

High Porosity Boulders Identified on C-type Asteroid (162173) Ryugu

M. Grott, J. Knollenberg, M. Hamm, K. Ogawa, R. Jaumann, K. Otto, M. Delbo, P. Michel, J. Biele, W. Neumann, M. Knapmeyer, E. Kührt, H. Senshu, T. Okada, J. Helbert, A. Maturilli, N. Müller, A. Hagermann, N. Sakatani, S. Tanaka, T. Arai, S. Mottola, S. Tachibana, I. Pelivan, L. Drube, J.-B. Vincent, H. Yano, C. Pilorget, K. D. Matz, N. Schmitz, A. Koncz, S. Schröder, F. Trauthan, M. Schlotterer, C. Krause, T.-M. Ho, A. Moussi-Soffys

C-type asteroids are among the most pristine objects in the solar system, but little is known about their interior structure and surface properties. Telescopic thermal infrared observations have so far been interpreted in terms of a regolith covered surface with low thermal conductivity and particle sizes in the centimeter range. This includes observations of C-type asteroid (162173) Ryugu, for which average grainsizes of 3-30 mm have been derived^{1,2,3}. However, upon arrival of the Hayabusa2 spacecraft at Ryugu, a regolith cover of sand- to pebble-sized particles was found to be absent^{4,5}. Rather, the surface is largely covered by cobbles and boulders, seemingly incompatible with the infrared observations. Here we report on the first in-situ thermal infrared observations of a boulder on a C-type asteroid. Boulder thermal inertia was found to be much lower than anticipated based on laboratory measurements of meteorites, which implies that the boulder itself exhibits low thermal conductivity. Our results furthermore indicate high boulder porosities as well as a low tensile strength in the few hundred kPa range. This confirms the suspected observational bias in our meteorite collections, as typical samples of common asteroid types would be too frail to survive atmospheric entry.

On October 3rd, 2018, the Hayabusa2 spacecraft⁶ delivered the MASCOT⁷ lander to the surface of asteroid (162173) Ryugu, where MASCOT's infrared radiometer MARA⁸ obtained surface brightness temperature measurements for a full day-night cycle. The instrument's field of view covered a spot of approximately 10 cm in diameter on the surface, which was also observed by MASCOT's optical camera MasCam⁴. The scene observed by MARA and MasCam⁴ is shown in **Figure 1**, with the footprint of the MARA 8-12 μm broadband sensor indicated in red. MARA is viewing the top face of a slightly raised (~ 3 cm) boulder in front of MASCOT. The boulder is rough in surface texture at the sub-centimeter scale and generally appears angular to sub-angular.

30 Particles with diameters larger than 0.6 mm are resolvable at the bottom of the image (~0.2 mm
31 per pixel resolution), but only a few separate roundish grains can be identified as loose grains on
32 this formation. Furthermore, an optically thick cover of fine particles is not observed, and bright
33 inclusions are visible at multiple locations including the area viewed by MARA. Highly resolved
34 areas in the MasCam image show that the boulder is composed of a relatively dark matrix with
35 mostly bright but also darker inclusions at the millimeter scale⁴.

36 Remote spectral observations of Ryugu suggest a composition similar to heated CM (CM2) or CI
37 meteorites⁹. However, the texture observed in close-up images (compare Fig. 1) shows
38 millimeter-sized inclusions in at least one location and bright speckles at varying distances. This
39 seems to be incompatible with a CM2 composition, for which petrographic analysis¹⁰ shows
40 maximum grain sizes around 0.2 mm. On the other hand, CI meteorites are predominantly
41 composed of a fine-grained matrix with a mottled appearance, and the texture observed by
42 MASCOT resembles that of the rare CI2 Tagish Lake meteorite, which possesses up to 2 mm
43 large calcium aluminum rich inclusions¹¹. Thus, CI2 type meteorites appear to be the closest
44 known Ryugu analogue. However, as Tagish Lake is a rare sample and variations in inclusion
45 sizes are common for carbonaceous chondrites, it is not feasible to derive a definite meteorite
46 analogue. Rather, it may be possible that the investigated boulder is not represented in any
47 meteorite collection on Earth.

48 Temperatures measured using MARA's 8-12 μm filter are shown as a function of local time in
49 Figure 2a along with the best-fitting thermal models taking the illumination conditions at the
50 landing site as well as surface roughness into account (see methods). Diurnal temperatures rise
51 steeply in the morning, but start dropping around 11:07 local time, indicating shadows passing
52 through the radiometer field of view before noon. Maximum temperatures reach 308 K shortly
53 after local noon and the sun sets at 16:39 local time. The complex shape of the daytime
54 temperature curve indicates a rough surface, consistent with camera observations. During
55 nighttime, temperatures drop to 201 K.

56 As daytime data is affected by surface roughness and re-radiation from the environment, only
57 equilibrated nighttime temperatures are used to fit thermophysical models. Best-fitting models
58 (see methods) are indicated in Figure 2a and correspond to a thermal inertia Γ of $282 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$.
59 While fitting nighttime data perfectly, the steep increase of temperature during the morning is

60 underestimated, while midday temperatures are overestimated. Taking surface roughness into
61 account (green solid line in Figure 2a), the quality of the fit to midday temperatures is much
62 improved, but early morning temperatures cannot be fit using this model. The latter are
63 influenced by light reflected from the MASCOT lander, and a local terrain model including
64 reflections would be necessary to improve results. Nevertheless, since only equilibrium
65 nighttime temperatures are used to estimate the thermal inertia Γ , presented results are largely
66 independent of surface roughness and topography.

67 Admissible thermal inertia values are shown as a function of maximum insolation in Figure 2b,
68 where the orientation of the surface normal has been systematically varied around its nominal
69 value. The color bar shows the χ^2 of the individual fits, and emissivity has been varied between
70 0.9 and 1. Low Γ corresponds to low emissivity and models with and without re-radiation have
71 been considered. As a result, admissible thermal inertia values for the boulder in the MARA field
72 of view were found to be $282^{+93}_{-35} \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$. This estimate is similar to telescopically
73 determined thermal inertia values¹ of 150 to $300 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$ and values determined by the
74 thermal infrared imager on the Hayabusa2 spacecraft⁵, which range from 200 to $500 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$
75 $\text{ s}^{-1/2}$. It therefore seems likely that boulders dominate the thermal emission from Ryugu, which
76 would be consistent with the high rock abundance determined from orbiter images⁵. Therefore,
77 contrary to expectation, the low thermal inertia derived for Ryugu does not correspond to a
78 pebble-sized regolith-covered surface^{1,2}. Rather, boulder to block-sized clasts themselves appear
79 to have thermal inertia lower than that of CM2 Cold Bokkeveld, which has the lowest thermal
80 inertia ($600 - 700 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$) of a meteorite measured so far.

81 While thin layers of fine material could in principle mask the thermal signature of competent
82 rock, the boulder observed by MARA appears to be free from an optically thick, dusty layer.
83 Furthermore, the presence of a fine dust layer can be ruled out by considering a two layer
84 thermal model. Results of the calculations (see methods) are shown in Figure 3, where dust with
85 a thermal inertia of $25 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$ was assumed to cover a boulder with a thermal inertia of
86 $700 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$. As is evident, the model is incompatible with the observed nighttime cooling
87 rates, and the boulder observed by MARA itself must exhibit very low bulk thermal conductivity
88 to fit the data. However, it cannot be ruled out that the low conductivity zone is limited to a

89 highly porous outer layer. Such a layer may for example be generated by cracking due to thermal
90 fatigue^{12,13,14} and could extend to a few thermal skin depths.

91 Given the above estimate of thermal inertia, thermal conductivity can be derived for a given bulk
92 density and heat capacity (see methods). Furthermore, since thermal conductivity k of porous
93 material depends more on porosity ϕ , and thus the total area of inter-grain contacts, than on the
94 conductivity of the grains themselves³, the porosity of the investigated boulder could in principle
95 be estimated if the functional dependence of $k(\phi)$ were known. However, while models for H and
96 L chondrites indicate that porosity and thus thermal conductivity is governed by dehydration- or
97 shock-induced cracks^{15,16,17}, thermal conductivity data on CI chondrites are absent. Nevertheless,
98 since cracks are abundant in CI types¹⁸, it stands to reason that a similar mechanism would
99 reduce thermal conductivity in these types and models derived for H and L chondrites should be
100 applicable to CI types, too.

101 Two models^{16,17} for thermal conductivity as a function of porosity are shown in Figure 4a.
102 Available data for H, L, and CM chondrites are shown together with the thermal conductivities
103 extrapolated for Ryugu. The first model¹⁶ results in $28 < \phi < 34\%$, typical for CM and CI
104 chondrites, which have class average porosities of 22.2% and 34.9%, respectively¹⁷. The second
105 model¹⁷ yields $41 < \phi < 55\%$. Other models applicable to partially-sintered granular material¹⁶
106 yield intermediate results. Corresponding thermal conductivities are 0.06 - 0.13 and 0.09 - 0.16
107 $\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$, respectively, and thus much lower than measurements reported for the thermal
108 conductivities of meteorites. Measurements on CI chondrites are missing entirely, but since CI
109 chondrites are those meteorites with highest porosities¹⁷, low conductivities could be expected.

110 Pores in the boulder would be observable in nighttime images, as the illumination provided by
111 the MasCAM LED array covers a variety of directions. However, no such pores are observed,
112 indicating that potential pores must be smaller than 1 mm. The radiative contribution to the total
113 heat transport inside the boulder can now be estimated by considering radiative heat exchange
114 between parallel planes³, and for pores smaller than 1 mm this results in a minor contribution to
115 the overall thermal conductivity. The latter must therefore be governed by solid conduction
116 through grain contacts. Given porosity and thermal conductivity, the amount of contacts can be
117 estimated, which in turn can be converted to an estimate of tensile strength (see methods). Given
118 the values derived above and assuming a Young's modulus representative for carbonaceous

119 chondrites, tensile strength of the boulder is estimated to be 200 to 280 kPa and thus
120 considerably lower than measurements on meteorite samples¹⁷, which generally show tensile
121 strengths of the order of one to a few MPa¹⁹. This low tensile strength indicates an observational
122 bias, namely that any hypothetical meteoroid originating from the boulder observed by
123 MASCOT would likely break up during atmospheric entry and would thus be absent in our
124 meteorite collections.

125 Thermal conductivity values derived above can be compared to estimates for other minor bodies,
126 and corresponding data are shown in Figure 4b, where thermal conductivity is given as a
127 function of temperature for asteroids (162173) Ryugu¹, (101955) Bennu²⁰, and the average
128 estimated for small near Earth asteroids²¹. Values are compatible within error bars and similar to
129 values derived for comets 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko²², 9P/Tempel 1^{23,24}, and 103P/Hartley
130 2²³ when heat capacity is properly scaled for temperature and appropriate densities are assumed
131 (see methods section). On the other hand, estimates for S-type asteroid (25143) Itokawa^{25,26} are
132 larger by a factor of three, indicating that thermal properties of C-type asteroids are more similar
133 to those of comets than those of S-type asteroids. It is also worth noting that no cubic
134 dependence of thermal conductivity on temperature is observed in Figure 4b, which could
135 indicate that radiative heat transfer through large pores is negligible in the bodies considered
136 here.

137 The high porosities derived here have important implications for Ryugu’s parent body.
138 Assuming initial porosities²⁷ of the order of 70%, the Ryugu precursor needs to have been large
139 enough to reduce intrinsic (micro-) porosity to values below 55% by compaction and aqueous
140 alteration, while simultaneously avoiding porosities to drop below 28%. This implies that the
141 material we observe on Ryugu’s surface today was either produced in the outer layers of a larger
142 (50-100 km sized) parent body, or the interior of a smaller, kilometer-sized body. The latter
143 would be feasible provided accretion occurred while ²⁶Al was still active, in which case aqueous
144 alteration and hot pressing of the precursor material would be efficient. In this way, porosities of
145 45% can be achieved starting from initial porosities of 70% even on small, kilometer-sized
146 objects, provided that a water ice dominated primordial composition similar to that of CI/CM
147 chondrites is assumed^{28,29}.

149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176

References

1. Wada, K., Grott, M., Michel, P., Walsh, K., *et al.*, Asteroid Ryugu Before the Hayabusa2 Encounter, *Progress Earth Plan. Sci.*, 5:82, doi:10.1186/s40645-018-0237-y (2018).
2. Gundlach, B., Blum, J., A new method to determine the grain size of planetary regolith, *Icarus* 223, 479–492, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2012.11.039 (2013).
3. Sakatani, N., Ogawa, K., Iijima, Y., Arakawa, M., Honda, R., Tanaka, S., Thermal conductivity model for powdered materials under vacuum based on experimental studies, *AIP Advances* 7, 015310 (2017).
4. Jaumann, R., Schmitz, N., Ho, T.-M. Schröder, S., Otto, K., *et al.*, In-situ investigation of asteroid (162173) Ryugu by the Mobile Asteroid Surface Scout (MASCOT) Camera (MASCam), *Science*, submitted.
5. Sugita, S., Honda, R. Morota, T., *et al.*, The geomorphology, color, and thermal properties of Ryugu: Implications for parent-body processes, *Science*, 364, 252 (2019).
6. Watanabe, S., Tsuda, Y., Yoshikawa, M., *et al.*, Hayabusa2 Mission Overview, *Space Sci. Rev.* 208: 3, doi:10.1007/s11214-017-0377-1 (2017).
7. T.M. Ho, V. Baturkin, C. Grimm, *et al.*, MASCOT—The Mobile Asteroid Surface Scout Onboard the Hayabusa2 Mission, *Space Sci. Rev.* 208: 339, doi.org/10.1007/s11214-016-0251-6 (2017).
8. Grott, M., Knollenberg, J., Borgs, B., *et al.*, The MASCOT Radiometer MARA for the Hayabusa 2 Mission. *Space Sci. Rev.* 208: 413, doi:10.1007/s11214-016-0272-1(2017).
9. Moskovitz, N.A., Abe, S., Pan, K.-S., Osip, D.J., Pefkou, D., Melita, M.D., Elias, M., Kitazato, K., Bus, S.J., DeMeo, F.E., Binzel, R.P., Abell, P.A., Rotational characterization of Hayabusa II target Asteroid (162173) 1999 JU3, *Icarus* 224, 24–31 (2013).
10. King, T.V.V., King, E.A., Grain Size and Petrography of C2 and C3 Carbonaceous Chondrites, *Meteoritics* 13, 47–72 (1978).
11. Brown, P.G., Hildebrand, A.R., Zolensky, M.E., Grady, M., Clayton, R.N., Mayeda, T.K., Tagliaferri, E., Spalding, R., MacRae, N.D., Hoffman, E.L., Mittlefehldt, D.W., Wacker, J.F., Bird, J.A., Campbell, M.D., Carpenter, R., Gingerich, H., Glatiotis, M., Greiner, E., Mazur,

- 177 M.J., McCausland, P.J., Plotkin, H., Mazur, T.R., 2000. The Fall, Recovery, Orbit, and
178 Composition of the Tagish Lake Meteorite: A New Type of Carbonaceous Chondrite,
179 *Science*, 290, 320–325, (2000).
- 180 12. Delbo, M., Libourel, G., Wilkerson, J., Murdoch, N., Michel, P., Ramesh, K.T., Ganino, C.,
181 Verati, C., Marchi, S., Thermal fatigue as the origin of regolith on small asteroids., *Nature*,
182 508(7495):233-6 (2014).
- 183 13. Hamm, M., Senshu, H., Grott, M., Latitudinal Dependence of Asteroid Regolith Formation
184 by Thermal Fatigue, *Plan. Space Sci.*, [doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2018.09.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2018.09.033) (2018).
- 185 14. Molaro, J.L., Byrne, S., Le, J.-L., Thermally induced stresses in boulders on airless body
186 surfaces, and implications for rock breakdown, *Icarus*, 294, 247-261 (2017).
- 187 15. Krause, M., Blum, J., Skorov, Yu.V., Tieloff, M., Thermal conductivity measurements of
188 porous dust aggregates: I. Technique, model and first results, *Icarus* 214, 286–296,
189 [doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2011.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2011.04.024) (2011).
- 190 16. Henke, S., Gail, H.-P., Tieloff, M., Thermal evolution and sintering of chondritic
191 planetesimals III. Modelling the heat conductivity of porous chondrite material, *Astron.*
192 *Astrophys.* 589, A41 (2016), [doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201527687](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527687) (2016).
- 193 17. Flynn, G.J., Consolmagno, G.J., Brown, P., Macke, R.J., Physical properties of the stone
194 meteorites: Implications for the properties of their parent bodies, *Chemie der Erde* 78, 269–
195 298, [doi:10.1016/j.chemer.2017.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer.2017.04.002) (2018).
- 196 18. Tonui, E., Zolensky, M., Hiroi, T., Nakamura, T., Lipschutz, M.E., Wang, M.-S., Okudaira,
197 K. Petrographic, chemical and spectroscopic evidence for thermal metamorphism in
198 carbonaceous chondrites I: CI and CM chondrites, *Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta* 126, 284–
199 306 (2014).
- 200 19. Ostrowski, D., Bryson, K., The physical properties of meteorites, *Planetary and Space*
201 *Science*, 165, 148-178 (2019).
- 202 20. DellaGiustina, D.N., Emery, J.P., Golish, D.R., et al., Properties of rubble-pile asteroid
203 (101955) Bennu from OSIRIS-REx imaging and thermal analysis, *Nature Astronomy*, [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0731-1)
204 [10.1038/s41550-019-0731-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0731-1)

- 205 21. Delbo, M., dell’Oro, A., Harris, A.W., Mottola, S., Mueller, M. ,Thermal inertia of near-
206 Earth asteroids and implications for the magnitude of the Yarkovsky effect, *Icarus* 190, 236–
207 249 (2007).
- 208 22. Spohn, T., Knollenberg, J., Ball, A.J., et al., Thermal and mechanical properties of the near-
209 surface layers of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, *Science* 349: 6247 (2015).
- 210 23. Groussin, O. *et al.*, The temperature, thermal inertia, roughness and color of the nuclei of
211 Comets 103P/Hartley 2 and 9P/Tempel, *Icarus* 222, 580–594 doi:
212 10.1016/j.icarus.2012.10.003 (2013).
- 213 24. Davidsson, B. J. R., Gutiérrez, P. J., Rickmann, H., Physical properties of morphological
214 units on comet 9P/Tempel 1 derived from near-IR Deep Impact spectra, *Icarus* 201, 335–357
215 doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2008.12.039 (2009).
- 216 25. Fujiwara, A., Kawaguchi, J., Yeomans, D.K., Abe, M., *et al.*, The Rubble-Pile Asteroid
217 Itokawa as Observed by Hayabusa, *Science* 312: 1330-1334 (2006).
- 218 26. Müller, T.G., Hasegawa, S., Usui, F., (25143) Itokawa: The power of radiometric techniques
219 for the interpretation of remote thermal observations in the light of the Hayabusa rendezvous
220 results, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan* 00 (0), 1–17, doi: 10.1093/pasj/psu034 (2014).
- 221 27. Yang, R.Y., Zou, R.P., Yu, A.B., Computer simulation of the packing of fine particles,
222 *Physical Review E*, 62(3), 3900–3908. doi:10.1103/physreve.62.3900 (2000).
- 223 28. Neumann, W., Breuer, D., Spohn, T., On the modelling of compaction in planetesimals, *Astr.*
224 *Astrophys.*, 567, A120, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201423648 (2014).
- 225 29. Neumann, W., Breuer, D., Spohn, T., Modelling the internal structure of Ceres: Coupling of
226 accretion with compaction by creep and implications for the water-rock differentiation.
227 *Astron. Astrophys.*, 584, A117, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201527083 (2015).

228

229 **Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Matthias Grott
230 (Matthias.Grott@dlr.de)

231

232 **Acknowledgments**

233 The MASCOT lander on the Hayabusa2 Mission of JAXA is a DLR/CNES cooperation.
234 MASCOT MARA has been developed and built under the leadership of the DLR Institute of
235 Planetary Research with contracted contributions from the Institute of Photonic Technology. The
236 Hayabusa2 Mission is operated by JAXA. **Funding:** K.O. acknowledges funding by the JSPS
237 Core-to-Core Program "International Network of Planetary Sciences." A.H. acknowledges
238 funding by STFC under grant no. ST/S001271/1.

239

240 **Author contributions**

241 M.G. coordinated and wrote the paper, J.K. and M.G. evaluated the instrument calibration, M.H.,
242 K.O., S.M., J.-B.V., M.S. and I.P. computed illumination and thermal models, N.S., S.S., A.K.
243 and F.T. contributed to camera development, K.O. and K.D.M. localized the MARA FoV in
244 camera images, W.N., P.M., S.T., J.B., M.D., and M.K. provided the discussion on material
245 parameters, H.S., T.O., E.K., J.B., H.Y., R.J., N.M., C.P., L.D., N.S., S.T., T.A., and S.S. added
246 to the science discussion, J.H. and A.M. contributed to the radiometer development, C.K., T.-
247 M.H., and A.M.-S. contributed to data acquisition. A.H. contributed to instrument
248 characterization. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

249

250 **Author Information:**

251 M. Grott (Correspondence to: Matthias.Grott@dlr.de), J. Knollenberg, M. Hamm, R. Jaumann,
252 K., M. Knapmeyer, E. Kührt, K. Otto, J. Helbert, A. Maturilli, N. Müller, S. Mottola, L. Drube,
253 J.-B. Vincent, K. D. Matz, N. Schmitz, A. Koncz, S. Schröder, F. Trauthan, German Aerospace
254 Center (DLR), Berlin, Germany.

255 K. Ogawa, Department of Planetology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe,
256 Japan

257 M. Delbo, P. Michel, Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS,
258 Laboratoire Lagrange, Nice, France

259 J. Biele, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Cologne, Germany

260 W. Neumann, Institut für Planetologie, University of Münster, Münster, Germany
261 H. Senshu, Planetary Exploration Research Center, Chiba Institute of Technology, Narashino,
262 Japan
263 T. Okada, N. Sakatani, S. Tanaka, H. Yano, Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan
264 Aerospace Exploration Agency, Sagamihara, Japan.
265 A. Hagermann, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK
266 T. Arai, Division of System and Information Engineering, Ashikaga University, Tochigi, Japan.
267 S. Tachibana, UTokyo Organization for Planetary and Space Science, University of Tokyo,
268 Japan.
269 I. Pelivan, Institute of Mathematics, University of Potsdam, Germany, and Fraunhofer Heinrich
270 Hertz Institute (HHI), Berlin, Germany
271 C. Pilorget, Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, Université Paris Sud, Orsay, France
272 M. Schlotterer, T.-M. Ho, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Bremen, Germany.
273 C. Krause, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Cologne, Germany.
274 A. Moussi-Soffys, Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), France.

275

276 **Figure Legends:**

277 **Figure 1: MasCam Image of the boulder observed by MASCOT indicating the MARA field**
278 **of view (red shaded area).** a) The location in daylight (local time 9:20) with the yellow arrow
279 indicating the approximate direction of illumination with sun elevation and azimuth at 40.2° and
280 67.2° . The image suggests that MASCOT is located in front of an angular to sub-angular
281 formation whose edges are outlined by the yellow dotted line. The yellow dashed line indicates
282 the edge of an elevated part of the boulder (compare the nighttime image on the right). The front
283 face of the formation orientated towards MARA is approximately 3 cm above the plane
284 MASCOT is located on. b) The same location at night (local time 23:18) illuminated by the
285 camera's red LED. Only the foreground is visible due to the limited illumination provided. The
286 images are distorted with pixel resolutions varying between approximately 0.2 mm at the bottom

287 and 3 mm near the horizon. . Note that due to a minor relocation of MASCOT the scene in panel
288 b) is slightly shifted towards the left with respect to panel a).

289 **Figure 2: Observed and modeled surface temperatures and derived thermal inertia.** a)
290 Variation of surface temperature observed in-situ at geographical coordinates of $22.22 \pm 0.05^\circ\text{S}$,
291 $317.26 \pm 0.07^\circ\text{E}$. Temperature is shown as a function of time as derived from the MARA 8-12
292 μm filter and using a surface emissivity of $\varepsilon = 1$. Error bars indicate 2σ confidence limits and
293 uncertainties are below 0.5 K and 1.5 K during daytime and nighttime, respectively. Data are
294 shown together with best fitting thermal models. While the model shown as a dashed red line
295 assumes a flat surface, midday temperatures are reduced by surface roughness effects for the
296 model shown in green. The steep rise of morning temperatures is caused by reflections from the
297 MASCOT lander (not modeled). Best fitting models correspond to a thermal inertia of 282 J m^{-2}
298 $\text{K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$ and a crater density of 0.34 (see methods). b) Retrieved thermal inertia as a function of
299 the maximum insolation for the respective surface orientation. Emissivity has been varied
300 between $\varepsilon = 0.9$ and 1. The χ^2 value of the individual fits is shown in color and admissible
301 thermal inertia values were found to be $282_{-35}^{+93} \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$.

302 **Figure 3: Modeled temperatures for a dust covered surface.** Variation of surface temperature
303 as a function of time as derived from the MARA 8-12 μm filter and using a surface emissivity of
304 $\varepsilon = 1$. Error bars indicate 2σ confidence limits and uncertainties are below 0.5 K and 1.5 K
305 during daytime and nighttime, respectively. Data are shown together with the results of a two
306 layer thermal model, which assumes best fitting illumination conditions as well as a thin dust
307 layer covering the underlying boulder. Dust thermal inertia is assumed to be $25 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$.
308 Results for four different dust thicknesses assuming a boulder thermal inertia of $700 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$
309 are shown.

310 **Figure 4: Derived thermal conductivity and boulder porosity.** a) Thermal conductivity as a
311 function of porosity. Data for H, L, and CM chondrites is shown together with two models fitting
312 the data for porosities below 20%. For the low thermal conductivities determined from MARA
313 measurements, models have to be extrapolated to high porosities. Depending on the model used,
314 porosities between 28-34% and 41-55% are obtained. b) Thermal conductivity as a function of
315 temperature as derived for different small bodies. For the boulder in the MARA field of view, a

316 grain density of 2420 kg m^{-3} has been assumed. Heat capacity¹ was evaluated at 230 K,
317 corresponding to the average observed nighttime temperature. In addition, conductivity values as
318 derived from disc integrated measurements of Ryugu, disc integrated measurements of asteroid
319 Bennu²⁰, as well as the estimated average thermal conductivity for near Earth asteroids (NEA)²¹
320 are shown. As a comparison, conductivity derived from in-situ observations of comet
321 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko²² as well as values derived from spacecraft observations of
322 comets 9P/Tempel 1^{23,24} and 103P/Hartley 2²³ are given. In contrast, the conductivity derived
323 from disc integrated measurements of asteroid Itokawa²⁶ is also shown.

324 **Methods**

325 **Asteroid Thermophysical Model.** To estimate thermophysical properties from the brightness
326 temperatures observed, a thermophysical model of the Ryugu boulder was constructed assuming
327 a single, flat surface in the MARA field of view. A specific heat c_p of $600 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and density
328 ρ of 1270 kg m^{-3} have been assumed for the boulder, consistent with pre-encounter estimates¹.
329 Parameters have been assumed to be constant and independent of temperature, as more
330 complicated models did not improve the quality of the fit. Thermal conductivity k was treated as
331 a free fitting parameter, and results are reported in terms of surface thermal inertia

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{k\rho c_p} \quad (1)$$

332 Insolation of the surface in the field of view was varied around the average surface normal
333 which, according to the Ryugu shape model, points towards longitude 314.207°E and latitude
334 34.599°S in the asteroid fixed frame at the landing site. In a local frame, elevation and azimuth
335 of the normal vector have been varied by 25° and 360° around this surface normal, respectively.
336 This accounts for the unknown orientation of the surface in the MARA field of view and covers
337 all plausible illumination conditions. Results are reported in terms of the maximum insolation
338 corresponding to the respective surface normal. Furthermore, the times of sunrise and sunset
339 have been adapted to fit MARA observations, which indicate that sunrise is delayed by 37 min
340 with respect to the nominal insolation. In addition, the sun sets 21 min earlier than predicted by
341 the illumination model and insolation has been adapted accordingly. This is consistent with the
342 terrain at the landing site, which shows that MASCOT is situated in a local depression.

343 Re-radiation from the environment onto the surface observed by MARA was taken into account
 344 using a local terrain model. View factors f from the surrounding topography to the surface have
 345 been estimated from the model and were found to amount to 0.048 ± 0.007 for facets within 1
 346 meter of the MASCOT landing site (see supplementary Figures 1 and 2). No facet showed
 347 $f > 0.08$. In the thermophysical modeling, f has been varied between 0 and 0.08 to provide a
 348 conservative upper limit. The incident flux was then derived assuming that the surrounding
 349 terrain has temperatures T_{obs} corresponding to those on the surface of the boulder observed by
 350 MARA. As thermal re-radiation decreases the estimated thermal inertia, $f = 0.08$ results in an
 351 upper limit for the derived thermal inertia.

352 Given insolation I and thermal inertia Γ , the 1D heat equation is solved³⁰ using

$$-\Gamma \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{P}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = (1 - A)I - \sigma \varepsilon T^4 + f \sigma \varepsilon T_{obs}^4 \quad (2)$$

353 as the upper boundary condition. Here, T is surface temperature, Γ thermal inertia, P rotation
 354 period, A bond albedo, σ the Stephan-Boltzmann constant, z depth normalized to the diurnal skin
 355 depth³¹, ε emissivity, and f the view factor to the surrounding environment. In the calculations,
 356 an albedo of $A = 0.0146$ is assumed while emissivity was varied between 0.9 and 1. The
 357 rotation period of Ryugu is 7.6326 h.

358 **Data Fitting.** To avoid complications caused by inhomogeneous temperatures in the MARA
 359 field of view due to the changing illumination conditions and re-radiation from the surroundings,
 360 only equilibrated nighttime data were used to fit thermophysical models³² and invert for
 361 thermophysical parameters. To fit the data, a suite of models was computed by systematically
 362 varying thermal inertia and the orientation of the surface normal in a grid search approach. In the
 363 models, thermal inertia was varied in steps of $1 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1/2} \text{ K}^{-1}$ between 170 and 410, elevation of
 364 the target surface normal was varied in steps of 5° between 90° and 65° , and azimuth of the
 365 target surface normal was varied in steps of 10° between 0° and 360° . For each model, the χ^2
 366 value between model and data was computed and models resulting in a χ^2 larger than a critical
 367 value were discarded. Here we choose a critical χ_{crit}^2 corresponding to a 2σ confidence interval³⁰

$$0.05 = \int_{\chi_{crit}^2}^{\infty} f(\chi^2, \nu) d\chi^2$$

368 where $f(\chi^2, \nu)$ is the χ^2 -distribution and ν is equal to the number of data points minus the
369 number of fitting parameters. We obtain a critical χ_{crit}^2 of 587.8 for the 534 data points obtained
370 between 17:15 and 07:16 local time used in the fitting. The minimum χ_{min}^2 obtained for the best
371 fit was found to be $\chi_{min}^2 = 66$.

372 This procedure was repeated by varying surface emissivity and assuming values of $\varepsilon = 0.9, 0.95$
373 and 1. Furthermore, models with view factors to the surroundings of $f = 0$ and 0.08 have been
374 computed to study the effect of re-radiation, which was found to have only a small influence on
375 nighttime temperatures. The stated uncertainty for the thermal inertia was then derived from the
376 lowest and highest admissible values of all simulations. The best-fitting model has an emissivity
377 of $\varepsilon = 1$. For consistency, the emissivity used for both the thermophysical models and the
378 derivation of surface temperature from the observed flux was identical in all cases.

379 **Surface Roughness.** For a rough surface, observed flux depends on the solar zenith angle φ , the
380 angle between surface normal and observation direction δ , as well as the angle between
381 projections of the sun vector and the observation direction onto the surface ψ . These need to be
382 taken into account when calculating fluxes received by the instrument. Here, the rough surface is
383 modeled as a surface covered by spherical-section craters^{33,34}, assuming lateral heat transport to
384 be negligible. Using this model, a factor $c_r(\varphi, \delta, \psi, t, \lambda)$ is calculated for each time t and
385 observed wavelength λ relating the flux emitted by a flat surface F to the flux emitted by the
386 rough surface $F_r = c_r(\varphi, \delta, \psi, t, \lambda)F$. This model is scale-independent³³, leaving the crater
387 density on the surface and the opening angle of the craters as free parameters. The latter is kept
388 constant at 180° , corresponding to a surface covered by hemispherical depressions. The model
389 also depends on parameters such as albedo and heliocentric distance, which are all kept constant
390 to be consistent with the thermophysical model described above. c_r was calculated at $\lambda =$
391 $10 \mu m$.

392 **Two Layer Model.** To estimate the possible influence of a low conductivity dust layer on the
393 modeling results, a two layer regolith model assuming geometry parameters corresponding to
394 those of the best fitting one-layer model was calculated. In the model, the dust layer is treated in
395 the continuum approximation, i.e., it is assumed that thermal transport properties inside the layer
396 can be treated using the theory of porous media³. This approximation breaks down if thin layers
397 of dust are present, in which case radiative heat transport inside the dust layer would need to be

398 treated explicitly. Here we assume that the dust layer has a thermal inertia of $25 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$.
 399 This corresponds to typical particle sizes of $\sim 10 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ at porosities of 80%³. Therefore, our model
 400 assumptions are valid for layer thicknesses in excess of $50 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

401 Models have then been calculated varying the thermal inertia of the bottom layer along with the
 402 top layer thickness. Even thin dust layers appreciably distort the temperature curves, and using
 403 bottom layer thermal inertias between 250 and $700 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$, nighttime cooling curves
 404 could only be fitted within the errorbars for thermal inertias close to the values reported above.
 405 This indicates that the thermophysical properties of the boulder itself govern thermal emission.

406 **Thermal Conductivity and Porosity Estimate.** Thermal conductivity k and porosity ϕ for the
 407 boulder observed by MARA are calculated by solving

$$k = \frac{\Gamma^2}{c_p \rho_s (1 - \phi)} \quad (3)$$

408 for a given functional dependence for $k(\phi)$. Here, Γ is thermal inertia, c_p is specific heat, ρ_s is
 409 grain density, and ϕ is porosity. For the grain density ρ_s , the average density of CI chondrites^{17,35}
 410 $\rho_s = 2420 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ has been assumed. Heat capacity was determined by evaluating¹ $c_p(T)$ at $T =$
 411 230 K . For the functional dependence of $k(\phi)$, two models have been considered. Both are based
 412 on empirical fits to available thermal conductivity data for chondritic meteorites, and the first
 413 relation¹⁷ is

$$k(\phi) = \frac{0.11(1 - \phi)}{\phi} \quad (4)$$

414 while the second relation¹⁶ is

$$k(\phi) = 4.3e^{-\phi/0.08} \quad (5)$$

415 Both formulations fit the experimental data equally well, but diverge at porosities larger than
 416 20%.

417 To compare the thermal conductivity estimates for meteorites with those for comets, cometary
 418 thermal inertia estimates have been converted to an estimate of thermal conductivity assuming a
 419 cometary bulk density of 300 kg m^{-3} as derived from spacecraft observations²³ of the Deep

420 Impact experiment on 9P/Tempel 1. For the heat capacity, a value of $1000 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ was used²³.
 421 For the computation of thermal conductivities for (101955) Bennu, (25143) Itokawa, and average
 422 NEA, heat capacity¹ was evaluated at a temperature of 340 K, while for the disc-averaged
 423 measurement of (162173) Ryugu a temperature of 277 K has been assumed. Assumed bulk
 424 densities for (162173) Ryugu¹, average NEA²¹, (25143) Itokawa²⁵, and (101955) Bennu were
 425 1270 kg m^{-3} , 1950 kg m^{-3} , 1950 kg m^{-3} , 1270 kg m^{-3} , respectively.

426 **Strength Estimate.** To estimate mechanical tensile strength given thermal conductivity k and
 427 porosity ϕ , the amount of inter-grain contacts is estimated neglecting radiative heat transport
 428 using³

$$k = \frac{4}{\pi^2} k_s (1 - \phi) CH \quad (6)$$

429 where the grain thermal conductivity k_s has been assumed to be $2.95 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ as appropriate for
 430 serpentine. Here, $H = r_c/R$ is the Hertz factor with r_c being the contact radius and R the radius of
 431 interacting spheres. For the coordination number C , the relation

$$C = \frac{2.812(1 - \phi)^{-1/3}}{f^2(1 + f^2)} \quad (7)$$

432 was used, where

$$f = 0.07318 + 2.193\phi - 3.357\phi^2 + 3.194\phi^3 \quad (8)$$

433 Given k , k_s , ϕ , C and H can then be calculated. For a random packing of identical, isotropic and
 434 homogeneous spheres with shear modulus μ and Poisson's ratio ν , the bulk elastic parameters
 435 can then be related to those in a porous medium following the approach of Digby³⁶: in Hertzian
 436 contact theory, two identical spheres have a circular contact area of radius r_c , depending on the
 437 confining pressure and the Young's modulus of the sphere material. In extension of the Hertz
 438 contact model, Digby assumes that the contact area between two spheres contains a small,
 439 concentric area of radius $r < r_c$ where the spheres are firmly bonded, i.e., remain in contact even
 440 without confining pressure. He obtains the effective Lamé parameters of the packing

$$\lambda_{Digby} = \frac{\mu C(1 - \phi)}{5\pi R} \left[\frac{r_c}{1 - \nu} - \frac{2r}{2 - \nu} \right] \quad (9)$$

$$\mu_{Digby} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu C(1 - \phi)}{5\pi R} \left[\frac{2r_c}{1 - \nu} + \frac{6r}{2 - \nu} \right] \quad (10)$$

441 We take the adhesive region as a model for a sintering neck between two particles and consider
 442 the case without confining pressure, where $r \equiv r_c$. Using the relation

$$E = \mu \frac{3\lambda + 2\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \quad (11)$$

443 between Lamé-parameters and Young's modulus, and the relation

$$E = 2\mu(1 + \nu) \quad (12)$$

444 between shear modulus, Lamé parameter λ and Young's modulus E , we obtain the effective
 445 Young's modulus

$$E_{Digby} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{r_c}{R} C(1 - \phi) \frac{1}{1 - \nu^2} \frac{5 - 4\nu}{5 - 3\nu} E \quad (13)$$

446 of the conglomerate of sintered spheres in relation to the Young's modulus of the matrix
 447 material. Since $0 \leq \nu \leq 1/2$ usually holds for Poisson's ratio, the dependence of E_{Digby} on ν
 448 amounts to a factor between 0.988 and 8/7, or to $256/255 \approx 1.004$ if the matrix material is
 449 Poissonian. Therefore, we finally obtain

$$E_{Digby} \cong \frac{1}{2\pi} HC(1 - \phi)E = \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{k}{k_s} E \quad (14)$$

450 and the obtained (reduced) Young's modulus is then converted into an estimate of tensile
 451 strength σ_t using the empirical relation³⁷

$$\sigma_t = \frac{E_{Digby}}{500} = \frac{\pi}{4000} \frac{k}{k_s} E \quad (15)$$

452 The Young's modulus E of ordinary chondrites is usually reported¹⁷ to be of the order of tens of
453 GPa, while values for carbonaceous chondrites are usually much lower^{38,39}, ranging from a few
454 to about 10 GPa. Here we assume 10 GPa to be representative for the Ryugu boulder, pointing
455 out that this likely places an upper limit on the derived tensile strength.

456

457 **Data Availability**

458 The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available
459 from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

460

461 **Additional References**

462 30. Hamm, M., Grott, M., Kührt, E., Pelivan, I., Knollenberg, J., A method to derive surface
463 thermophysical properties of asteroid (162173) Ryugu (1999JU3) from in-situ surface
464 brightness temperature measurements, *Plan. Space Sci.* 159, 1-10,
465 doi:10.1016/j.pss.2018.03.017 (2018).

466 31. Spencer, J.R., Lebofsky, L.A., Sykes, M.V., Systematic biases in radiometric diameter
467 determinations, *Icarus*, 78, 2 (1989).

468 32. Christensen, P.R., Jakosky, B.M., Kieffer, H.H., *et al.*, The Thermal Emission Imaging
469 System (THEMIS) for the Mars 2001 Odyssey Mission, *Space Science Reviews* 110: 85
470 (2004).

471 33. Kuehrt, E., Giese, B., Keller, H. U., Ksanfomality, L. V., Interpretation of the KRFM-
472 Infrared Measurements on Phobos, *Icarus* 96, 213, (1992).

473 34. Giese, B., Kührt, E., Theoretical Interpretation of Infrared Measurements at Deimos in the
474 Framework of Crater Radiation, *Icarus* 88, 372-379 (1990).

475 35. Macke, R.J., Consolmagno, G.J., Britt, D.T., Density, porosity, and magnetic susceptibility
476 of carbonaceous chondrites, *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 46, 1842–1862 (2011).

477 36. Digby, P.J., The Effective Elastic Moduli of Porous Granular Rocks, *J. Appl. Mechanics* 48,
478 803-808 (1981).

- 479 37. Scholz, C.H., The Mechanics of Earthquakes and Faulting, 2nd Edition, Cambridge Univ.
480 Press (2002)
- 481 38. Ibrahim, M. I., The Elastic Properties of Carbonaceous Chondrites, MSc Thesis, Univ. of
482 Calgary, Alberta, <http://dx.doi.org/10.11575/PRISM/28122> (2012).
- 483 39. Jones, S.F., Elastic Wave Velocity, Porosity, and Pore Geometry of Ordinary Chondrites and
484 Artificially Shocked Samples, MSc Thesis, Univ. of Calgary, Alberta
485 <http://dx.doi.org/10.11575/PRISM/22027> (2009).











