

# Animal Behaviour

## Objective and subjective components of resource value in lethal fights between male entomopathogenic nematodes --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	ANBEH-D-19-00856R2
<b>Article Type:</b>	UK Research paper
<b>Keywords:</b>	entomopathogenic nematodes; female quality; lethal fights; male mating status; resource value
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Apostolos Kapranas Benaki Phytopathological Institute Kifissia, Athens, Greece GREECE
<b>First Author:</b>	Apostolos Kapranas
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Apostolos Kapranas Annemie Zenner Rosie Mangan Christine Griffin
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Males sometimes engage in fights over contested resources such as access to mates; in this case, fighting behaviour may be adjusted based on the value they place on the females. Resource value RV can have two components. Males can assess the quality of females, which constitutes an objective assessment of RV. Internal state such as previous mating experience can also influence motivation to fight thus constituting a subjective assessment of RV. If mating opportunities are scarce and available females have a major impact on the lifetime reproductive success of males, then fighting can be fatal; in this situation it is uncertain whether males would adjust fighting behaviour based on RV. We found that both female quality i.e., virginity (objective component of RV) and male mating status (subjective component of RV) influence fighting intensity between males of the entomopathogenic nematode <i>Steinernema longicaudum</i> which engage in lethal fights. Male nematodes were more likely to engage in fighting and fought longer and more frequently in the presence of virgin (high quality) females than in the presence of mated (lower quality) females. Male mating status was also found to influence fighting behaviour; mated males were the winners in staged fights between mated and virgin males. Mated males may have superior fighting ability (enhanced resource holding potential RHP), but RV asymmetries between mated and virgin males cannot be excluded. Males were more likely to win when they were resident, but we did not find a significant interaction effect between male mating and residency status.</p>

Accepted refereed manuscript of: Kapranas A, Zenner ANRL, Mangan R & Griffin CT (2020) Objective and subjective components of resource value in lethal fights between male entomopathogenic nematodes. *Animal Behaviour*, 164, pp. 149–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.04.015>

© 2020, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial–NoDerivatives 4.0 International <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

## **Objective and subjective components of resource value in lethal fights between male entomopathogenic nematodes**

Apostolos Kapranas<sup>1\*</sup>, Annemie N. R. L. Zenner<sup>2</sup>, Rosie Mangan<sup>3</sup>, Christine T. Griffin<sup>2</sup>

Running title: *female quality and male mating status influence fighting behaviour in male nematodes*

<sup>1</sup>Benaki Phytopathological Institute, Kifissia, Attica, GR-145 61, Greece

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland

<sup>3</sup>Biological and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK

\*Corresponding author:

Benaki Phytopathological Institute, 8 St. Delta str., GR-145 61 Kifissia, Attica, Greece

Tel.: +30 210 8180216 • email: [a.kapranas@bpi.gr](mailto:a.kapranas@bpi.gr)

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Ms Aideen Byrne for technical assistance. All experimentation reported in this work abides to the principles of replacement, reduction and refinement as endorsed by the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour (ASAB).

### **FUNDING**

This work was supported by Science Foundation Ireland (Grant number RFP05/EEB0009) and the EU Seventh Framework Programme (grant agreement n° 612713 BIOCOTES Project).



## 26 INTRODUCTION

27 Animals frequently engage in contests over resources that impact their fitness, such as mates,  
28 territories or nesting sites (Andersson 1994). Amongst the most important factors influencing  
29 the extent and outcome of contest behaviour are the resource holding potential of the  
30 contestants, the value of the resource and the costs of fighting (Parker 1974; Arnott & Elwood  
31 2008; Hardy & Briffa 2013). Resource holding potential RHP (fighting ability) of the  
32 contestants may depend on their weaponry, energy reserves and body size (Parker 1974; Arnott  
33 & Elwood 2009; Hardy & Briffa 2013; Rico-Guevara & Hurme 2019). Resource value RV has  
34 two components: objective and subjective; Intrinsic properties of the resource that will yield a  
35 certain fitness gain to a successful competitor constitute the objective components of resource  
36 value, while the value of a given resource may vary for each contestant depending on its own  
37 internal state and prior experience (subjective component of resource value) (Enquist & Leimar  
38 1987; Arnott & Elwood 2008). These components of resource value may simultaneously  
39 influence the ultimate value of the resource (Stockermans & Hardy 2013).

40 Objective and subjective components of resource value have been widely studied in  
41 contests between males for mates, where the contested resource is receptive females. When  
42 females are scarce, males may be more motivated to fight, but this motivation can also depend  
43 on female quality which can be based on size (larger females are more fecund), nearness to  
44 moult or mating status (Crespi 1988; Dick & Elwood 1990; Arnott & Elwood 2008; Keil &  
45 Watson 2010; Hoefler et al. 2009; Ancona et al. 2010; Kasumovic et al. 2011). Furthermore,  
46 males' internal state, such as their own mating status, can influence subjectively the value that  
47 they place on the contested resource (i.e., mates) (Arnott & Elwood 2008). Mated males may  
48 become less aggressive and more likely to lose at contests due to the energetic cost of mating  
49 (Brown et al. 2006, 2007; Judge et al. 2010). On the other hand, previous mating experience  
50 has been shown to increase a male's aggression and fighting success in several animal taxa

51 because of changes in the male's self-assessment of RHP and perception of the resource  
52 value, that are similar to winner/loser effects (Killian and Allen 2008; Yasuda et al. 2015,  
53 Dugatkin & Dugatkin 2011; Zhang et al., 2019). If an animal wins a contest then its  
54 perception of fighting ability increases and it is more likely to initiate future fights and win  
55 them, whereas losers lower their RHP perception, are less aggressive and more likely to lose  
56 (Hsu et al. 2006). Mating status is also related to the residual reproductive value (number of  
57 future offspring) which is predicted to influence male investment in fighting (Kemp 2006).  
58 An unmated male can be more aggressive and more motivated to fight because future mating  
59 opportunities are of greater value to him, whereas a mated male may be less willing to engage  
60 in costly fighting (Kemp 2006).

61 Fighting is costly, risking injury and using time and energy that could be used in  
62 mating or other activities (Maynard Smith & Price 1973; Briffa & Sneddon 2007). As the  
63 value of the contested resource increases, the cost of fighting can also increase (Enquist &  
64 Leimar 1987; Kokko 2013). If the contested resource has a major impact on the lifetime  
65 reproductive success of the the contestants, such as limited females, then fights can escalate  
66 to serious injury and even death (Enquist & Leimar 1987, 1990; Maynard Smith & Parker  
67 1976). Lethal male fighting has been recorded in some vertebrates (e.g., Piper et al. 2008) and  
68 numerous arthropods, particularly arachnids (DeCarvalho et al. 2004; Sato et al. 2013) and  
69 hymenopterans (Anderson et al. 2003; Matthews et al. 2009; Cook & Bean 2006; Hamilton  
70 1979; Innocent et al. 2007; Innocent et al. 2011) and lately in entomopathogenic nematodes  
71 (Zenner et al. 2014; Kapranas et al. 2016). Whereas contested resource availability, such as  
72 potential mates, is critical in prompting lethal fights (Enquist & Leimar 1990), it is unclear to  
73 what extent the contestants also assess the quality of resource in such species. When mating  
74 opportunities for males are extremely limited then their motivation to fight would be expected  
75 to be independent of resource quality even if the odds of dying in a fight are high (Enquist &

76 Leimar 1990). Amongst parasitoid hymenopterans with lethal male combat, some species  
77 adjust fighting behaviour according to the value of the resource (Liu & Hao 2019), while  
78 others do not (Innocent et al. 2011).

79 Here we investigate the effect of objective and subjective resource value on lethal  
80 contests of male entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema longicaudum* Shen and Wang.  
81 We are able to manipulate the mating status of the contestant males and also the quality of the  
82 contested resource by staging dyadic contests in a controlled environment (drops of  
83 haemolymph of their insect host). First, we assess how the presence/absence and quality of  
84 available females (objective components of resource value) influence fighting behaviour  
85 between mated males. We predict that the presence of a female, especially a virgin, will  
86 increase the frequency and/or severity of fighting in *S. longicaudum*. Then, we assess whether  
87 male mating status (subjective components of resource value) influences the contest outcome.  
88 We do not have a directional prediction of how mating influences fighting, but we  
89 hypothesize that either mated or virgin males will have a consistent advantage over the other,  
90 and this will provide insights regarding how male nematodes assess the value of their mates  
91 and whether mating status influences fighting ability.

92

## 93 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

94

### 95 *Nematode Reproductive Biology and Lethal Fighting.*

96 Infection by entomopathogenic nematodes including *S. longicaudum* begins when free-  
97 foraging infective juveniles (IJs) enter an insect host and release their symbiotic bacteria that  
98 turn the host insect into a cadaver. In *S. longicaudum*, IJs develop into amphimictic males and  
99 females, with a sex ratio that is slightly female biased (Alsaiyah et al. 2009). Two or more  
100 generations develop until the cadaver is crowded, prompting the production of IJs that exit in

101 search of other hosts (Kaya & Gaugler 1993; Dillman & Sternberg 2012). In *S. longicaudum*  
102 it was shown that males are aggressive towards each other and engage in lethal fights (Zenner  
103 et al. 2014). During male-male encounters, an aggressor coils its tail end around a victim, with  
104 the copulatory spicules towards the victim's body. If not gripped close to the tail, the victim  
105 may counter-attack, wrapping its tail around the aggressor. Grappling encounters frequently  
106 end when the grasped male ceases to move part or all of its body, which may happen within  
107 minutes (Zenner et al. 2014). Such worms usually die, though partially paralyzed worms  
108 occasionally recover movement. The cuticle is sometimes punctured, but paralysis and death  
109 occur also without puncture, apparently due to damage to internal organs.

110

#### 111 *Nematode Cultures and Behavioural Assays*

112 *Steinernema longicaudum* (strain CB2B) cultures were routinely maintained using standard  
113 procedures by passage through late instar *Galleria mellonella* (wax moth) larvae (Kaya &  
114 Stock 1997) at 27°C. Infective juveniles were stored in tap water at 20°C. We obtained adult  
115 males for our experiments by placing IJs individually in 25 µl hanging drops of haemolymph  
116 from *G. mellonella* larvae which provides a suitable environment for development to  
117 adulthood (Kaya & Stock 1997; Zenner et al. 2014). The hanging drops were placed on the lid  
118 of a Petri dish which was then inverted over a water-filled Petri dish (6 cm diam.) to prevent  
119 desiccation. The IJs in the hanging drops develop to adulthood within 3 days at 27°C. Adult  
120 males can be distinguished by their copulatory spicules and their smaller size whereas females  
121 are larger in size and bear vulva. We used three days old males and females in our  
122 experiments.

123

#### 124 *Experiment 1: Effects of Female Mating Status*

125 All males used in this experiment were mated. We placed each individual naïve male for 16-  
126 20 hours with 2 naïve females to mate. We then removed the females, and successful mating  
127 was subsequently confirmed by the production of progeny. We removed two adult males from  
128 their hanging drops and placed them immediately, in pairs, back in the drop from which one  
129 member of the pair had been taken. Thus, one worm was “resident” and one an “intruder”, but  
130 the identity of each was not tracked, as there were no clear visual differences between them.  
131 Worms were assigned to the following treatments: (i) 2 mated males with a mated female ( $N$   
132 = 34); (ii) 2 mated males with a virgin female (same age as mated female) ( $N = 31$ ); (iii) 2  
133 mated males without a female ( $N = 35$ ). A mating attempt was recorded when a male coiled  
134 around the female at the vulva; insertion of spicules into the vulva was difficult to observe  
135 due to the coiling of the male around the female. A fight was recorded once one male coiled  
136 tightly around another and then let go. Continuous observations were made for 30 mins during  
137 which the latency to first fight, the incidence (whether at least one fighting event occurred),  
138 number and (for a subsample) duration of fights were recorded. Paralysis and/or death of the  
139 males was recorded at the end of the observation and after 24 hours.

140

#### 141 *Experiment 2: Effects of Male Mating Status*

142 In *S. longicaudum*, males that have been with a female can be distinguished from those that  
143 have not, based on the presence of sperm in the seminal vesicle, visible through the body wall  
144 (Ebssa et al., 2008); thus, mating status of individual males in a pair could be recognized. In  
145 this experiment, we placed together an adult male that had mated and a virgin one. Mated  
146 males were obtained by placing two females in the drop of an individually reared adult male  
147 for 24 hours, after which the females were removed to a different drop and observed for later  
148 progeny production. We set up pairs of one mated and one virgin adult male either in the drop  
149 in which the mated male had been reared and mated ( $N = 21$ ) or in the drop in which the



150 unmated male had been reared ( $N = 24$ ). In this case, since mated and unmated males differed  
151 in appearance, the identity of “resident” and “intruder” could also be traced. After 24 hours  
152 we observed which worms showed signs of paralysis or death. Individual males were  
153 identified as the mated or virgin member of the pair by examining for the presence of sperm  
154 using a Nikon Optiphot microscope (x40).

155

### 156 *Statistics*

157 Analysis of factors influencing the number of fights, as well copulations observed in our  
158 assays and the probability of paralysis/death were explored with generalized linear models as  
159 described in Briffa et al. (2013). To test the hypothesis that objective resource value affects  
160 fighting behavior, we used a log-linear analysis which is appropriate for small count data, to  
161 assess how female presence and mating status (predictor variables) affected the number of  
162 fights (dependent variable). Post-hoc tests among different treatments whenever applicable  
163 were adjusted with the Bonferroni correction. The probability of paralysis and/or death at the  
164 end of the 30 min observation period and 24h post observations was explored with logistic  
165 analysis. Duration of fighting in different treatments (no females, mated and virgin females)  
166 was analysed with a non-parametric Kruskal Wallis test and latency to fighting was analysed  
167 using survival regression analysis (Moya-Larano & Wise 2000). In the second experiment, we  
168 compared the incidence of paralysis/death in contests staged in drops where the resident male  
169 was mated versus drops where the resident was a virgin male, by using a Fisher’s exact test.  
170 For those pairs in which paralysis or death occurred, we used a logistic analysis by randomly  
171 picking a focal male (either mated or virgin) in each replicate and tested the effect of  
172 residency and mating status (as factors) and their interaction on the probability of the focal  
173 male winning the contest (Briffa et al. 2013). All analysis was performed in SPSS v.21 (IBM,  
174 Armonk, NY, USA).

175

## 176 **RESULTS**

177

### 178 *Female Presence and Quality*

179 Significantly more fights were observed in the presence of virgin females than in the presence  
180 of mated females or in the absence of females ( $F_{2,97} = 5.79$ ,  $P = 0.004$ , Fig. 1). This was partly  
181 explained by the higher incidence of fighting in the presence of a virgin female (74.2%) than  
182 with mated or no female (44.1 and 42.9%, respectively). In addition, the latency to fight was  
183 shorter in the presence of virgin females than in the presence of mated females or in the absence  
184 of females (Cox's proportional hazards analysis: Risk = 0.448, 95%CI 0.233-0.860,  $P = 0.016$ ,  
185 Fig. 2), and fights also tended to last longer when there was a virgin female present (Kruskal  
186 Wallis  $H = 5.56$ ,  $DF = 2$ ,  $P = 0.062$ ; Fig. 3).

187 The presence or quality of a female did not influence the incidence of paralysis at the end of  
188 the 30 min observation period ( $G_2 = 1.437$ ,  $P = 0.487$ ) when on average 6% of pairs had one male  
189 paralysed, nor did it affect the incidence of paralysis and/or death after 24 hours ( $G_2 = 2.839$ ,  $P$   
190  $= 0.242$ ), where overall 97% of pairs had at least one male either paralysed or dead, mostly  
191 represented by dead (90.9% of pairs). Single males routinely suffer 3% mortality within 24  
192 hours (Zenner et al. 2014).

193

194

195 Moreover, the number of copulations (mating events at vulva) was higher when the female was  
196 virgin than mated (mated females:  $0.88 \pm 0.18$ , virgin females:  $1.74 \pm 0.26$ ,  $F_{1,63} = 7.322$ ,  $P =$   
197  $0.009$ ).

198

### 199 *Experiment 2: Male Mating Status*

200 After 24 h, paralysis or death was recorded in all ( $N = 21$ ) drops where the resident male was  
201 mated, but in just 70.8% (17/24) of the drops where the virgin male was resident (Fisher's exact  
202 test,  $P = 0.01$ ). For the 38 pairs where there was paralysis or death after 24 h, we investigated  
203 whether male mating status and prior residency affected the contest outcome. Mated and  
204 resident males were more likely to win (logistic regressions for male mating status:  $G_{1,34} =$   
205  $75.13$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; male residency:  $G_{1,34} = 10.313$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) (Figure 4). The effect of male  
206 mating status was particularly strong: mated males won 92.1% of fights overall. The interaction  
207 between male mating status and drop residency was not significant ( $G_{1,34} = 0$ ,  $P = 0.991$ ).

208

209

## 210 **DISCUSSION**

211 The presence of a female can lead to escalated male fighting, as it has shown in spiders  
212 and parasitoid wasps (Wells 1988; Jackson et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2017). Moreover, the  
213 motivation to fight and intensity of fights, and consequently the cost of fighting, is adjusted  
214 according to the perceived value of the resource (Parker 1974; Maynard-Smith & Parker  
215 1976; Arnott & Elwood 2008; Enquist & Leimar 1987). In our study we found that not only  
216 the presence but also the quality of females had an influence on fighting behaviour of male *S.*  
217 *longicaudum* nematodes; fighting was more intense in the presence of a virgin female, during  
218 our 30 min observation period. In other empirical studies, males similarly exhibited  
219 significantly more aggressive behaviours, and fights were more intense in the presence of  
220 virgin females over mated ones in parasitoid wasps (Liu & Hao 2019), wolf spiders (Hoefler  
221 et al. 2008) and Sierra dome spiders (Keil & Watson 2010). The fact that a virgin (but not a  
222 mated) female enhances competition between male *S. longicaudum* suggests either that  
223 females mate only once, or that there is sperm competition with first male precedence  
224 (Birkhead & Moller 1998; Simmons 2001). Sperm precedence has been documented in

225 nematodes including *Caenorhabditis elegans* (LaMunyon & Ward 1995; Ward & Carrel  
226 1979). Mated female steinernematids no longer attract males over a distance (Lewis et al.  
227 2002; Hartley 2017), and in our experiment mated females received fewer mating attempts  
228 (sperm transfer was not confirmed) than virgins, suggesting at least a reduction in  
229 attractiveness. Female steinernematids have at most a short window of availability for mating,  
230 with a period of egg-laying succeeded by egg-hatch in utero and subsequent death (“endotokia  
231 matricida”) (Poinar 1990). The exceptionally large macrosperm of *S. longicaudum* are  
232 suggestive of intense sperm competition (LaMunyon & Ward 1999). Because the cost of  
233 fighting is high, selection favours individuals that can assess the value of the resource and  
234 adjust their competitive behaviour accordingly (Parker 1974; Maynard-Smith & Parker 1976;  
235 Arnott & Elwood 2008). When mates are limited over time and space and their value is equal  
236 or higher than the future, then a major part of a male contestant's lifetime reproductive success  
237 is at stake and it is predicted that fights can escalate to death (Enquist & Leimar 1987, 1990).  
238 In such cases, fights might ensue irrespective of the quality of the mates, since future  
239 opportunities for reproduction are low, but our results show that male *S. longicaudum*  
240 nematodes assess the quality of the females and adjust their fighting behaviour. We suggest  
241 that mated females, due to either once-off mating or intense sperm competition, might simply  
242 not represent a valuable resource worth fighting to death for.

243         Differences in the quantity and quality of food or mates lead to an ‘objective’  
244 assessment of the value of the contested resource, whereas internal state dictates the  
245 subjective assessment of the resource value (Enquist & Leimar 1987; Arnott & Elwood 2008).  
246 In dyadic fights between mated *vs* virgin males, the mated males were more competitive,  
247 resulting in paralysis and death of the virgin male in over 90% of cases. Mating could enhance  
248 fighting skills in nematodes, since similar coiling movements of males around females during  
249 copulation are used to lock, paralyse and consequently lead to death of their opponents.

250 Increased fighting skills enhance resource holding potential (Briffa & Lane 2017).  
251 Alternatively, mating in males can result in an increased assessment of fighting ability or an  
252 overestimation of the contested resource value (Killian & Allen 2008; Yasuda et al. 2015).  
253 Mating experience has similar effects to ‘winning a fight’ experience, which is expected in  
254 turn to raise the subjective value of a female in future contests (Hsu et al. 2006).

255         Contests are frequently asymmetrical; one such asymmetry is between territory owners  
256 or residents, and intruders. In such cases, it is usually the resident that wins (Hardy & Briffa  
257 2013). Owner-intruder asymmetry is less likely to affect fighting outcome when the ratio of  
258 future to current reproduction is low (Enquist & Leimar 1990). The relationship between male  
259 residency and increased competitive ability that was observed in our experimental conditions  
260 might be explained by the fact that the resident males are more adapted to the environment  
261 (which is comprised of bacterial/female pheromone odours) of the drop on which they  
262 reached adulthood than the intruder males. The extent to which residency effects for male  
263 entomopathogenic nematodes occurs in nature is unclear; male entomopathogenic nematodes  
264 compete in a restricted space, an insect cadaver, in which it might sometimes be difficult to  
265 claim residency. However, larger insect cadavers such as wax moth (*G. mellonella*) may be  
266 large enough to allow some degree of compartmentalization or localization of residents,  
267 because at the time the invading nematodes are adult, the wax moth cadaver retains internal  
268 structural integrity, allowing physical compartmentalization of individuals within it. Regions  
269 of the cadaver could also be chemically differentiated, especially if colonized by nematodes  
270 derived from different lines of free-foraging IJs e.g. those that exited from different natal host  
271 species or have different bacterial strains and/or chemical signature. Another source of odours  
272 that could differentiate space within a cadaver is the female residents. Female *S. longicaudum*  
273 are relatively immobile, tending to coil in situ, while males are active and attracted by female  
274 pheromones (Hartley 2017). Thus, it is likely that a “territory” or patch is defined by the area

275 of the cadaver influenced by a female's odour, at least in a cadaver with a relatively low  
276 population density, and such a patch could be defended by a resident male. The increased  
277 probability in Experiment 2 of the resident male winning a fight in drops in which the resident  
278 was mated might be due to residual pheromones from virgin females increasing the perceived  
279 resource value of the drop. Mated *S. longicaudum* males fight more in the presence of a virgin  
280 female, as shown in Experiment 1, and female *S. longicaudum* secrete pheromones that affect  
281 males behaviourally and physiologically even when no female is present (Ebssa et al. 2008;  
282 Hartley 2017). Female pheromones enhance aggression in male arthropods including crabs,  
283 (Smith et al. 1994; Sneddon et al. 2003), crickets (Buena & Walker, 2008) and parasitoids  
284 (Liu & Hao 2019). However, female pheromones cannot be the explanation for the increased  
285 probability of the resident winning in the drops where the resident was virgin, since these  
286 drops had not previously held a female. Both mated and virgin males were more likely to win  
287 when resident, but since mated residents may have experienced pheromone, but virgin  
288 residents did not, the lack of an interaction between the effects of male mating status and  
289 residency may in fact point to an asymmetrical effect of female pheromone on the aggression  
290 of mated and virgin males. There are also some observations wherein female presence has a  
291 differential effect on fighting intensity of unmated and mated *S. longicaudum* males  
292 (Kapranas & Griffin n.d.). Such differential effects of female presence or pheromones may be  
293 related to the fact that *S. longicaudum* that have had no female presence are not immediately  
294 ready to mate (Ebssa et al. 2008).

295 Fatal fighting is expected to ensue whenever the value of the resource outbalances the  
296 risk of injury, as is the case of entomopathogenic nematode males who have to fight for  
297 access to females which are limited in space and time. Our study shows that competitor males  
298 adjust their fighting behaviour according to the objective (presence and quality of females)  
299 and subjective (male mating experience) components of resource value. Male mating

300 experience could enhance the fighting ability (resource holding potential) but also its  
301 influence on fighting ability is confounded by resource value components.

302

### 303 REFERENCES

304 **Abe, J., Kamimura, Y. & Shimada, M.** 2005. Individual sex ratios and offspring emergence  
305 patterns in a parasitoid wasp, *Melittobia australica* (Eulophidae), with superparasitism  
306 and lethal combat among sons. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **57**, 366-373.

307 **Alsaiyah, M.A.M, Ebssa, L., Zenner A, O'Callaghan, K.M. & Griffin, C.T.** 2009. Sex  
308 ratios and sex-biased infection behaviour in the entomopathogenic nematode genus  
309 *Steinernema*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **39**, 725–734.

310 **Ancona, S., Drummond, H. & Zaldivar-Rae, J.** 2010. Male whiptail lizards adjust  
311 energetically costly mate guarding to male-male competition and female reproductive  
312 value. *Animal Behaviour*, **79**, 75–82.

313 **Andersson, M.** 1994. *Sexual selection*. Princeton (NJ): Princeton University Press.

314 **Anderson, C., Cremer, S. & Heinze, J.** 2003. Live and let die: why fighter males of the ant  
315 *Cardiocondyla* kill each other but tolerate their winged rivals. *Behavioural Ecology*, **14**,  
316 54-62.

317 **Arnott, G. & Elwood, R.W.** 2008. Information gathering and decision making about  
318 resource value in animal contests. *Animal Behaviour*, **76**, 529–542.

319 **Arnott, G. & Elwood, R.W.** 2009. Assessment of fighting ability in animal contests. *Animal*  
320 *Behaviour*, **77**, 991–1004.

321 **Birkhead, T.R. & Møller, A.P.** 1998. *Sperm Competition and Sexual Selection*. Academic  
322 Press, London. Pp. 826.

- 323 **Briffa, M., Hardy, I.C.W., Gammell, M.P., Jennings, D.J., Clarke, D.D. & Goubault, M.**  
324 2013 *Analysis of animal contest data*. In: Hardy ICW, Briffa M, editors. *Animal*  
325 *contests* Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. p. 47–85.
- 326 **Briffa, M. & Lane, S.M.** 2017. The role of skill in animal contests: a neglected component of  
327 fighting ability. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **284**, 20171596.
- 328 **Briffa, M. & Sneddon, L.U.** 2007. Physiological constraints on contest behaviour.  
329 *Functional Ecology*, **21**, 627–637.
- 330 **Brown, W.D., Smith, A.T., Moskalik, B. & Gabriel, J.** 2006. Aggressive contests in house  
331 crickets: size, motivation and the information content of aggressive songs. *Animal*  
332 *Behaviour*, **72**, 225-233.
- 333 **Brown, W.D., Chimenti, A.J. & Siebert, J.R.** 2007. The payoff of fighting in house  
334 crickets: motivational asymmetry increases male aggression and mating success.  
335 *Ethology*, **113**, 457-465.
- 336 **Buena, L.J. & Walker, S.E.** 2008. Information asymmetry and aggressive behaviour in male  
337 house crickets, *Acheta domesticus*. *Animal Behaviour*, **75**, 199-204.
- 338 **Crespi, B.J.** 1988. Risks and benefits of lethal male fighting in the colonial, polygynous  
339 thrips *Hoplothrips karnyi* (Insecta: Thysanoptera). *Behavioral Ecology Sociobiology*,  
340 **22**, 293–301.
- 341 **Cook, J.M. & Bean, D.** 2006. Cryptic male dimorphism and fighting in a fig wasp. *Animal*  
342 *Behaviour*, **71**, 1095-1101.
- 343 **Dick, J.T.A & Elwood, R.W.** 1990. Symmetrical assessment of female quality by male  
344 *Gammarus pulex* (amphipoda) during struggles over precopula females. *Animal*  
345 *Behaviour*, **40**, 877-883.
- 346 **Dillman, A.R. & Sternberg, P.W.** 2012. Quick guide: entomopathogenic nematodes.  
347 *Current Biology*, **22**, 430–431.



348 **DeCarvalho, T.N., Watson P.J. & Field SA.** 2004. Costs increase as ritualized fighting  
349 progresses within and between phases in the sierra dome spider, *Neriene litigiosa*.  
350 *Animal Behaviour*, 68, 473-482.

351 **Dugatkin, L.A. & Dugatkin, A.D.** 2011. Overestimating resource value and its effects on  
352 fighting decisions. *PLoS ONE* 6:e19924

353 **Ebssa, L., Dix, I. & Griffin, C.T.** 2008. Female presence is required for male sexual maturity  
354 in the nematode *Steinernema longicaudum*. *Current Biology*, **18**, R997–R998.

355 **Enquist, M. & Leimar, O.** 1987. Evolution of fighting behavior—the effect of variation in  
356 resource value. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 127, 187–205.

357 **Enquist, M. & Leimar, O.** 1990. The evolution of fatal fighting. *Animal Behaviour*, **39**,1–9.

358 **Hardy, I.C.W & Brifa M.** 2013. *Animal contests*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University  
359 Press.

360 **Hamilton, W.D.** 1979. *Wingless and fighting males in fig wasps and other insects*. In: Blum  
361 MS, Blum NA, editors. *Sexual selection and reproductive competition in insects*.  
362 London: Academic Press. p. 167–220.

363 **Hartley, C.J.** 2017. *Pheromones in entomopathogenic nematodes: effects on dispersal and*  
364 *reproductive behaviours*. PhD Thesis, Maynooth University.

365 **Hoefler, C.D., Guhanarayan, G., Persons, M.H., Rypstra, A.L.** 2009. The interaction of  
366 female condition and mating status on male–male aggression in a wolf spider. *Ethology*,  
367 **115**, 331 –338.

368 **Hsu, Y., Earley, R.L. & Wolf, L.L.** 2006. Modulation of aggressive behaviour by fighting  
369 experience: mechanisms and contest outcomes. *Biological Reviews*, **81**, 33–74.

370 **Innocent, T.M., West, S.A, Sanderson, J.L., Hyrkkänen, N. & Reece, S.E.** 2011. Lethal  
371 combat over limited resources: testing the importance of competitors and kin.  
372 *Behavioural Ecology*, **22**, 923-931

- 373 **Innocent, T.M., Savage, J., West, S.A. & Reece SE.** 2007. Lethal combat and sex ratio  
374 evolution in a parasitoid wasp. *Behavioural Ecology*, **18**, 709–715.
- 375 **Jackson, R.R., Walker, M.W., Pollard, S.D. & Cross, F.R.** 2006. Influence of seeing a  
376 female on the male-male interactions of a jumping spider, *Hypoblemum albobittatum*.  
377 *Journal of Ethology*, **24**, 231-238.
- 378 **Judge, K.A, Ting, J.J., Schneider, J. & Fitzpatrick, M.J.** 2010. A lover, not a fighter:  
379 mating causes male crickets to lose fights. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **64**,  
380 1971-1979.
- 381 **Kapranas, A & Griffin, C.T.** n.d. male-male fatal fights in groups of entomopathogenic  
382 nematodes: female presence modulates differentially fighting behaviour in mated and  
383 virgin males. *Unpublished manuscript*.
- 384 **Kapranas, A., Maher, A.M.D. & Griffin, C.T.** 2016. Higher relatedness mitigates mortality  
385 in a nematode with lethal male fighting. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **29**, 344–351.
- 386 **Kasumovic, M.M., Mason, A.C., Andrade, M.C.B. & Elias, D.O.** 2011. The relative  
387 importance of RHP and resource quality in contests with ownership asymmetries.  
388 *Behavioral Ecology*, **22**, 39 – 45
- 389 **Kaya, H.K. & Gaugler, R.** 1993. Entomopathogenic nematodes. Annual Review of  
390 Entomology, **38**, 181–206.
- 391 **Kaya, H.K., & Stock, S.P.** 1997. *Techniques in insect nematology*. In Lacey, editor. Manual  
392 of Techniques in Insect Pathology. New York (USA), Academic Press, p. 281–324.
- 393 **Kemp, D.J.** 2006. Ageing, reproductive value, and the evolution of lifetime fighting  
394 behaviour. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **88**, 565-578.
- 395 **Keil, P.L & Watson, P.J.** 2010. Assessment of self, opponent and resource during male-male  
396 contests in the sierra dome spider, *Neriene litigiosa*: Linyphiidae. *Animal Behaviour*,  
397 **80**, 809-820.

- 398 **Killian, K.A. & Allen, J.R.** 2008. Mating resets male cricket aggression. *Journal of Insect*  
399 *Behaviour*, 21, 535–548.
- 400 **Kokko, H.** 2013. *Dyadic contests: modelling fights between two individuals*. In: Hardy ICW,  
401 Briffa M, editors. *Animal contests* Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. p. 5–  
402 32.
- 403 **LaMunyon, C.W. & Ward, S.** 1995. Sperm precedence in a hermaphroditic nematode  
404 (*Caenorhabditis elegans*) is due to competitive superiority of male sperm. *Experientia*,  
405 51, 817-823.
- 406 **LaMunyon, C.W. & Ward, S.** 1999. Evolution of sperm size in nematodes: sperm  
407 competition favours larger sperm. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **266**, 263-267.
- 408 **Lewis, E.E, Barbarosa, B. & Gaugler, R.** 2002. Mating and sexual communication by  
409 *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Nemata: Steinernematidae). *Journal of Nematology*, **34**,  
410 328–331.
- 411 **Liu, P.C., Wei, J.R., Tian, S. & Hao, D.J.** 2017. Male-male lethal combat in the quasi-  
412 gregarious parasitoid *Anastatus disparis* (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae). *Scientific*  
413 *Reports*, **7**, 11875.
- 414 **Liu, P. & Hao, D.J.** 2019. Effect of variation in objective resource value on extreme male  
415 combat in a quasi-gregarious species, *Anastatus disparis*. *BMC Ecology*, **19**, 21
- 416 **Mariette, M.M., Cathaud, C., Chambon, R. & Vignal, C.** 2013. Juvenile social experience  
417 affects pairing success at adulthood: congruence with the loser effect? *Proceedings of*  
418 *the Royal Society B*, **280**, 20131514.
- 419 **Matthews, R.W., Gonzalez, J.M., Matthews, J.R. & Deyrup LD.** 2009. Biology of the  
420 parasitoid *Melittobia* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Annual Review of Entomology*, **54**,  
421 251–266.
- 422 **Maynard Smith, J. & Price, G.R.** 1973. The logic of animal conflict. *Nature*, **246**, 15-18.

- 423 **Maynard Smith, J. & Parker, G.A.** 1976. The logic of animal contests. *Animal Behaviour*,  
424 **24**, 159-175.
- 425 **Moya-Larano, J. & Wise, D.H.** 2000. Survival regression analysis: a powerful tool for  
426 evaluating fighting and assessment. *Animal Behaviour*, **60**, 307-313.
- 427 **Parker, G.A.** 1974. Assessment strategy and the evolution of fighting behaviour. *Journal of*  
428 *Theoretical Biology*, **47**, 223-243.
- 429 **Pérez-Staples, D., Martínez-Hernández, M.G. & Aluja, M.** 2010. Male age and experience  
430 increases mating success but not female fitness in the Mexican fruit fly. *Ethology*, **116**,  
431 778–786.
- 432 **Piper, W.H., Walcott, C., Mager, J.N. & Spilker, F.J.** 2008. Fatal battles in common loons:  
433 a preliminary analysis. *Animal Behaviour*, **75**, 1109 – 1115.
- 434 **Poinar, G.O.** 1990. *Biology and taxonomy of Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae*. in  
435 Gaugler R, Kaya HK editors. Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control Boca  
436 Raton, FL, CRC Press: Pp. 23–62.
- 437 **Rico-Guevara, A. & Hurme, L.** 2019. Introsexually selected weapons. *Biological Reviews*,  
438 **94**, 60–101.
- 439 **Sato, Y., Egas, M. & Sabelis, M.W. & Mochizuki, A.** 2013. Male-male aggression peaks at  
440 intermediate relatedness in a social spider mite. *Ecology and Evolution*, **3**, 2661–2669.
- 441 **Simmons, L.W.** 2001. *Sperm Competition and Its Evolutionary Consequences in the Insects*.  
442 Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ.
- 443 **Smith, I.P., Huntingford, F.A., Atkinson, R.J.A. & Taylor, A.C.** 1994. Mate competition  
444 in the velvet swimming crab *Necora puber*: effects of perceived resource value on male  
445 agonistic behavior. *Marine Biology*, **120**, 579-584.

446 **Sneddon, L.U., Huntingford, F.A., Taylor, A.C., & Clare, A.S.** 2003. Female sex  
447 pheromone-mediated effects on behavior and consequences of male competition in the  
448 shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **29**, 55-70.

449 **Stockermans, B.C. & Hardy, I.C.W.** 2013. Subjective and objective components of resource  
450 value additively increase aggression in parasitoid contests. *Biology Letters*, **9**, 20130391

451 **Ward, S. & Carrel, J.S.** 1979. Fertilization and sperm competition in the nematode  
452 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Developmental Biology*, **73**, 304-321

453 **Wells, M.S.** 1988. Effects of body size and resource value on fighting behaviour in a jumping  
454 spider. *Animal Behaviour*, **36**, 321-326.

455 **Yasuda, C.I., Matsuo, K., Wada, S.** 2015. Previous mating experience increases fighting  
456 success during male–male contests in the hermit crab *Pagurus nigrofascia*. *Behavioral*  
457 *Ecology and Sociobiology*, **69**, 1287–1292.

458 **Zenner, A.N.R.L, O’Callaghan, K.M., Griffin, C.T.** 2014. Lethal fighting in nematodes is  
459 dependent on developmental pathway: male-male fighting in the entomopathogenic  
460 nematode *Steinernema longicaudum*. *PLoS One*, **9**, e89385.

461 **Zhang, S., Ai, H. & Li, D.** 2019. Mating changes a male contestant from a loser to a winner  
462 in male–male competition in a wolf spider. *Biological Journal of the Linnean. Society*,  
463 128, 83–92

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

472

473 **Figure 1** Fights between two mated males, in the presence or absence of a female within 30  
474 minutes. Bars show average values with asymmetrical, poisson-distributed errors. Bars with  
475 accompanied by the same letter are not significantly different (post-hoc multiple comparison  
476 tests with Bonferroni adjustment,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

477 **Figure 2.** Latency to first fight between two mated males in the presence or absence of a  
478 female within 30 minutes.

479 **Figure 3.** Time spent fighting by two males in the presence or absence of a female within 30  
480 minutes. Data are represented as box and whisker plots with median (horizontal line), 25–75  
481 percentiles (boxes), ranges for the bottom 25% and the top 25% of the data values (whiskers).

482 **Figure 4.** Probability of winning of mated and virgin males in pairs of one mated and one  
483 virgin adult male set up either in the drop in which mated male had been reared and mated or  
484 in the drop in which the unmated male had been reared. Bars show estimated average values  
485 with asymmetrical, binomially distributed standard errors

486

487

488

489

490


491

492

493

494

495



496

497

498


499

500

501

502

503



504

505


506

507

508

509





510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520


521

522

523

524

525



526



## 26 INTRODUCTION

27 Animals frequently engage in contests over resources that impact their fitness, such as mates,  
28 territories or nesting sites (Andersson 1994). Amongst the most important factors influencing  
29 the extent and outcome of contest behaviour are the resource holding potential of the  
30 contestants, the value of the resource and the costs of fighting (Parker 1974; Arnott & Elwood  
31 2008; Hardy & Briffa 2013). Resource holding potential RHP (fighting ability) of the  
32 contestants may depend on their weaponry, energy reserves and body size (Parker 1974; Arnott  
33 & Elwood 2009; Hardy & Briffa 2013; Rico-Guevara & Hurme 2019). Resource value RV has  
34 two components: objective and subjective; Intrinsic properties of the resource that will yield a  
35 certain fitness gain to a successful competitor constitute the objective components of resource  
36 value, while the value of a given resource may vary for each contestant depending on its own  
37 internal state and prior experience (subjective component of resource value) (Enquist & Leimar  
38 1987; Arnott & Elwood 2008). These components of resource value may simultaneously  
39 influence the ultimate value of the resource (Stockermans & Hardy 2013).

40 Objective and subjective components of resource value have been widely studied in  
41 contests between males for mates, where the contested resource is receptive females. When  
42 females are scarce, males may be more motivated to fight, but this motivation can also depend  
43 on female quality which can be based on size (larger females are more fecund), nearness to  
44 moult or mating status (Crespi 1988; Dick & Elwood 1990; Arnott & Elwood 2008; Keil &  
45 Watson 2010; Hoefler et al. 2009; Ancona et al. 2010; Kasumovic et al. 2011). Furthermore,  
46 males' internal state, such as their own mating status, can influence subjectively the value that  
47 they place on the contested resource (i.e., mates) (Arnott & Elwood 2008). Mated males may  
48 become less aggressive and more likely to lose at contests due to the energetic cost of mating  
49 (Brown et al. 2006, 2007; Judge et al. 2010). On the other hand, previous mating experience  
50 has been shown to increase a male's aggression and fighting success in several animal taxa

51 because of changes in the male's self-assessment of RHP and perception of the resource  
52 value, that are similar to winner/loser effects (Killian and Allen 2008; Yasuda et al. 2015,  
53 Dugatkin & Dugatkin 2011; Zhang et al., 2019). If an animal wins a contest then its  
54 perception of fighting ability increases and it is more likely to initiate future fights and win  
55 them, whereas losers lower their RHP perception, are less aggressive and more likely to lose  
56 (Hsu et al. 2006). Mating status is also related to the residual reproductive value (number of  
57 future offspring) which is predicted to influence male investment in fighting (Kemp 2006).  
58 An unmated male can be more aggressive and more motivated to fight because future mating  
59 opportunities are of greater value to him, whereas a mated male may be less willing to engage  
60 in costly fighting (Kemp 2006).

61 Fighting is costly, risking injury and using time and energy that could be used in  
62 mating or other activities (Maynard Smith & Price 1973; Briffa & Sneddon 2007). As the  
63 value of the contested resource increases, the cost of fighting can also increase (Enquist &  
64 Leimar 1987; Kokko 2013). If the contested resource has a major impact on the lifetime  
65 reproductive success of the the contestants, such as limited females, then fights can escalate  
66 to serious injury and even death (Enquist & Leimar 1987, 1990; Maynard Smith & Parker  
67 1976). Lethal male fighting has been recorded in some vertebrates (e.g., Piper et al. 2008) and  
68 numerous arthropods, particularly arachnids (DeCarvalho et al. 2004; Sato et al. 2013) and  
69 hymenopterans (Anderson et al. 2003; Matthews et al. 2009; Cook & Bean 2006; Hamilton  
70 1979; Innocent et al. 2007; Innocent et al. 2011) and lately in entomopathogenic nematodes  
71 (Zenner et al. 2014; Kapranas et al. 2016). Whereas contested resource availability, such as  
72 potential mates, is critical in prompting lethal fights (Enquist & Leimar 1990), it is unclear to  
73 what extent the contestants also assess the quality of resource in such species. When mating  
74 opportunities for males are extremely limited then their motivation to fight would be expected  
75 to be independent of resource quality even if the odds of dying in a fight are high (Enquist &

76 Leimar 1990). Amongst parasitoid hymenopterans with lethal male combat, some species  
77 adjust fighting behaviour according to the value of the resource (Liu & Hao 2019), while  
78 others do not (Innocent et al. 2011).

79         Here we investigate the effect of objective and subjective resource value on lethal  
80 contests of male entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema longicaudum* Shen and Wang.  
81 We are able to manipulate the mating status of the contestant males and also the quality of the  
82 contested resource by staging dyadic contests in a controlled environment (drops of  
83 haemolymph of their insect host). First, we assess how the presence/absence and quality of  
84 available females (objective components of resource value) influence fighting behaviour  
85 between mated males. We predict that the presence of a female, especially a virgin, will  
86 increase the frequency and/or severity of fighting in *S. longicaudum*. Then, we assess whether  
87 male mating status (subjective components of resource value) influences the contest outcome.  
88 We do not have a directional prediction of how mating influences fighting, but we  
89 hypothesize that either mated or virgin males will have a consistent advantage over the other,  
90 and this will provide insights regarding how male nematodes assess the value of their mates  
91 and whether mating status influences fighting ability.

92

## 93 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

94

### 95 *Nematode Reproductive Biology and Lethal Fighting.*

96 Infection by entomopathogenic nematodes including *S. longicaudum* begins when free-  
97 foraging infective juveniles (IJs) enter an insect host and release their symbiotic bacteria that  
98 turn the host insect into a cadaver. In *S. longicaudum*, IJs develop into amphimictic males and  
99 females, with a sex ratio that is slightly female biased (Alsaiyah et al. 2009). Two or more  
100 generations develop until the cadaver is crowded, prompting the production of IJs that exit in

101 search of other hosts (Kaya & Gaugler 1993; Dillman & Sternberg 2012). In *S. longicaudum*  
102 it was shown that males are aggressive towards each other and engage in lethal fights (Zenner  
103 et al. 2014). During male-male encounters, an aggressor coils its tail end around a victim, with  
104 the copulatory spicules towards the victim's body. If not gripped close to the tail, the victim  
105 may counter-attack, wrapping its tail around the aggressor. Grappling encounters frequently  
106 end when the grasped male ceases to move part or all of its body, which may happen within  
107 minutes (Zenner et al. 2014). Such worms usually die, though partially paralyzed worms  
108 occasionally recover movement. The cuticle is sometimes punctured, but paralysis and death  
109 occur also without puncture, apparently due to damage to internal organs.

110

#### 111 *Nematode Cultures and Behavioural Assays*

112 *Steinernema longicaudum* (strain CB2B) cultures were routinely maintained using standard  
113 procedures by passage through late instar *Galleria mellonella* (wax moth) larvae (Kaya &  
114 Stock 1997) at 27°C. Infective juveniles were stored in tap water at 20°C. We obtained adult  
115 males for our experiments by placing IJs individually in 25 µl hanging drops of haemolymph  
116 from *G. mellonella* larvae which provides a suitable environment for development to  
117 adulthood (Kaya & Stock 1997; Zenner et al. 2014). The hanging drops were placed on the lid  
118 of a Petri dish which was then inverted over a water-filled Petri dish (6 cm diam.) to prevent  
119 desiccation. The IJs in the hanging drops develop to adulthood within 3 days at 27°C. Adult  
120 males can be distinguished by their copulatory spicules and their smaller size whereas females  
121 are larger in size and bear vulva. We used three days old males and females in our  
122 experiments.

123

#### 124 *Experiment 1: Effects of Female Mating Status*

125 All males used in this experiment were mated. We placed each individual naïve male for 16-  
126 20 hours with 2 naïve females to mate. We then removed the females, and successful mating  
127 was subsequently confirmed by the production of progeny. We removed two adult males from  
128 their hanging drops and placed them immediately, in pairs, back in the drop from which one  
129 member of the pair had been taken. Thus, one worm was “resident” and one an “intruder”, but  
130 the identity of each was not tracked, as there were no clear visual differences between them.  
131 Worms were assigned to the following treatments: (i) 2 mated males with a mated female ( $N$   
132 = 34); (ii) 2 mated males with a virgin female (same age as mated female) ( $N = 31$ ); (iii) 2  
133 mated males without a female ( $N = 35$ ). A mating attempt was recorded when a male coiled  
134 around the female at the vulva; insertion of spicules into the vulva was difficult to observe  
135 due to the coiling of the male around the female. A fight was recorded once one male coiled  
136 tightly around another and then let go. Continuous observations were made for 30 mins during  
137 which the latency to first fight, the incidence (whether at least one fighting event occurred),  
138 number and (for a subsample) duration of fights were recorded. Paralysis and/or death of the  
139 males was recorded at the end of the observation and after 24 hours.

140

#### 141 *Experiment 2: Effects of Male Mating Status*

142 In *S. longicaudum*, males that have been with a female can be distinguished from those that  
143 have not, based on the presence of sperm in the seminal vesicle, visible through the body wall  
144 (Ebssa et al., 2008); thus, mating status of individual males in a pair could be recognized. In  
145 this experiment, we placed together an adult male that had mated and a virgin one. Mated  
146 males were obtained by placing two females in the drop of an individually reared adult male  
147 for 24 hours, after which the females were removed to a different drop and observed for later  
148 progeny production. We set up pairs of one mated and one virgin adult male either in the drop  
149 in which the mated male had been reared and mated ( $N = 21$ ) or in the drop in which the



150 unmated male had been reared ( $N = 24$ ). In this case, since mated and unmated males differed  
151 in appearance, the identity of “resident” and “intruder” could also be traced. After 24 hours  
152 we observed which worms showed signs of paralysis or death. Individual males were  
153 identified as the mated or virgin member of the pair by examining for the presence of sperm  
154 using a Nikon Optiphot microscope (x40).

155

### 156 *Statistics*

157 Analysis of factors influencing the number of fights, as well copulations observed in our  
158 assays and the probability of paralysis/death were explored with generalized linear models as  
159 described in Briffa et al. (2013). To test the hypothesis that objective resource value affects  
160 fighting behavior, we used a log-linear analysis which is appropriate for small count data, to  
161 assess how female presence and mating status (predictor variables) affected the number of  
162 fights (dependent variable). Post-hoc tests among different treatments whenever applicable  
163 were adjusted with the Bonferroni correction. The probability of paralysis and/or death at the  
164 end of the 30 min observation period and 24h post observations was explored with logistic  
165 analysis. Duration of fighting in different treatments (no females, mated and virgin females)  
166 was analysed with a non-parametric Kruskal Wallis test and latency to fighting was analysed  
167 using survival regression analysis (Moya-Larano & Wise 2000). In the second experiment, we  
168 compared the incidence of paralysis/death in contests staged in drops where the resident male  
169 was mated versus drops where the resident was a virgin male, by using a Fisher’s exact test.  
170 For those pairs in which paralysis or death occurred, we used a logistic analysis by randomly  
171 picking a focal male (either mated or virgin) in each replicate and tested the effect of  
172 residency and mating status (as factors) and their interaction on the probability of the focal  
173 male winning the contest (Briffa et al. 2013). All analysis was performed in SPSS v.21 (IBM,  
174 Armonk, NY, USA).

175

## 176 **RESULTS**

177

### 178 *Female Presence and Quality*

179 Significantly more fights were observed in the presence of virgin females than in the presence  
180 of mated females or in the absence of females ( $F_{2,97} = 5.79$ ,  $P = 0.004$ , Fig. 1). This was partly  
181 explained by the higher incidence of fighting in the presence of a virgin female (74.2%) than  
182 with mated or no female (44.1 and 42.9%, respectively). In addition, the latency to fight was  
183 shorter in the presence of virgin females than in the presence of mated females or in the absence  
184 of females (Cox's proportional hazards analysis: Risk = 0.448, 95%CI 0.233-0.860,  $P = 0.016$ ,  
185 Fig. 2), and fights also tended to last longer when there was a virgin female present (Kruskal  
186 Wallis  $H = 5.56$ ,  $DF = 2$ ,  $P = 0.062$ ; Fig. 3).

187 The presence or quality of a female did not influence the incidence of paralysis at the end of  
188 the 30 min observation period ( $G_2 = 1.437$ ,  $P = 0.487$ ) when on average 6% of pairs had one male  
189 paralysed, nor did it affect the incidence of paralysis and/or death after 24 hours ( $G_2 = 2.839$ ,  $P$   
190  $= 0.242$ ), where overall 97% of pairs had at least one male either paralysed or dead, mostly  
191 represented by dead (90.9% of pairs). Single males routinely suffer 3% mortality within 24  
192 hours (Zenner et al. 2014).

193

194

195 Moreover, the number of copulations (mating events at vulva) was higher when the female was  
196 virgin than mated (mated females:  $0.88 \pm 0.18$ , virgin females:  $1.74 \pm 0.26$ ,  $F_{1, 63} = 7.322$ ,  $P =$   
197  $0.009$ ).

198

### 199 *Experiment 2: Male Mating Status*

200 After 24 h, paralysis or death was recorded in all ( $N = 21$ ) drops where the resident male was  
201 mated, but in just 70.8% (17/24) of the drops where the virgin male was resident (Fisher's exact  
202 test,  $P = 0.01$ ). For the 38 pairs where there was paralysis or death after 24 h, we investigated  
203 whether male mating status and prior residency affected the contest outcome. Mated and  
204 resident males were more likely to win (logistic regressions for male mating status:  $G_{1,34} =$   
205  $75.13$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; male residency:  $G_{1,34} = 10.313$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) (Figure 4). The effect of male  
206 mating status was particularly strong: mated males won 92.1% of fights overall. The interaction  
207 between male mating status and drop residency was not significant ( $G_{1,34} = 0$ ,  $P = 0.991$ ).

208

209

## 210 **DISCUSSION**

211 The presence of a female can lead to escalated male fighting, as it has shown in spiders  
212 and parasitoid wasps (Wells 1988; Jackson et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2017). Moreover, the  
213 motivation to fight and intensity of fights, and consequently the cost of fighting, is adjusted  
214 according to the perceived value of the resource (Parker 1974; Maynard-Smith & Parker  
215 1976; Arnott & Elwood 2008; Enquist & Leimar 1987). In our study we found that not only  
216 the presence but also the quality of females had an influence on fighting behaviour of male *S.*  
217 *longicaudum* nematodes; fighting was more intense in the presence of a virgin female, during  
218 our 30 min observation period. In other empirical studies, males similarly exhibited  
219 significantly more aggressive behaviours, and fights were more intense in the presence of  
220 virgin females over mated ones in parasitoid wasps (Liu & Hao 2019), wolf spiders (Hoefler  
221 et al. 2008) and Sierra dome spiders (Keil & Watson 2010). The fact that a virgin (but not a  
222 mated) female enhances competition between male *S. longicaudum* suggests either that  
223 females mate only once, or that there is sperm competition with first male precedence  
224 (Birkhead & Moller 1998; Simmons 2001). Sperm precedence has been documented in

225 nematodes including *Caenorhabditis elegans* (LaMunyon & Ward 1995; Ward & Carrel  
226 1979). Mated female steinernematids no longer attract males over a distance (Lewis et al.  
227 2002; Hartley 2017), and in our experiment mated females received fewer mating attempts  
228 (sperm transfer was not confirmed) than virgins, suggesting at least a reduction in  
229 attractiveness. Female steinernematids have at most a short window of availability for mating,  
230 with a period of egg-laying succeeded by egg-hatch in utero and subsequent death (“endotokia  
231 matricida”) (Poinar 1990). The exceptionally large macrosperm of *S. longicaudum* are  
232 suggestive of intense sperm competition (LaMunyon & Ward 1999). Because the cost of  
233 fighting is high, selection favours individuals that can assess the value of the resource and  
234 adjust their competitive behaviour accordingly (Parker 1974; Maynard-Smith & Parker 1976;  
235 Arnott & Elwood 2008). When mates are limited over time and space and their value is equal  
236 or higher than the future, then a major part of a male contestant's lifetime reproductive success  
237 is at stake and it is predicted that fights can escalate to death (Enquist & Leimar 1987, 1990).  
238 In such cases, fights might ensue irrespective of the quality of the mates, since future  
239 opportunities for reproduction are low, but our results show that male *S. longicaudum*  
240 nematodes assess the quality of the females and adjust their fighting behaviour. We suggest  
241 that mated females, due to either once-off mating or intense sperm competition, might simply  
242 not represent a valuable resource worth fighting to death for.

243         Differences in the quantity and quality of food or mates lead to an ‘objective’  
244 assessment of the value of the contested resource, whereas internal state dictates the  
245 subjective assessment of the resource value (Enquist & Leimar 1987; Arnott & Elwood 2008).  
246 In dyadic fights between mated *vs* virgin males, the mated males were more competitive,  
247 resulting in paralysis and death of the virgin male in over 90% of cases. Mating could enhance  
248 fighting skills in nematodes, since similar coiling movements of males around females during  
249 copulation are used to lock, paralyse and consequently lead to death of their opponents.

250 Increased fighting skills enhance resource holding potential (Briffa & Lane 2017).  
251 Alternatively, mating in males can result in an increased assessment of fighting ability or an  
252 overestimation of the contested resource value (Killian & Allen 2008; Yasuda et al. 2015).  
253 Mating experience has similar effects to ‘winning a fight’ experience, which is expected in  
254 turn to raise the subjective value of a female in future contests (Hsu et al. 2006).

255         Contests are frequently asymmetrical; one such asymmetry is between territory owners  
256 or residents, and intruders. In such cases, it is usually the resident that wins (Hardy & Briffa  
257 2013). Owner-intruder asymmetry is less likely to affect fighting outcome when the ratio of  
258 future to current reproduction is low (Enquist & Leimar 1990). The relationship between male  
259 residency and increased competitive ability that was observed in our experimental conditions  
260 might be explained by the fact that the resident males are more adapted to the environment  
261 (which is comprised of bacterial/female pheromone odours) of the drop on which they  
262 reached adulthood than the intruder males. The extent to which residency effects for male  
263 entomopathogenic nematodes occurs in nature is unclear; male entomopathogenic nematodes  
264 compete in a restricted space, an insect cadaver, in which it might sometimes be difficult to  
265 claim residency. However, larger insect cadavers such as wax moth (*G. mellonella*) may be  
266 large enough to allow some degree of compartmentalization or localization of residents,  
267 because at the time the invading nematodes are adult, the wax moth cadaver retains internal  
268 structural integrity, allowing physical compartmentalization of individuals within it. Regions  
269 of the cadaver could also be chemically differentiated, especially if colonized by nematodes  
270 derived from different lines of free-foraging IJs e.g. those that exited from different natal host  
271 species or have different bacterial strains and/or chemical signature. Another source of odours  
272 that could differentiate space within a cadaver is the female residents. Female *S. longicaudum*  
273 are relatively immobile, tending to coil in situ, while males are active and attracted by female  
274 pheromones (Hartley 2017). Thus, it is likely that a “territory” or patch is defined by the area

275 of the cadaver influenced by a female's odour, at least in a cadaver with a relatively low  
276 population density, and such a patch could be defended by a resident male. The increased  
277 probability in Experiment 2 of the resident male winning a fight in drops in which the resident  
278 was mated might be due to residual pheromones from virgin females increasing the perceived  
279 resource value of the drop. Mated *S. longicaudum* males fight more in the presence of a virgin  
280 female, as shown in Experiment 1, and female *S. longicaudum* secrete pheromones that affect  
281 males behaviourally and physiologically even when no female is present (Ebssa et al. 2008;  
282 Hartley 2017). Female pheromones enhance aggression in male arthropods including crabs,  
283 (Smith et al. 1994; Sneddon et al. 2003), crickets (Buena & Walker, 2008) and parasitoids  
284 (Liu & Hao 2019). However, female pheromones cannot be the explanation for the increased  
285 probability of the resident winning in the drops where the resident was virgin, since these  
286 drops had not previously held a female. Both mated and virgin males were more likely to win  
287 when resident, but since mated residents may have experienced pheromone, but virgin  
288 residents did not, the lack of an interaction between the effects of male mating status and  
289 residency may in fact point to an asymmetrical effect of female pheromone on the aggression  
290 of mated and virgin males. There are also some observations wherein female presence has a  
291 differential effect on fighting intensity of unmated and mated *S. longicaudum* males  
292 (Kapranas & Griffin n.d.). Such differential effects of female presence or pheromones may be  
293 related to the fact that *S. longicaudum* that have had no female presence are not immediately  
294 ready to mate (Ebssa et al. 2008).

295 Fatal fighting is expected to ensue whenever the value of the resource outbalances the  
296 risk of injury, as is the case of entomopathogenic nematode males who have to fight for  
297 access to females which are limited in space and time. Our study shows that competitor males  
298 adjust their fighting behaviour according to the objective (presence and quality of females)  
299 and subjective (male mating experience) components of resource value. Male mating

300 experience could enhance the fighting ability (resource holding potential) but also its  
301 influence on fighting ability is confounded by resource value components.

302

### 303 REFERENCES

304 **Abe, J., Kamimura, Y. & Shimada, M.** 2005. Individual sex ratios and offspring emergence  
305 patterns in a parasitoid wasp, *Melittobia australica* (Eulophidae), with superparasitism  
306 and lethal combat among sons. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **57**, 366-373.

307 **Alsaiyah, M.A.M, Ebssa, L., Zenner A, O'Callaghan, K.M. & Griffin, C.T.** 2009. Sex  
308 ratios and sex-biased infection behaviour in the entomopathogenic nematode genus  
309 *Steinernema*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **39**, 725–734.

310 **Ancona, S., Drummond, H. & Zaldivar-Rae, J.** 2010. Male whiptail lizards adjust  
311 energetically costly mate guarding to male-male competition and female reproductive  
312 value. *Animal Behaviour*, **79**, 75–82.

313 **Andersson, M.** 1994. *Sexual selection*. Princeton (NJ): Princeton University Press.

314 **Anderson, C., Cremer, S. & Heinze, J.** 2003. Live and let die: why fighter males of the ant  
315 *Cardiocondyla* kill each other but tolerate their winged rivals. *Behavioural Ecology*, **14**,  
316 54-62.

317 **Arnott, G. & Elwood, R.W.** 2008. Information gathering and decision making about  
318 resource value in animal contests. *Animal Behaviour*, **76**, 529–542.

319 **Arnott, G. & Elwood, R.W.** 2009. Assessment of fighting ability in animal contests. *Animal*  
320 *Behaviour*, **77**, 991–1004.

321 **Birkhead, T.R. & Møller, A.P.** 1998. *Sperm Competition and Sexual Selection*. Academic  
322 Press, London. Pp. 826.

- 323 **Briffa, M., Hardy, I.C.W., Gammell, M.P., Jennings, D.J., Clarke, D.D. & Goubault, M.**  
324 2013 *Analysis of animal contest data*. In: Hardy ICW, Briffa M, editors. *Animal*  
325 *contests* Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. p. 47–85.
- 326 **Briffa, M. & Lane, S.M.** 2017. The role of skill in animal contests: a neglected component of  
327 fighting ability. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **284**, 20171596.
- 328 **Briffa, M. & Sneddon, L.U.** 2007. Physiological constraints on contest behaviour.  
329 *Functional Ecology*, **21**, 627–637.
- 330 **Brown, W.D., Smith, A.T., Moskalik, B. & Gabriel, J.** 2006. Aggressive contests in house  
331 crickets: size, motivation and the information content of aggressive songs. *Animal*  
332 *Behaviour*, **72**, 225-233.
- 333 **Brown, W.D., Chimenti, A.J. & Siebert, J.R.** 2007. The payoff of fighting in house  
334 crickets: motivational asymmetry increases male aggression and mating success.  
335 *Ethology*, **113**, 457-465.
- 336 **Buena, L.J. & Walker, S.E.** 2008. Information asymmetry and aggressive behaviour in male  
337 house crickets, *Acheta domesticus*. *Animal Behaviour*, **75**, 199-204.
- 338 **Crespi, B.J.** 1988. Risks and benefits of lethal male fighting in the colonial, polygynous  
339 thrips *Hoplothrips karnyi* (Insecta: Thysanoptera). *Behavioral Ecology Sociobiology*,  
340 **22**, 293–301.
- 341 **Cook, J.M. & Bean, D.** 2006. Cryptic male dimorphism and fighting in a fig wasp. *Animal*  
342 *Behaviour*, **71**, 1095-1101.
- 343 **Dick, J.T.A & Elwood, R.W.** 1990. Symmetrical assessment of female quality by male  
344 *Gammarus pulex* (amphipoda) during struggles over precopula females. *Animal*  
345 *Behaviour*, **40**, 877-883.
- 346 **Dillman, A.R. & Sternberg, P.W.** 2012. Quick guide: entomopathogenic nematodes.  
347 *Current Biology*, **22**, 430–431.



348 **DeCarvalho, T.N., Watson P.J. & Field SA.** 2004. Costs increase as ritualized fighting  
349 progresses within and between phases in the sierra dome spider, *Neriene litigiosa*.  
350 *Animal Behaviour*, 68, 473-482.

351 **Dugatkin, L.A. & Dugatkin, A.D.** 2011. Overestimating resource value and its effects on  
352 fighting decisions. *PLoS ONE* 6:e19924

353 **Ebssa, L., Dix, I. & Griffin, C.T.** 2008. Female presence is required for male sexual maturity  
354 in the nematode *Steinernema longicaudum*. *Current Biology*, **18**, R997–R998.

355 **Enquist, M. & Leimar, O.** 1987. Evolution of fighting behavior—the effect of variation in  
356 resource value. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 127, 187–205.

357 **Enquist, M. & Leimar, O.** 1990. The evolution of fatal fighting. *Animal Behaviour*, **39**,1–9.

358 **Hardy, I.C.W & Brifa M.** 2013. *Animal contests*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University  
359 Press.

360 **Hamilton, W.D.** 1979. *Wingless and fighting males in fig wasps and other insects*. In: Blum  
361 MS, Blum NA, editors. *Sexual selection and reproductive competition in insects*.  
362 London: Academic Press. p. 167–220.

363 **Hartley, C.J.** 2017. *Pheromones in entomopathogenic nematodes: effects on dispersal and*  
364 *reproductive behaviours*. PhD Thesis, Maynooth University.

365 **Hoefler, C.D., Guhanarayan, G., Persons, M.H., Rypstra, A.L.** 2009. The interaction of  
366 female condition and mating status on male–male aggression in a wolf spider. *Ethology*,  
367 **115**, 331 –338.

368 **Hsu, Y., Earley, R.L. & Wolf, L.L.** 2006. Modulation of aggressive behaviour by fighting  
369 experience: mechanisms and contest outcomes. *Biological Reviews*, **81**, 33–74.

370 **Innocent, T.M., West, S.A, Sanderson, J.L., Hyrkkänen, N. & Reece, S.E.** 2011. Lethal  
371 combat over limited resources: testing the importance of competitors and kin.  
372 *Behavioural Ecology*, **22**, 923-931

- 373 **Innocent, T.M., Savage, J., West, S.A. & Reece SE.** 2007. Lethal combat and sex ratio  
374 evolution in a parasitoid wasp. *Behavioural Ecology*, **18**, 709–715.
- 375 **Jackson, R.R., Walker, M.W., Pollard, S.D. & Cross, F.R.** 2006. Influence of seeing a  
376 female on the male-male interactions of a jumping spider, *Hypoblemum albovittatum*.  
377 *Journal of Ethology*, **24**, 231-238.
- 378 **Judge, K.A, Ting, J.J., Schneider, J. & Fitzpatrick, M.J.** 2010. A lover, not a fighter:  
379 mating causes male crickets to lose fights. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **64**,  
380 1971-1979.
- 381 **Kapranas, A & Griffin, C.T.** n.d. male-male fatal fights in groups of entomopathogenic  
382 nematodes: female presence modulates differentially fighting behaviour in mated and  
383 virgin males. *Unpublished manuscript*.
- 384 **Kapranas, A., Maher, A.M.D. & Griffin, C.T.** 2016. Higher relatedness mitigates mortality  
385 in a nematode with lethal male fighting. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **29**, 344–351.
- 386 **Kasumovic, M.M., Mason, A.C., Andrade, M.C.B. & Elias, D.O.** 2011. The relative  
387 importance of RHP and resource quality in contests with ownership asymmetries.  
388 *Behavioral Ecology*, **22**, 39 – 45
- 389 **Kaya, H.K. & Gaugler, R.** 1993. Entomopathogenic nematodes. Annual Review of  
390 Entomology, **38**, 181–206.
- 391 **Kaya, H.K., & Stock, S.P.** 1997. *Techniques in insect nematology*. In Lacey, editor. Manual  
392 of Techniques in Insect Pathology. New York (USA), Academic Press, p. 281–324.
- 393 **Kemp, D.J.** 2006. Ageing, reproductive value, and the evolution of lifetime fighting  
394 behaviour. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **88**, 565-578.
- 395 **Keil, P.L & Watson, P.J.** 2010. Assessment of self, opponent and resource during male-male  
396 contests in the sierra dome spider, *Neriene litigiosa*: Linyphiidae. *Animal Behaviour*,  
397 **80**, 809-820.

- 398 **Killian, K.A. & Allen, J.R.** 2008. Mating resets male cricket aggression. *Journal of Insect*  
399 *Behaviour*, 21, 535–548.
- 400 **Kokko, H.** 2013. *Dyadic contests: modelling fights between two individuals*. In: Hardy ICW,  
401 Briffa M, editors. *Animal contests* Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. p. 5–  
402 32.
- 403 **LaMunyon, C.W. & Ward, S.** 1995. Sperm precedence in a hermaphroditic nematode  
404 (*Caenorhabditis elegans*) is due to competitive superiority of male sperm. *Experientia*,  
405 51, 817-823.
- 406 **LaMunyon, C.W. & Ward, S.** 1999. Evolution of sperm size in nematodes: sperm  
407 competition favours larger sperm. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **266**, 263-267.
- 408 **Lewis, E.E, Barbarosa, B. & Gaugler, R.** 2002. Mating and sexual communication by  
409 *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Nemata: Steinernematidae). *Journal of Nematology*, **34**,  
410 328–331.
- 411 **Liu, P.C., Wei, J.R., Tian, S. & Hao, D.J.** 2017. Male-male lethal combat in the quasi-  
412 gregarious parasitoid *Anastatus disparis* (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae). *Scientific*  
413 *Reports*, **7**, 11875.
- 414 **Liu, P. & Hao, D.J.** 2019. Effect of variation in objective resource value on extreme male  
415 combat in a quasi-gregarious species, *Anastatus disparis*. *BMC Ecology*, **19**, 21
- 416 **Mariette, M.M., Cathaud, C., Chambon, R. & Vignal, C.** 2013. Juvenile social experience  
417 affects pairing success at adulthood: congruence with the loser effect? *Proceedings of*  
418 *the Royal Society B*, **280**, 20131514.
- 419 **Matthews, R.W., Gonzalez, J.M., Matthews, J.R. & Deyrup LD.** 2009. Biology of the  
420 parasitoid *Melittobia* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Annual Review of Entomology*, **54**,  
421 251–266.
- 422 **Maynard Smith, J. & Price, G.R.** 1973. The logic of animal conflict. *Nature*, **246**, 15-18.

- 423 **Maynard Smith, J. & Parker, G.A.** 1976. The logic of animal contests. *Animal Behaviour*,  
424 **24**, 159-175.
- 425 **Moya-Larano, J. & Wise, D.H.** 2000. Survival regression analysis: a powerful tool for  
426 evaluating fighting and assessment. *Animal Behaviour*, **60**, 307-313.
- 427 **Parker, G.A.** 1974. Assessment strategy and the evolution of fighting behaviour. *Journal of*  
428 *Theoretical Biology*, **47**, 223-243.
- 429 **Pérez-Staples, D., Martínez-Hernández, M.G. & Aluja, M.** 2010. Male age and experience  
430 increases mating success but not female fitness in the Mexican fruit fly. *Ethology*, **116**,  
431 778–786.
- 432 **Piper, W.H., Walcott, C., Mager, J.N. & Spilker, F.J.** 2008. Fatal battles in common loons:  
433 a preliminary analysis. *Animal Behaviour*, **75**, 1109 – 1115.
- 434 **Poinar, G.O.** 1990. *Biology and taxonomy of Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae*. in  
435 Gaugler R, Kaya HK editors. Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control Boca  
436 Raton, FL, CRC Press: Pp. 23–62.
- 437 **Rico-Guevara, A. & Hurme, L.** 2019. Introsexually selected weapons. *Biological Reviews*,  
438 **94**, 60–101.
- 439 **Sato, Y., Egas, M. & Sabelis, M.W. & Mochizuki, A.** 2013. Male-male aggression peaks at  
440 intermediate relatedness in a social spider mite. *Ecology and Evolution*, **3**, 2661–2669.
- 441 **Simmons, L.W.** 2001. *Sperm Competition and Its Evolutionary Consequences in the Insects*.  
442 Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ.
- 443 **Smith, I.P., Huntingford, F.A., Atkinson, R.J.A. & Taylor, A.C.** 1994. Mate competition  
444 in the velvet swimming crab *Necora puber*: effects of perceived resource value on male  
445 agonistic behavior. *Marine Biology*, **120**, 579-584.

446 **Sneddon, L.U., Huntingford, F.A., Taylor, A.C., & Clare, A.S.** 2003. Female sex  
447 pheromone-mediated effects on behavior and consequences of male competition in the  
448 shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **29**, 55-70.

449 **Stockermans, B.C. & Hardy, I.C.W.** 2013. Subjective and objective components of resource  
450 value additively increase aggression in parasitoid contests. *Biology Letters*, **9**, 20130391

451 **Ward, S. & Carrel, J.S.** 1979. Fertilization and sperm competition in the nematode  
452 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Developmental Biology*, **73**, 304-321

453 **Wells, M.S.** 1988. Effects of body size and resource value on fighting behaviour in a jumping  
454 spider. *Animal Behaviour*, **36**, 321-326.

455 **Yasuda, C.I., Matsuo, K., Wada, S.** 2015. Previous mating experience increases fighting  
456 success during male–male contests in the hermit crab *Pagurus nigrofascia*. *Behavioral*  
457 *Ecology and Sociobiology*, **69**, 1287–1292.

458 **Zenner, A.N.R.L, O’Callaghan, K.M., Griffin, C.T.** 2014. Lethal fighting in nematodes is  
459 dependent on developmental pathway: male-male fighting in the entomopathogenic  
460 nematode *Steinernema longicaudum*. *PLoS One*, **9**, e89385.

461 **Zhang, S., Ai, H. & Li, D.** 2019. Mating changes a male contestant from a loser to a winner  
462 in male–male competition in a wolf spider. *Biological Journal of the Linnean. Society*,  
463 128, 83–92

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

472

473 **Figure 1** Fights between two mated males, in the presence or absence of a female within 30  
474 minutes. Bars show average values with asymmetrical, poisson-distributed errors. Bars with  
475 accompanied by the same letter are not significantly different (post-hoc multiple comparison  
476 tests with Bonferroni adjustment,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

477 **Figure 2.** Latency to first fight between two mated males in the presence or absence of a  
478 female within 30 minutes.

479 **Figure 3.** Time spent fighting by two males in the presence or absence of a female within 30  
480 minutes. Data are represented as box and whisker plots with median (horizontal line), 25–75  
481 percentiles (boxes), ranges for the bottom 25% and the top 25% of the data values (whiskers).

482 **Figure 4.** Probability of winning of mated and virgin males in pairs of one mated and one  
483 virgin adult male set up either in the drop in which mated male had been reared and mated or  
484 in the drop in which the unmated male had been reared. Bars show estimated average values  
485 with asymmetrical, binomially distributed standard errors

486

487

488

489

490


491

492

493

494

495



496

497

498


499

500

501

502

503



504

505


506

507

508

509





510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520


521

522

523

524

525



526

## **Animal Behaviour [ANBEH-D-19-00856] - ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Ms Aideen Byrne for technical assistance. All experimentation reported in this work abides to the principles of replacement, reduction and refinement as endorsed by the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour (ASAB).

### **FUNDING**

This work was supported by Science Foundation Ireland (Grant number RFP05/EEB0009) and the EU Seventh Framework Programme (grant agreement n° 612713 BIOCOMES Project).

**ANIMAL WELFARE NOTE: Objective and subjective components of resource value in lethal fights between male entomopathogenic nematodes**

The experiments reported in this paper were conducted with invertebrates – insects and nematodes - from laboratory cultures. The experiments were conducted in accordance to Science Foundation Ireland’s policy concerning use of animals in research (outlined in Directive 2010/63/EU) and is implemented by the Health Products Regulatory Authority (HPRA), the competent authority in Ireland responsible for the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. All experimentation reported in this work abides to the principles of replacement, reduction and refinement as endorsed by the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour (ASAB).