contradicting Theorem 2.4. If $t=5$ or 6 then $\mu=0$ or -1 , contrary to assumption. If $t=7$ then $a=5$, $\mu=-2, H=K_{7}$ and we obtain a contradiction from Lemma 2.2.

If $\left(e^{*}, f^{*}\right)=(1,0)$ then each vertex of $X$ has $2 t-4$ neighbours in $X$, and so $r=2 t-2, \mu=-2$. Moreover, $H=K_{t}$ and Lemma 2.2 yields

$$
(t-2)^{2}-(t+1)(t-2)+2(t+1)=0
$$

It follows that $t=8$. Since $G$ is determined by $H$ and all 6 -subsets of $\bar{X}$ as $H$-neighbourhoods of vertices in $X$, we conclude that $G=G_{36}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1
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