


English Language Learning Magazine

CONTACT

November 2016



Benjamin Lake Lake

**The least you should know
about Ojibwa**

Ideas from other fields

VOLUME 42, NUMBER 4, NOVEMBER, 2016

ISSN # 0227-2938

Teachers of English as a Second Language Association of Ontario

www.teslontario.net/publication/contact-magazine



LITERACY LESSONS

Core Concepts from Multiliteracies for Language Teachers in Contemporary Times

By Rachel Heydon, Emma Cooper, & Annie Tran, Western University

Three nine-year-old boys are sitting on a porch in urban Canada. They are engaged in a multiplayer session of *Terraria*, a video game that purports to combine the creativity and freedom of a sandbox environment with the strategic requirements of an action game. Each child is holding his own device—an iPod Touch, an iPad, an android tablet. Their eyes are fixed on their own screens, sometimes scanning over to the others', fingers busily pushing and swiping as they build biomes. During the game, one of the boys opens an Internet browser, types in a term from the game, and the children collectively research how to find an element they want. Through the search results they read blog posts from other players and add their own information to the mix. All the while they are playing, the boys are talking away to each other. If you were to listen in and focus on the discourse, you'd hear all seven of Michael Halliday's functions of language: instrumental ("I want to build..."), regulatory ("Do this here and..."), interactional ("Let's..."), personal ("Watch me when..."), informative ("When you go here..."), but especially heuristic ("What happens when you...") and imaginative ("In this world..."). Given such events, literacy research has been grappling with questions like, what is literacy in this new communicational landscape (e.g., is video gaming a literacy practice?) and what are the implications of the response to this question for education?

Though the vignette above might scream of concerns germane to the present day, they actually predate the turn of the century. Recognizing that communication technology and globalization were creating unprecedented changes to the ways in which people were communicating and that this would have massive implications for education, in 1996 a group of scholars convened in New London, US, and established the New London Group. The group's mandate was to consider the evolving nature of literacy and its implications for teaching and learning. Its first product was the revolutionary paper published in the *Harvard Educational Review*, entitled, *A pedagogy of multiliteracies: Designing social futures*. The paper emphasized the concept of designing which the NLG defined as the forms and "process of shaping emergent meaning" (p. 74). The NLG argued that as designers of meaning, teachers and learners alike could become "active participants in social change" (p. 65). We read this work to mean that if people are designers of meaning, then they are de facto designers of social futures, as they shape, reshape, and represent meaning and put all of this out into the world ready to be shaped and reshaped by others.

The NLG's concept of design rejects the idea that literacy means one thing to all people, that this one thing is unchanging for all time, and that it is equal to a set of skills that can be passed from teacher to student. The pedagogies and research that have come out of and since the NLG instead express some similar fundamental principles that emphasize the prefix *multi-* and reframe literacy as **literacies**. Among them:

1. Being literate is not one thing; what constitutes literacy is dependent upon the situation you're in (e.g., being literate in a game of *Terraria* is different than being literate in a science classroom).
2. Linear reading and writing is not all that there is to being literate; people communicate through gesture, image, music, and the like by using a variety of what NLG member Gunther Kress calls "stuff".
3. With global movement and interconnected networks, literacies may involve more than just English and even English itself is, like literacy, plural, changing, and domain-specific.

The original pedagogy of multiliteracies proposed by the NLG has been adapted and revised over the last two decades, but the frame remains significant enough to repeat. It relates four general pedagogical components. In brief they are,

1. **Situated Practice:** learners are immersed in environments and communities with others who have experience with the literacies that are the target of the learning and where people can design together in ways that are meaningful to them.
2. **Overt Instruction:** learners are explicitly scaffolded to acquire a metalanguage for design and supported in collaborative meaning making.
3. **Critical framing:** learners are supported to connect designs to (at least) their socio-cultural contexts and implications, as the learners come to name and confront the forces at play in meaning making.
4. **Transformed Practice:** learners transform, re-create, and apply designs of meaning in settings that are of import to them.

Now, in 2016, scholars continue to build from the principles and pedagogy above, advocating for ways to enhance literacy education so that it is as dynamic and intricate as the practices it is trying to teach. For instance, NLG member, James Paul Gee, has focused much of his work as of late on video gaming. In doing so, he has come to a potent finding: when gaming, people will persevere through complex, sustained, and difficult problem solving, and they will love doing it. In fact, they will pay money to do it. This finding is reflected in the opening vignette which also, when considered in relation to multiliteracies, contains evidence of literacy practices. The implications for education are massive, with Gee himself asking, "How do you get someone to learn something long, hard, and complex and yet enjoy it?" (Gee, 2005, p. 34), and how do you do this in an era of multiliteracies? The NLG has started us on our way.

References

- Gee, J. P. (2005). Good video games and good learning. *Phi Kappa Phi Forum*, 85(2), 33–37.
- New London Group. (1996). A pedagogy of multiliteracies: Designing social futures. *Harvard Educational Review*, 66(1), 60–92.

Author Bios

Rachel Heydon, PhD is Professor, Faculty of Education, Western University where she works in the area of literacy curriculum. Her most recent book is *Why Multimodal Literacy Matters* (forthcoming, Sense Publishers with Susan O'Neill).

Emma Cooper is a PhD Candidate at the Faculty of Education, Western University where she researches in the areas of multimodal pedagogy and classroom curricula. Her most recent publication is a book chapter in *Case Studies in Discourse Analysis* (2016, Marcel Danesi & Sara Greco [Eds.], Lincom Europa Publishers).

Annie Tran is a PhD candidate at the Faculty of Education, Western University where she researches dissertations in multimodal formats.